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## PREFACE

This is, I believe, the first attempt at an English translation of the 'Enquiry into Plants.' That it should be found entirely satisfactory is not to be expected, since the translator is not, as he should be, a botanist; moreover, in the present state at least of the text, the Greek of Theophrastus is sometimes singularly elusive. I should never have undertaken such a responsibility without the encouragement of that veteran student of plant-lore the Rev. Canon Ellacombe, who first suggested that I should make the attempt and introduced me to the book. It is a great grief that he did not live to see the completion of the work which he set me. If I had thought it essential that a translator of Theophrastus should himself grapple with the difficulties of identifying the plants which he mentions, I must have declined a task which has otherwise proved quite onerous enough. However the kindness and the expert knowledge of Sir William Thiselton-Dyer came to my rescue; to him I not only owe gratitude for constant help throughout; the identifications in the Index of Plants are entirely his work, compared with which the compilation of the Index itself was
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but mechanical labour. And he has greatly increased my debt and the reader's by reading the proofs of my translation and of the Index. This is perhaps the place to add a note on the translation of the plant-names in the text:-where possible, I have given an English equivalent, though I am conscious that such names as 'Christ's thorn,' 'Michaelmas daisy' must read oddly in a translation of a work written 300 years before Christ; to print Linnean binary names would have been at least equally incongruous. Where an English name was not obvious, although the plant is British or known in British gardens, I have usually consulted Britten and Holland's Dictionary of Plant-names. Where no English equivalent could be found, i.e. chiefly where the plant is not either British or familiar in this country, I have cither transliterated the Greek name (as arabididna) or given a literal rendering of it in inverted commas (as 'foxbrush' for ảd $\omega \pi$ '́кюovos); but the derivation of Greek plant-names being often obscure, I have not used this device unless the meaning seemed to be beyond question. In some cases it has been necessary to preserve the Greek name and to give the English name after it in brackets. This seemed desirable wherever the author has apparently used more than one name for the same plant, the explanation doubtless being that he was drawing on different local authorities; thus кє́paбоs and 入акúpy both probably represent 'bird-cherry;' the latter being the Macedonian name for the tree.

## PREFACE

Apart from this reason, in a few places (as 3.8.2; 3.10.3.) it seemed necessary to give both the Greek and the English name in order to bring out some particular point. On the other hand one Greek name often covers several plants, e.g. $\lambda \omega \tau$ ós; in such cases I hope that a reference to the Index will make all clear. Inverted commas indicate that the rendering is a literal translation of the Greek word; the identification of the plant will be found in the Index. Thus $\phi \in \lambda \lambda$ ódovs is rendered 'cork-oak,' though ' holmoak' would be the correct rendering, -cork-oak (quercus Suber) being what Theophrastus calls $\phi \in \lambda \lambda$ ós, which is accordingly rendered cork-oak without commas. As to the spelling of proper names, consistency without pedantry seems unattainable. One cannot write names such as Arcadia or Alexander otherwise than as they are commonly written; but I cannot bring myself to Latinise a Greek name if it can be helped, wherefore I have simply transliterated the less familiar names; the line drawn must of course be arbitrary.

The text printed is in the main that of Wimmer's second edition (see Introd. p. xiv). The textual notes are not intended as a complete apparatus criticus; to provide a satisfactory apparatus it would probably be necessary to collate the manuscripts afresh. I have had to be content with giving Wimmer's statements as to MS. authority ; this I have done wherever any question of interpretation depended on the reading; but I have not thought it necessary to record mere
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variations of spelling. Where the textual notes go beyond bare citation of the readings of the MSS., Ald., Gaza, and Pliny, it is usually because I have there departed from Wimmer's text. The references to Pliny will, I hope, be found fairly complete. I am indebted for most of them to Schneider, but I have verified these and all other references.

I venture to hope that this translation, with its references and Index of Plants, may assist some competent scholar-botanist to produce an edition worthy of the author.

Besides those already mentioned I have to thank also my friends Professor D'Arcy Thompson, C.B., Litt.D. of Dundee, Mr. A. W. Hill of Kew, Mr. E. A. Bowles for help of various kinds, and the Rev. F. W. Galpin for his learned exposition of a passage which otherwise would have been dark indeed to me-the description of the manufacture of the reed mouthpieces of wood-wind instruments in Book IV. Sir John Sandys, Public Orator of Cambridge University, was good enough to give me valuable help in matters of bibliography.
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I.-Bibliography and Abbreviations used

## A. Textual Authorities

Wimmer divides the authorities on which the text of the $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \phi v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ioropia is based into three classes:First Class :
U. Codex Urbinas : in the Vatican. Collated by Bekker and Amati; far the best extant MS., but evidently founded on a much corrupted copy. See note on 9. 8. 1.
$\mathrm{P}_{2}$. Codex Parisiensis : at Paris. Contains considerable excerpts; evidently founded on a good MS.; considered by Wimmer second only in authority to U .
(Of other collections of excerpts may be mentioned one at Munich, called after Pletho.)
Second Class :
M ( $\left.\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$. Codices Medicei: at Florence. Agree so closely that they may be regarded as a single MS.; considered by Wimmer much inferior to $U$, but of higher authority than Ald.
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P. Codex Parisiensis : at Paris. Considered by Wimmer somewhat inferior to $M$ and V, and more on a level with Ald.
mP . Margin of the above. A note in the MS. states that the marginal notes are not scholia, but variae lectiones aut emendationes.
V. Codex Vindobonensis: at Vienna. Contains the first five books and two chapters of the sixth; closely resembles $M$ in style and readings.

## Third Class :

Ald. Editio Aldina: the editio princeps, printed at Venice 1495-8. Believed by Wimmer to be founded on a single MS., and that an inferior one to those enumerated above, and also to that used by Gaza. Its readings seem often to show signs of a deliberate attempt to produce a smooth text: hence the value of this edition as witness to an independent MS. authority is much impaired.
(Bas. Editio Basiliensis: printed at Bâle, 1541. A careful copy of Ald., in which a number of printer's errors are corrected and a few new ones introduced (Wimmer).
Cam. Editio Camotiana (or Aldina minor, altera): printed at Venice, $155 \%$. Also copied from Ald., but less carefully corrected than Bas.; the editor Camotius, in a few passages,
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altered the text to accord with Gaza's version.)
G. The Latin version of Theodore Gaza, ${ }^{1}$ the Greek refugee: first printed at Treviso (Tarvisium) in 1483. A wonderful work for the time at which it appeared. Its present value is due to the fact that the translation was made from a different MS. to any now known. Unfortunately however this does not seem to have been a better text than that on which the Aldine edition was based. Moreover Gaza did not stick to his authority, but adopted freely Pliny's versions of Theophrastus, emending where he could not follow Pliny. There are several editions of Gaza's work : thus
G. Par.G.Bas. indicate respectively editions published at Paris in 1529 and at Bâle in 1534 and 1550 . Wimmer has no doubt that the Tarvisian is the earliest edition, and he gives its readings, whereas Schneider often took those of G.Bas.

Vin. Vo.Cod.Cas. indicate readings which Schneider believed to have MS. authority, but which are really anonymous emendations from the margins of MSS. used by his predecessors, and all, in Wimmer's opinion
${ }^{1}$ Nee Sandys, History of Clawsical S'holarship, ii. p. ( $0=$, ete.
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traceable to Gaza's version. Schneider's so-called Codex Casauboni he knew, according to Wimmer, only from Hofmann's edition.

## B. Editions

H. Editio Heinsii, printed at Leyden, 1613 : founded on Cam. and very carelessly printed, repeating the misprints of that edition and adding many others. In the preface Daniel Heins ${ }^{1}$ pretends to have had access to a critical edition and to a Heidelberg MS.; this claim appears to be entirely fictitious. The book indeed contains what Wimmer calls a farrago emendationum; he remarks that 'all the good things in it Heinsius owed to the wit of others, while all its faults and follies we owe to Heinsius.' Schneider calls it editio omnium pessima.
Bod. Editio Bodaei (viz. of Joannes Bodaeus à Stapel), printed at Amsterdam, 1644. The text of Heinsius is closely followed; the margin contains a number of emendations taken from the margin of Bas. and from Scaliger, Robertus Constantinus, and Salmasius, with a few due to the editor himself. The commentary, according to Sir William Thiselton-Dyer, is 'botanically monumental and fundamental.'

[^0]
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St. Stackhouse, Oxford, 1813: a prettily printed edition with some illustrations ; text founded on Ald. The editor seems to have been a fair botanist, but an indifferent scholar, though occasionally he hits on a certain emendation. The notes are short and generally of slight value. The book is however of interest, as being apparently the only work on the 'Enquiry' hitherto published in England.
Sch. J. G. Schneider (and Linck), Leipzig: vols. i.-iv. published in 1818 , vol. v. in 1821 ; contains also the $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ airiôv and the fragments, and a reprint of Gaza's version (corrected). The fifth, or supplementary, volume, written during the author's last illness, takes account of the Codex Urbinas, which, unfortunately for Schneider, did not become known till his edition was finished. It is remarkable in how many places he anticipated by acute emendation the readings of U . The fifth volume also gives an account of criticisms of the earlier volumes by the eminent Greek Adamantios Koraës ${ }^{1}$ and Kurt Sprengel. This is a monumental edition, despite the verbosity of the notes, somewhat careless references and reproduction of the MSS. readings, and an imperfect comprehension of the compressed style of Theophrastus, which leads to a good deal of wild emendation or rewriting of the text. For the first time we find an attempt at
${ }^{1}$ See Sandys, op. cit. iii. pp. 361 foll.
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providing a critical text, founded not on the Aldine edition, but on comparison of the manuscripts then known; the Medicean and Viennese had been collated a few years before by J. Th. Schmeider. We find also full use made of the ancient authors, Athenaeus, Plutarch, Pliny, Dioscorides, Nicander, Galen, etc., who quoted or adapted passages of Theophrastus, and copious references, often illuminating, to those who illustrate him, as Varro, Columella, Palladius, Aelian, the Geoponica.
Spr. Kurt Sprengel, Halle, 1822. This is not an edition of the text, but a copious commentary with German translation. Sprengel was a better botanist than scholar; Wimmer speaks disparagingly of his knowledge of Greek and of the translation. (See note prefixed to the Index of Plants.)
W. Fr. Wimmer : (I) An edition with introduction, analysis, critical notes, and Sprengel's identifications of the plant-names; Breslau, 1842.
(2) A further revised text with new Latin translation, apparatus criticus, and full indices; the Index Plantarum gives the identifications of Sprengel and Fraas; Didot Library, Paris, n.d.
(3) A reprint of this text in Teubner's series, 1854.

These three books are an indispensable supplement to Schneider's great work. The notes in the edition of xiv
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1842 are in the main critical, but the editor's remarks on the interpretation of thorny passages are often extremely acute, and always worth attention. The mass of material collected by Schneider is put into an accessible form. Wimmer is far more conservative in textual criticism than Schneider, and has a better appreciation of Theophrastus' elliptical and somewhat peculiar idiom, though some of his emendations appear to rest on little basis. A collation of the Paris MSS. ( P and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) was made for Wimmer; for the readings of U and M he relied on Schneider, who, in his fifth volume, had compared U with Bodaeus' edition. A fresh collation of the rather exiguous manuscript authorities is perhaps required before anything like a definitive text can be provided. Wimmer's Latin translation is not very helpful, since it slurs the difficulties: the Didot edition, in which it appears, is disfigured with numerous misprints.
(Sandys' History of Classical Scholarship (ii. p. 380) mentions translations into Latin and Italian by Bandini ; of this work I know nothing.)

## C. Other Commentators

Scal. J. C. Scaliger : Commentarii et animadversiones on the $\pi \in p i \quad \phi u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ívтopia posthumously published by his son Sylvius at Leyden, 1584. (He also wrote a commentary on the $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aiti $\omega \nu$, which was edited by Robertus Constantinus and pub-
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works the constant implied question is 'What is its difference?', 'What is its essential nature?', viz. 'What are the characteristic features in virtue of which a plant may be distinguished from other plants, and which make up its own 'nature' or essential character?

Theophrastus appears to have been only Aristotle's junior by fifteen years. On Plato's death he became Aristotle's pupil, but, the difference in age not being very great, he and his second master appear to have been on practically equal terms. We are assured that Aristotle was deeply attached to his friend; while as earnest of an equally deep attachment on the other side Theophrastus took Aristotle's son under his particular care after his father's death. Aristotle died at the age of sixty-three, leaving to his favourite pupil his books, including the autographs of his own works, and his garden in the grounds of the Lyceum. The first of these bequests, if the information is correct, is of great historical importance; it may well be that we owe to Theophrastus the publication of some at least of his master's voluminous works. And as to the garden it is evident that it was here that the first systematic botanist made many of the observations which are recorded in his botanical works. Diogenes has preserved his will, and there is nothing in the terms of this interesting document to suggest that it is not authentic. Of special interest is the provision made for the maintenance of the garden; xviii
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it is bequeathed to certain specified friends and to those who will spend their time with them in learning and philosophy; the testator is to be buried in it without extravagant expense, a custodian is appointed, and provision is made for the emancipation of various gardeners, so soon as they have earned their freedom by long enough service.

According to Diogenes Theophrastus died at the age of eighty-five. He is made indeed to say in the probably spurious Preface to the 'Characters' that he is writing in his ninety-ninth year; while St. Jerome's Chronicle asserts that he lived to the age of 107 . Accepting Diogenes' date, we may take it that he died about 285 b.c. ; it is said that he complained that "we die just when we are beginning to live." His life must indeed have been a remarkably full and interesting one, when we consider that he enjoyed the personal friendship of two such men as Plato and Aristotle, and that he had witnessed the whole of the careers of Philip and Alexander of Macedon. To Alexander indeed he was directly indebted; the great conqueror had not been for nothing the pupil of the encyclopaedic Aristotle. He took with him to the East scientifically trained observers, the results of whose observations were at Theophrastus' disposal. Hence it is that his descriptions of plants are not limited to the flora of Greece and the Levant; to the reports of Alexander's followers he owed his accounts of such plants as the cotton-plant, banyan, pepper, cinnamon, myrrh and
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framkincense. It has been a subject of some controversy whence he derived his accounts of plants whose habitat was nearer home. Kirchner, in an able tract, combats the contention of Sprengel that his observations even of the Greek flora were not made at first hand. Now at this period the Peripatetic School must have been a very important educational institution; Diogenes says that under Theophrastus it numbered two thousand pupils. Moreover we may fairly assume that Alexander, from his connexion with Aristotle, was interested in it, while we are told that at a later time Demetrius Phalereus assisted it financially. May we not hazard and guess that a number of the students were appropriately employed in the collection of facts and observations? The assumption that a number of 'travelling students' were so employed would at all events explain certain references in Theophrastus' botanical works. He says constantly 'The Macedonians say,' 'The men of Mount Ida say' and so forth. Now it seems hardly probable that he is quoting from written treatises by Macedonian or Idaean writers. It is at least a plausible suggestion that in such references he is referring to reports of the districts in question contributed by students of the school. In that case "The Macedonians say" would mean 'This is what our representative was told in Macedonia.' It is further noticeable that the tense used is sometimes past, e.g. 'The men of Mount Ida said' ; an obvious explanation of this is XX
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supplied by the above conjecture. It is even posssible that in one place (3.12. 4.) the name of one of these students has been preserved.

Theophastus, like his master, was a very voluminous writer; Diogeness gives a list of 927 treatises from his pen, covering most topies of human interest, as Religion, Polities, Ethies, Education, Rhetoric, Mathematies, Astronomy, Logic, Meleorology and other natural seiences. His oratorical works enjoyed a high reputation in antiquity. Dingeness attributes to him ten works on Rhetoric, of which one On Style was known to Cicero, who adopted from it the classification of styles into the 'grand,' the 'plain,' and the 'intermediate.' ' Of one or two other lost works we have some knowledge. Thus the substance of an essay on Picty is preserved in Porphyry de Abstinentia. ${ }^{2}$ The principal works still extant are the nine books of the Enquiry into Plants, and the six books on the Causes of Plants; these seem to be complete. We have also considerable framents of treatises entitled :- of Sense-perception and objects of Sense, of Stones, of Fire, of Odours, of Winds, of Weather-Signs, of Weariness, of Dizziness, of Sweat, Metaphysies, besides a number of unassigned execrpts. The style of these works, as of the botanical borks, suggests that, as in the case of Aristotle, what we possess consists of notes for lectures or notes taken of lectures. There is no literary charm; the sen-

[^1]
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tences are mostly compressed and highly elliptical, to the point sometimes of obscurity. It follows that translation, as with Aristotle, must be to some extent paraphrase. The thirty sketches of 'Characters' ascribed to Theophrastus, which have found many imitators, and which are well known in this country through Sir R. Jebb's brilliant translation, stand on a quite different footing ; the object of this curious and amusing work is discussed in Sir R. Jebb's Introduction and in the more recent edition of Edmonds and Austen. Well may Aristotle, as we are assured, have commended his pupil's diligence. It is said that, when he retired from the headship of the school, he handed it over to Theophrastus. We are further told that the latter was once prosecuted for impiety, but the attack failed; also that he was once banished from Athens for a year, it does not appear under what circumstances. He was considered an attractive and lively lecturer. Diogenes' sketch ends with the quotation of some sayings attributed to him, of which the most noteworthy are 'Nothing costs us so dear as the waste of time,' 'One had better trust an unbridled horse than an undigested harangue.' He was followed to his grave, which we may hope was, in accordance with his own wish, in some peaceful corner of the Lyceum garden, by a great assemblage of his fellow townsmen.
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The principal references in the notes are to the following ancient authors:-
Apollon. Apollonius, Historia Miraculorum.
Arist. Aristotle. Bekker, Berlin, 1831.
Arr. Arrian. Hercher (Teubner).
Athen. Athenaeus. Dindorf, Leipzig, 1827.
Col. Columella, de re rustica. Schneider, Leipzig, 1794.
Diod. Diodorus.
Diosc. Pedanius Dioscurides, de materia medica. Wellmann, Berlin, 1907.
Geop. Geoponica. Beckh (Teubner), 1895.
Nic. Nicander, Theriaca. Schneider, Leipzig, 1816.
Pall. Palladius, de re rustica. Schneider, Leipzig, 1795.
Paus. Pausanias. Sclubbart (Teubner), Leipzig, 1881.
Plin. Plinius, Naturalis Historia. Mayhoff (Teubner), 1887. (Reference by book and section.)

Plut. Plutarch. Hercher (Teubner), Leipzig, 1872.
Scyl. Scylax, Periplus. Vossius, Ansterdam, 1639.
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## ӨЕОФРАЕТОソ

## ПEPI ФケT』N IミTOPIAミ

## A

I．T $\omega$ ข $\phi v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \phi o p a ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu ~$



 то́тєрає каі̀ $\rho$ ćovs，ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ катà тà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o u s ~$






${ }^{1}$ tà ins．Sch．，om．Ald．H．
${ }^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta$ ，a more general word than $\delta \nu v a ́ \mu \epsilon t s$ ，＇virtues＇： cf．1．5． 4 ；8．4． 2 ；it seems to mean here something like ＇behaviour，＇in relation to environment．Instances of $\pi a$＇$\theta \eta$ are given 4．2．11；4．14． 6.


## THEOPHRASTUS ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS

## BOOK I

Of the Parts of Plants and their Composition. Of Classification.

Introductory: How plants are to be classified; difficulty of cleffining what are the essential 'parts' of a plant especially if plants are assumed to correspond to animals.
I. In considering the distinctive characters of plants and their nature generally one must take into account their ${ }^{1}$ parts, their qualities, ${ }^{2}$ the ways in which their life originates, and the course which it follows in each case : (conduct and activities we do not find in them, as we do in animals). Now the differences in the way in which their life originates, in their qualities and in their life-history are comparatively easy to observe and are simpler, while those shewn ${ }^{3}$ in their 'parts' present more complexity. Indeed it has not even been satisfactorily determined what ought and what ought not to be called 'parts,' and some difficulty is involved in making the distinction.

Now it appears that by a 'part,' seeing that it is something which belongs to the plant's characteristic nature, we mean something which is permanent either absolutely or when once it has appeared (like those parts of animals which remain for a time undeveloped)
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 $\kappa а \rho \pi o ́ s, \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ö $\sigma a \quad \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \hat{\eta}$ ä $\mu a$
 aíєi $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ́ \phi \nu \sigma \iota \nu ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ́ v \delta \rho a ~ \kappa \alpha \tau ’ ~$



 тé $\lambda є \iota a$ Үívєтаı каì фаívєтаı, таv̂тa $\mu \grave{̀}$ єivaı $\mu \in ́ \rho \eta$. ß入абтávоута үàp каì $\theta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau а ~ к а і ~ к а р т о ̀ v ~$

 aûtą.

3
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-permanent, that is, unless it be lost by disease, age or mutilation. However some of the parts of plants are such that their existence is limited to a year, for instance, flower, 'catkin,' ${ }^{1}$ leaf, fruit, in fact all those parts which are antecedent to the fruit or else appear along with it. Also the new shoot itself must be included with these; for trees always make fresh growth every year alike in the parts above ground and in those which pertain to the roots. So that if one sets these ${ }^{2}$ down as ' parts,' the number of parts will be indeterminate and constantly changing; if on the other hand these are not to be called 'parts,' the result will be that things which are essential if the plant is to reach its perfection, and which are its conspicuous features, are nevertheless not 'parts'; for any plant always appears to be, as indeed it is, more comely and more perfect when it makes new growth, blooms, and bears fruit. Such, we may say, are the difficulties involved in defining a 'part.'

But perhaps we should not expect to find in plants a complete correspondence with animals in regard to those things which concern reproduction any more than in other respects; and so we should reckon as 'parts' even those things to which the plant gives birth, for instance their fruits, although ${ }^{3}$ we do not so reckon the unborn young of animals. (However, if such ${ }^{4}$ a product seems fairest to the eye, because the plant is then in its prime, we can draw no inference from this in
 enim $=n o n$ enim) ; $\gamma$ à $\rho$ refers back to the beginning of the $\S$.
 W.; тоиิто, i.e. flower or fruit.
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 ки́ovta.

Пол入à $\delta$ è каì тà $\mu \epsilon ́ p \eta ~ к а \tau ' ~ \epsilon ́ v ı a v \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \pi o-~$
 тà $\phi \omega \lambda \epsilon$ v́ov $\alpha$ тà $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \rho i ́ \chi a s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ m o \delta a . ~$
 $\phi \cup \lambda \lambda о \beta o \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu$ тò $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$.


 סє̀ $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s$ каi $\tau a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~}$
 $\tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$.




 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi a ́ \rho เ \nu . ~ o ̋ \sigma a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu \eta े ~ o i o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ ф o-~$
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support of our argument, since even among animals those that are with young are at their best. ${ }^{1}$ )

Again many plants shed their parts every year, even as stags shed their horns, birds which hibernate ${ }^{2}$ their feathers, four-footed beasts their hair : so that it is not strange that the parts of plants should not be permanent, especially as what thus occurs in animals and the shedding of leaves in plants are analogous processes.

In like manner the parts concerned with reproduction are not permanent in plants; for even in animals there are things which are separated from the parent when the young is born, and there are other things ${ }^{3}$ which are cleansed away, as though neither of these belonged to the animal's essential nature. And so too it appears to be with the growth of plants; for of course growth leads up to reproduction as the completion of the process. ${ }^{4}$

And in general, as we have said, we must not assume that in all respects there is complete correspondence between plants and animals. And that is why the number also of parts is indeterminate ; for a plant has the power of growth in all its parts, inasmuch as it has life in all its parts. Wherefore we should assume the truth to be as I have said, not only in regard to the matters now before us, but in view also of those which will come before us presently; for it is waste of time to take great pains to make comparisons where that is impossible, and in so doing we may lose sight also of our proper subject of enquiry. The enquiry into plants, to put it generally, may
${ }^{3}$ i.e. the embryo is not the only thing derived from the parent animal which is not a 'part' of it; there is also the food-supply producerl with the young, and the after-birth.
${ }^{4}$ cf.C.P. 1. 11.8.
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 $\phi \lambda o l o ́ s . \quad$ oủ $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o u ̛ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon l ้ ~ \tau \iota ~ к а т ' ~$






 $\tau \grave{a}$ ठє̀ $\mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$ фú入入a каì карто́v, $\hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ö $\mu о \imath \alpha \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ in $\sigma a$, $\hat{\eta}$ трítov $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \grave{\eta}$ of $\mu o i ́ \omega s$.
 Хрю́ $\mu а т \iota ~ \pi v к \nu о ́ т \eta т \iota ~ \mu а \nu о ́ т \eta т \iota ~ т р а \chi и ́ т \eta т \iota ~ \lambda є \iota o ́ т \eta т \iota ~$
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either take account of the external parts and the form of the plant generally, or else of their internal parts: the latter method corresponds to the study of animals by dissection.

Further we must consider which parts belong to all plants alike, which are peculiar to some one kind, and which of those which belong to all alike are themselves alike in all cases; for instance, leaves roots bark. And again, if in some cases analogy ought to be considered (for instance, an analogy presented by animals), we must keep this also in view ; and in that case we must of course make the closest resemblances and the most perfectly developed examples our standard; ${ }^{1}$ and, finally, the ways in which the parts of plants are affected must be compared to the corresponding effects in the case of animals, so far as one can in any given case find an analogy for comparison. So let these definitions stand.

The essential parts of plants, and the materials of which they are made.
Now the differences in regard to parts, to take a general view, are of three kinds: either one plant may possess them and another not (for instance, leaves and fruit), or in one plant they may be unlike in appearance or size to those of another, or, thirdly, they may be differently arranged. Now the unlikeness between them is seen in form, colour, closeness of arrangement or its opposite, roughness or its opposite, and the other qualities; and again there are the various differences of flavour. The inequality is seen in excess or defect as to number or size, or, to speals generally, ${ }^{2}$ all the above-mentioned differences too
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 тоѝs картоùs тà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi a ́ \nu \omega ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ ن ́ \pi о к а ́ \tau \omega ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$











 $\kappa \alpha \tau ’$ ar $\rho \iota \theta \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ l' $\sigma o \iota, \kappa \alpha \theta a ́ т \epsilon \rho ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \rho \iota o ́ \zeta \omega \nu$.



Av̉̃à $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тà $\mu \in ́ \rho \eta ~ \delta ı a \rho ı \theta \mu \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$
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are included under excess andedefect: for the 'more' and the 'less' are the same thing as excess and defect, whereas 'differently arranged' implies a difference of position; for instance, the fruit may be above or below the leaves, ${ }^{1}$ and, as to position on the tree itself, the fruit may grow on the apex of it or on the side branches, and in some cases even on the trunk, as in the sycamore; while some plants again even bear their fruit underground, for instance arakhidna ${ }^{2}$ and the plant called in Egypt uingon; again in some plants the fruit has a stalk, in some it has none. There is a like difference in the floral organs: in some cases they actually surround the fruit, in others they are differently placed ${ }^{3}$ : in fact it is in regard to the fruit, the leaves, and the shoots that the question of position has to be considered.

Or again there are differences as to symmetry ${ }^{4}$ : in some cases the arrangement is irregular, while the branches of the silver-fir are arranged opposite one another; and in some cases the branches are at equal distances apart, and correspond in number, as where they are in three rows. ${ }^{5}$

Wherefore the differences between plants must be observed in these particulars, since taken together they shew forth the general character of each plant.

But, before we attempt to speak about each, we must make a list of the parts themselves. Now the primary and most important parts, which are also common to most, are these-root, stem, branch, twig; these are the parts into which we might divide the plant, regarding them as members, ${ }^{6}$ corresponding to
${ }^{4}$ Plin. 16. $122 . \quad 5$ i.e. ternate.
${ }^{6}$ i.e. if we wished to make an anatomical division. $\mu$ é $\lambda \eta$ conj. Sch. $c f .1 .2 .7 ; \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ Ald.
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 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ т o ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ т ย ́ т є є о \nu . ~$

Kai таиิта $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ о і к є є о ́ т є \rho a ~ т \hat{\nu \nu ~ \delta є ́ \nu \delta р \omega \nu . ~}$
 Sè où mávta oủठè toûtov，oîov êvia tôv Tol $\omega$－




 $11 \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$ каì коı入ía．тà $\delta$ è ìva入o才ía таűtà тà $\delta ’$


 $\phi \lambda \in ́ ß a s, ~$ îov $\mu u ́ к \eta \varsigma ~ v ̋ \delta \nu o v . ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ т о u ́ t o l s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ ท ̀ ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ т о i ̂ s ~ т о ו o u ́ t o \iota s . ~ đ ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma т a ~ т a v ̂ t a ~$

[^6]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. I. 9-il

the members of animals: for each of these is distinct in character from the rest, and together they make up the whole.

The root is that by which the plant draws its nourishment, the stem that to which it is conducted. And by the 'stem' I mean that part which grows above ground and is single ${ }^{1}$; for that is the part which occurs most generally both in annuals and in long-lived plants; and in the case of trees it is called the 'trumk.' By 'branches' I mean the parts which split off from the stem and are called by some 'boughs.' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ By 'twig' I mean the growth which springs from the branch regarded as a single whole, ${ }^{3}$ and especially such an annual growth.

Now these parts belong more particularly to trees. The stem however, as has been said, is more general, though not all plants possess even this, for instance, some herbaceous plants are stemless; others again have it, not permanently, but as an amual growth, including some whose roots live beyond the year. ${ }^{4}$ In fact your plant is a thing various and manifold, and so it is difficult to describe in general terms: in proof whereof we have the fact that we cannot here seize on any universal character which is common to all, as a mouth and a stomach are common to all animals; whereas in plants some characters are the same in all, merely in the sense that all have analogous ${ }^{5}$ characters, while others correspond otherwise. For not all plants have root, stem, branch, twig, leaf, flower or fruit, or again bark, core, fibres or veins; for instance, fungi and truffles; and yet these and such like characters belong to a plant's essential nature. However, as has been said, these
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characters belong especially to trees, and our classification of characters belongs more particularly to these ; and it is right to make these the standard in treating of the others.

Trees moreover shew forth fairly well the other features also which distinguish plants; for they exhibit differences in the number or fewness of these which they possess, as to the closeness or openness of their growth, as to their being single or divided, and in other like respects. Moreover each of the characters mentioned is not 'composed of like parts'1; by which I mean that though any given part of the root or trunk is composed of the same elements as the whole, yet the part so taken is not itself called 'trunk,' but 'a portion of a trunk.' The case is the same with the members of an animal's body; to wit, any part of the leg or arm is composed of the same elements as the whole, yet it does not bear the same name (as it does in the case of flesh or bone ${ }^{2}$ ); it has no special name. Nor again have subdivisions of any of those other organic parts ${ }^{3}$ which are uniform special names, subdivisions of all such being nameless. But the subdivisions of those parts ${ }^{4}$ which are compound have names, as have those of the foot, hand, and head, for instance, toe, finger, nose or eye. Such then are the largest ${ }^{5}$ parts of the plant.
II. Again there are the things of which such parts are composed, namely bark, wood, and core (in the case of those plants which have it ${ }^{6}$ ), and these are all 'composed of like parts.' Further there are the things which are even prior to these, from which
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${ }^{1}$ oüala conj. Sch. (but he retracted it); auvouría MSS. (?) Ald.
${ }^{2}$ This definition is quoted by Hesych. sc. $\mu$ io $\chi$ os.
:3 ? om. $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \xi$, which is mentioned below.
${ }^{\ddagger} \tau \delta \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \mu \in \nu=\nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a$, lit. 'the compound seed,' i.e. as many seeds as are contained in one $\pi \in \rho เ \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \pi t o v$.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, 1. II. I-3

they are derived-sap, fibre, veins, flesh: for these are elementary substances-unless one should prefer to call them the active principles of the elements; and they are common to all the parts' of the plant. Thus the essence ${ }^{1}$ and entire material of plants consist in these.

Again there are other as it were annual parts, which help towards the production of the fruit, as leaf, flower, stalk (that is, the part by which the leaf and the fruit are attached to the plant), ${ }^{2}$ and again tendril,, 'catkin' (in those plants that have them). And in all cases there is the seed which belongs to the fruit: by 'fruit' is meant the seed or seeds, ${ }^{4}$ together with the seed-vessel. Besides these there are in some cases peculiar parts, such as the gall in the oak, or the tendril in the vine.

In the case of trees we may thus distinguish the annual parts, while it is plain that in amnual plants all the parts are annual: for the end of their being is attained when the fruit is produced. And with those plants which bear fruit annually, those which take two years (such as celery and certain others ${ }^{5}$ ) and those which have fruit on them for a longer time -with all these the stem will correspond to the plant's length of life : for plants develop a stem at whatever time they are about to bear seed, seeing that the stem exists for the sake of the seed.

Let this suffice for the definition of these parts: and now we must endeavour to say what each of the parts just mentioned is, giving a general and typical description.

The sap is obvious: some call it simply in all cases 'juice,' as does Menestor ${ }^{6}$ among others: others, in

[^9]VOL. I.
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 à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \grave{i} \delta \iota a ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \mu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \in \hat{\imath}$






 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~} \dot{\eta}$ ờ $\lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a$ $\iota \iota a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$.
${ }^{'} H \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oû̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu$ єíp $\eta \tau a l \cdot \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$

 $\grave{\omega} \nu$ خàp каi таи̂тa каi тà ä $\lambda \lambda a$ бú $\gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota$

 фитò̀ é $\chi \in \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho о ́ т \eta т а ~ к а i ~ \theta є \rho \mu o ́ т \eta \tau а ~ \sigma и ́ \mu-~$

 5 тos каì aüavots. Є̇v $\mu$ èv ô̂v roîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o l s ~ a ̉ \nu c ́-~$
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the case of some plants give it no special name, while in some they call it 'juice,' and in others 'gum.' Fibre and 'veins' ${ }^{1}$ have no special names in relation to plants, but, because of the resemblance, borrow the names of the corresponding parts of animals. ${ }^{2}$ It may be however that, not only these things, but the world of plants generally, exhibits also other differences as compared with animals: for, as we have said, ${ }^{3}$ the world of plants is manifold. However, since it is by the help of the better known that we must pursue the unknown, and better known are the things which are larger and plainer to our senses, it is clear that it is right to speak of these things in the way indicated: for then in dealing with the less known things we shall be making these better known things our standard, and shall ask how far and in what manner comparison is possible in each case. And when we have taken the parts, ${ }^{4}$ we must next take the differences which they exhibit, ${ }^{5}$ for thus will their essential nature become plain, and at the same time the general differences between one kind of plant and another.

Now the nature of the most important parts has been indicated already, that is, such parts as the root, the stem, and the rest: their functions and the reasons for which each of them exists will be set forth presently. For we must endeavour to state of what these, as well as the rest, are composed, starting from their elementary constituents.

First come moisture and warmth : for every plant, like every animal, has a certain amount of moisture and warmth which essentially belong to it ; and, if these fall short, age and decay, while, if they fail altogether, death and withering ensue. Now in
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 тò $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v}$ тov̂ $\xi$ únov, трítov ảтò rov̂ $\phi \lambda o l o v ̂ ~ o i ̂ o v ~$
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most ${ }^{1}$ plants the moisture has no special name, but in some it has such a name, as has been said ${ }^{2}$ : and this also holds good of animals: for it is only the moisture of those which have blood which has received a name; wherefore we distinguish animals by the presence or absence of blood, calling some 'animals with blood,' others 'bloodless.' Moisture then is one essential 'part,' and so is warmth, which is closely connected with it.

There are also other internal characters, which in themselves have no special name, but, because of their resemblance, have names analogous to those of the parts of animals. Thus plants have what corresponds to muscle; and this quasi-muscle is continuous, fissile, long : moreover no other growth starts from it either branching from the side ${ }^{3}$ or in continuation of it. Again ${ }^{4}$ plants have veins: these in other respects resemble the 'muscle,' ${ }^{5}$ but they are longer and thicker, and have side-growths and contain moisture. Then there are wood and flesh : for some plants have flesh, some wood. Wood is fissile, ${ }^{6}$ while flesh can be broken up in any direction, like earth and things made of earth : it is intermediate between fibre and veins, its nature being clearly seen especially in the outer covering ${ }^{7}$ of seed-vessels. Bark and core are properly so called, ${ }^{8}$ yet they too must be defined. Bark then is the outside, and is separable from the substance which it covers. Core is that which forms the middle of the wood, being third ${ }^{9}$ in order from the bark, and corresponding to the marrow in bones. Some call this part the 'heart,' others call it 'heart-wood': some
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 $\mu \eta \dot{\tau} \rho a \varsigma$ aủtท̂s карסíav, oí $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu v \in \lambda o ́ v$.
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 $\tau \omega s$ ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ ठıaфóp $\omega s$.






 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$, סév $\delta \rho o \nu$ $\theta a ́ \mu \nu o s ~ \phi \rho v-$ үаעоу то́a.
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again call only the inner part of the core itself the 'heart,' while others distinguish this as the 'marrow.'

Here then we have a fairly complete list of the 'parts,' and those last named are composed of the first 'parts'; wood is made of fibre and sap, and in some cases of flesh also; for the flesh hardens and turns to wood, for instance in palms ferula and in other plants in which a turning to wood takes place, as in the roots of radishes. Core is made of moisture and flesh: bark in some cases of all three constituents, as in the oak black poplar and pear; while the bark of the vine is made of sap and fibre, and that of the cork-oak ${ }^{1}$ of flesh and sap. Moreover out of these constituents are made the most important parts, ${ }^{2}$ those which I mentioned first, and which may be called 'members' : however not all of them are made of the same constituents, nor in the same proportion, but the constituents are combined in various ways.

Having now, we may say, taken all the parts, we must endeavour to give the differences between them and the essential characters of trees and plants taken as wholes.

Definitions of the rarious clusses into which plunts may be divided.
III. Now since our study becomes more illuminating ${ }^{3}$ if we distinguish different kinds, ${ }^{4}$ it is well to follow this plan where it is possible. The first and most important classes, those which comprise all or nearly all ${ }^{5}$ plants, are tree, shrub, under-shrub, herb.

A tree is a thing which springs from the root with
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 $\kappa \lambda a \delta o v$ ，oîov ßátos ma入íoupos．фрúyavov dè тò
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a single stem, having knots and several branches, and it cannot easily be uprooted ; for instance, olive fig vine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ shrub is a thing which rises from the root with many branches; for instance, bramble Christ's thorn. An under-shrub is a thing which rises from the root with many stems as well as many branches; for instance, savory ${ }^{2}$ rue. A herb is a thing which comes up from the root with its leaves and has no main stem, and the seed is borne on the stem ; for instance, corn and pot-herbs.

These definitions however must be taken and accepted as applying generally and on the whole. For in the case of some plants it might seem that our definitions overlap; and some under cultivation appear to become different and depart from their essential nature, for instance, mallow ${ }^{3}$ when it grows tall and becomes tree-like. For this comes to pass in no long time, not more than six or seven months, so that in length and thickness the plant becomes as great as a spear, and men accordingly use it as a walking-stick, and after a longer period the result of cultivation is proportionately greater. So too is it with the beets; they also increase in stature under cultivation, and so still more do chaste-tree Christ's thorn ivy, so that, as is generally admitted, these become trees, and yet they belong to the class of shrubs. On the other hand the myrtle, unless it is pruned, turns into a shrub, and so does filbert ${ }^{4}$ : indeed this last appears to bear better and more abundant fruit, if one leaves

Note that W.'s transposition gives kal... kai the proper force; §4 shews that the typical ppúravov in T.'s view was $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \sigma \tau$ '́̀ $\lambda \epsilon \chi \in \mathrm{S}$.
${ }^{2} \theta_{\dot{v} \mu \beta \rho \alpha}$ conj. W.; $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \nu \eta$ MSS. But the first кal being meaningless, W. also suggests $\sigma \tau \sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \beta \rho \circ \frac{\nu}{\text { for }} \kappa$ кail $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho \eta$.
${ }^{3}$ cf. Plin. 19. 62, ${ }^{4}$ cf. 3. 15. 1,
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4 Táxa $\delta^{\prime}$ äv тıs фаíך каì ő $\lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \iota-~$
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a good many of its branches untouched, since it is by nature like a shrub. Again neither the apple nor the pomegranate nor the pear would seem to be a tree of a single stem, nor indeed any of the trees which have side stems from the roots, but they acquire the character of a tree when the other stems are removed. However some trees men even leave with their numerous stems because of their slenderness, for instance, the pomegranate and the apple, and they leave the stems of the olive and the fig cut short. ${ }^{1}$
Exact classification impracticable: other possible bases of classification.
Indeed it might be suggested that we should classify in some cases simply by size, and in some cases by comparative robustness or length of life. For of under-shrubs and those of the pot-herb class some have only one stem and come as it were to have the character of a tree, such as cabbage ${ }^{2}$ and rue: wherefore some call these 'tree-herbs'; and in fact all or most of the pot-herb class, when they have been long in the ground, acquire a sort of branches, and the whole plant comes to have a tree-like shape, though it is shorter lived than a tree.

For these reasons then, as we are saying, one must not make a too precise definition; we should make our definitions typical. For we must make our distinctions too on the same principle, as those between wild and cultivated plants, fruitbearing and fruitless, flowering and flowerless, evergreen and deciduous. Thus the distinction between wild and cultivated seems to be due simply to cultivation, since, as Hippon ${ }^{3}$ remarks, any plant may be either ${ }^{4}$ wild or cultivated according as it receives or ${ }^{5}$ does not receive attention.

## THEOPHRASTUS

äкарта $\delta$ è каі̀ ки́ртьна каі̀ àvӨофо́ра каì ar $\nu а \nu \theta \hat{\eta}$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. iii. 5-IV. I

Again the distinctions between fruitless and fruitbearing, ${ }^{1}$ flowering and flowerless, seem to be due to position and the climate of the district. And so too with the distinction between deciduous and evergreen. ${ }^{2}$ Thus they say that in the district of Elephantine neither vines nor figs lose their leaves.

Nevertheless we are bound to use such distinctions. ${ }^{3}$ For there is a certain common character alike in trees, shrubs, under-shrubs, and herbs. Wherefore, when one mentions the causes also, one must take account of all alike, not giving separate definitions for each class, it being reasonable to suppose that the causes too are common to all. And in fact there seems to be some natural difference from the first in the case of wild and cultivated, seeing that some plants cannot live under the conditions of those grown in cultivated ground, and do not submit to cultivation at all, but deteriorate under it; for instance, silver-fir fir holly, and in general those which affect cold snowy country; and the same is also true of some of the under-shrubs and herbs, such as caper and lupin. Now in using the terms 'cultivated' and 'wild' ${ }^{4}$ we must make these ${ }^{5}$ on the one hand our standard, and on the other that which is in the truest sense ${ }^{6}$ 'cultivated.' ${ }^{7}$ Now Man, if he is not the only thing to which this name is strictly appropriate, is at least that to which it most applies.

## Differences as to appearance and habitat.

IV. Again the differences, both between the plants as wholes and between their parts, may be seen in
${ }^{6}$ \% $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi \rho \partial s \tau \delta$. ? $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \tau \delta \delta \lambda \omega s$ conj. St.
 which seems to be an irrelevant gloss.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ t \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \rho a \chi u ́ \tau \eta \varsigma, \phi \lambda o \iota o v ̂ ~ \phi u ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$,
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 єủкра́тovs $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.














 ö $\lambda \omega s$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\delta \iota \omega ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \xi ~ \eta \rho o u ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ т о ч \varsigma^{\cdot} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\lambda a \tau \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ à каì тoùs cíyıa入oús.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. iv. r-2

the appearance itself ${ }^{1}$ of the plant. I mean differences such as those in size, hardness, smoothness or their opposites, as seen in bark, leaves, and the other parts; also, in general, differences as to comeliness or its opposite and as to the production of good or of inferior fruit. For the wild kinds appear to bear more fruit, for instance, the wild pear and wild olive, but the cultivated plants better fruit, having even flavours which are sweeter and pleasanter and in general better blended, if one may so say.

These then as has been said, are differences of natural character, as it were, and still more so are those between fruitless and fruitful, deciduous and evergreen plants, and the like. But with all the differences in all these cases we must take into account the locality, ${ }^{2}$ and indeed it is hardly possible to do otherwise. Such ${ }^{3}$ differences would seem to give us a lind of division into classes, for instance, between that of aquatic plants and that of plants of the dry land, corresponding to the division which we make in the case of animals. For there are some plants which cannot live except in wet; and again these are distinguished from one another by their fondness for different kinds of wetness; so that some grow in marshes, others in lakes, others in rivers, others even in the sea, smaller ones in our own sea, larger ones in the Red Sea. ${ }^{4}$ Some again, one may say, are lovers of very wet places, ${ }^{5}$ or plants of the marshes, such as the willow and the plane. Others again cannot live at all ${ }^{6}$ in water, but seek out dry places; and of the smaller sorts there are some that prefer the shore.

[^18]
## THEOPHRASTUS
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[^19]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. iv. $3^{-v .}$ r

However, if one should wish ${ }^{1}$ to be precise, one would find ${ }^{2}$ that even of these some are impartial and as it were amphibious, such as tamarisk willow alder, and that others even of those which are admitted to be plants of the dry land sometimes live in the sea, ${ }^{3}$ as paln squill asphodel. But to consider all these exceptions and, in general, to consider in such a manner is not the right way to proceed. For in such matters too nature certainly does not thus go by any hard and fast law. Our distinctions therefore and the study of plants in general must be understood accordingly. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ To return-these plants as well as all others will be found to differ, as has been said, both in the shape of the whole and in the differences between the parts, either as to having or not having certain parts, or as to having a greater or less number of parts, or as to having them differently arranged, or because of other differences ${ }^{5}$ such as we have already mentioned. And it is perhaps also proper to take into account the situation in which each plant naturally grows or does not grow. For this is an important distinction, and specially characteristic of plants, because they are united to the ground and not free from it like animals.

Churacteristic differences in the parts of plants, whether general, special, or seen in qualities and properties.
V. Next we must try to give the differences as to particular parts, in the first instance speaking broadly of those of a general character, ${ }^{6}$ and then

[^20]
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 àva日є $\omega \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \varsigma$.
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[^21]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. v. 1-2

of special differences between individual kinds ; and after that we must take a wider range, making as it were a fresh survey. ${ }^{1}$

Some plants grow straight up and have tall stems, as silver-fir fir cypress; some are by comparison crooked and have short stems, as willow fig pomegranate ; and there are like differences as to degree of thickness. Again some have a single stem, others many stems; and this difference corresponds ${ }^{2}$ more or less to that between those which have sidegrowths and those which have none, or that between those which have many branches and those which have few, such as the date-palm. And in these very instances we have also differences in strength thickness and the like. Again some have thin bark, such as bay and lime; others have a thick bark, such as the oak. And again some have smouth bark, as apple and fig; others rough bark, as 'wild oak' (Yalonia oak) cork-oak and date-palm. However all plants when young have smoother bark, which gets rougher ${ }^{3}$ as they get older; and some have crackerl bark, ${ }^{4}$ as the vine; and in some cases it readily clrops off, as in andrache apple ${ }^{5}$ and arbutus. And again of some the bark is fleshy, as in cork-oak oak poplar; while in others it is fibrous and not fleshy; and this applies alike to trees shrubs and annual plants, for instance to vines reeds and wheat. Again in some the bark has more than one layer, as in lime silver-fir vine Spanish broom ${ }^{6}$ onions ${ }^{7}$; while in some it consists of only
 $\phi \lambda$ оьа $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald. cf. 4. 15. 2, Plin. l.c.
${ }^{5} \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ conj. H. Steph., etc.; $\nu \eta \lambda \epsilon$ ía UMPAll.; vض́лєє $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}$. cf. Plin. l.c.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. v. 2-4

one coat, as in fig reed darnel. Such are the respects in which bark differs.

Next of the woods themselves and of stems generally some are fleshy, as in oak and fig, and, among lesser plants, in buckthorn ${ }^{1}$ beet hemlock ${ }^{2}$; while some are not fleshy, for instance, prickly cedar nettle-tree cypress. Again some are fibrous, for of this character is the wood of the silver-fir and the date-palm; while some are not fibrous, ${ }^{3}$ as in the fig. In like manner some are full of 'veins,' others veinless. Further in shrubby plants and undershrubs and in woody plants ${ }^{4}$ in general one might find other differences: thus the reed is jointed, while the bramble and Christ's thorn have thorns on the wood. Bulrush and some of the marsh or pond plants are in like manner ${ }^{5}$ without joints and smooth, like the rush; and the stem of galingale and sedge has a certain smoothness beyond those just mentioned; and still more perhaps has that of the mushroom.

## Differences as to qualities and properties.

These then would seem to be the differences in the parts which make up the plant. Those which belong to the qualities ${ }^{8}$ and properties are such as hardness or softness, toughness or brittleness, closeness or openness of texture, lightness or heaviness, and the like. For willow-wood is light from the first, even when it is green, and so is that of the cork-oak; but box and ebony are not light even when dried. Some woods again can be split, ${ }^{7}$ such
${ }^{5}{ }_{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o}$ í $\omega$ s, sense doubtful ; $\delta \mu \omega \nu \grave{\jmath} \mu \omega \nu$ conj. W.
${ }^{6} \pi \alpha \dot{d} \theta \eta, c f .1 .1 .1 \mathrm{n}$.
 fissiles G .
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. v. _-vi. $2^{2}$

as that of the silver-fir, while others are rather breakable, ${ }^{1}$ such as the wood of the olive. Again some are without knots, ${ }^{2}$ as the stems of elder, others have knots, as those of fir and silver-fir.

Now such differences also must be ascribed to the essential character of the plant: for the reason why the wood of silver-fir is easily split is that the grain is straight, while the reason why olive-wood is easily broken ${ }^{3}$ is that it is crooked and hard. Limewood and some other woods on the other hand are easily bent because their sap is viscid. ${ }^{4}$ Boxwood and ebony are heavy because the grain is close, and oak because it contains mineral matter. ${ }^{5}$ In like manner the other peculiarities too can in some way be referred to the essential character.

## Further 'special' differences.

VI. Again there are differences in the 'core': in the first place according as plants have any or have none, as some say ${ }^{6}$ is the case with elder anong other things; and in the second place there are differences between those which have it, since in different plants it is respectively fleshy, woody, or membranous; fleshy, as in vine fig apple pomegranate elder ferula; woody, as in Aleppo pine silver-fir fir; in the lastnamed ${ }^{7}$ especially so, because it is resinous. Harder again and closer than these is the core of dog-wood kermes-oak oak laburnum mulberry ebony nettletree.

The cores in themselves also differ in colour; for that of ebony and oak is black, and in fact in the oak it is called 'oak-black'; and in all these the core is harder and more brittle than the ordinary
${ }^{7}$ aŭนท conj. Sch.; aủ兀ท̀ UAld.; aỉ $\frac{1}{n}$ MV ; aỉvท̂s $\mathrm{P}_{2}$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. v. $2-4$

wood; and for this reason the core of these trees can not be bent. Again the core differs in closeness of texture. ${ }^{1}$ A membranous core is not common in trees, if indeed it is found at all; but it is found in shrubby plants and woody plants generally, as in reed ferula and the like. Again in some the core is large and conspicuous, as in kermes-oak oak and the other trees mentioned above; while in others it is less conspicuous, as in olive and box. For in these trees one cannot find it isolated, but, as some say, it is not found in the middle of the stem, being diffused throughout, so that it has no separate place; and for this reason some trees might be thought to have no core at all; in fact in the date-palm the wood is alike throughout. ${ }^{2}$

## Differences in root.

${ }^{3}$ Again plants differ in their roots, some having many long roots, as fig oak plane; for the roots of these, if they have room, run to any length. Others again have few roots, as pomegranate and apple, others a single root, as silver-fir and fir; these have a single root in the sense that they have one long one ${ }^{4}$ which runs deep, and a number of small ones branching from this. Even in some of those which have more than a single root the middle root is the largest and goes deep, for instance, in the almond; in the olive this central root is small, while the others are larger and, as it were, spread out crabwise. ${ }^{5}$ Again the roots of some are mostly stout, of some of various degrees of stoutness, as those of bay and olive; and of some they are all slender, as those of the vine. Roots also differ in degree of smoothness and in density. For the roots of all

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 à $\theta$ рóas каi тол入ás．



 ở $\mu$ óvov $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ Sıà тoùs тótovs т

 то九аи̂ta бко入ıoûtal סıà тò $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єủoסєîv．












 from Athen．2． 59 ：кepaúviov conj．W．cf．Plin．3． 36 and 37， Juv．5．117．＂єika̧oúsaıs：word corrupt；so UIITAld．
${ }^{3}$ Plin．19． 98.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vi. $4^{-6}$

plants are less dense than the parts above ground, but the density varies in different kinds, as also does the woodiness. Some are fibrous, as those of the silver-fir, some fleshier, as those of the oak, some are as it were branched and tassel-like, as those of the olive ; and this is because they have a large number of fine small roots close together ; for all in fact produce these from their large roots, but they are not so closely matted nor so numerous in some cases as in others.

Again some plants are deep-rooting, as the oak, and some have surface roots, as olive pomegranate apple cypress. Again some roots are straight and uniform, others crooked and crossing one another. For this comes to pass not merely on account of the situation because they cannot find a straight course; it may also belong to the natural character of the plant, as in the bay and the olive; while the fig and such like become crooked because they can not find a straight course.

All roots have core, just as the stems and branches do, which is to be expected, as all these parts are made of the same materials. Some roots again have side-growths shooting upwards, as those of the vine and pomegranate, while some have no side-growth, as those of silver-fir cypress and fir. The same differences are found in under-shrubs and herbaceous plants and the rest, except that some have no roots, at all, as truflle mushroom bullfist ${ }^{1}$ 'thunder-truffle.' Others have numerous roots, as wheat one-seeded wheat barley and all plants of like nature, for instance, ${ }^{2}$. . . . Some have few roots, as leguminous plants. ${ }^{3}$ And in general most of the potherbs have single roots, as cabbage beet celery
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. v. 6-8

monk's rhubarb; but some have large side-roots, as celery and beet, and in proportion to their size these root deeper than trees. Again of some the roots are Heshy, as in radish turnip cuckoo-pint crocus; of some they are woody, as in rocket and basil. And so with most wild plants, except those whose roots are to start with numerous and much divided, as those of wheat barley and the plant specially ${ }^{1}$ called 'grass.' For in amnual and herbaceous plants this is the difference between the roots:-Some are more numerous and uniform and much divided to start with, but the others have one or two specially large roots and others springing from them.

To speak generally, the differences in roots are more numerous in shrubby plants and pot-herbs; ${ }^{2}$ for some are woody, as those of basil, some fleshy, as those of beet, and still more those of cuckoo-pint asphodel and crocus; some again are made, as it were, of bark and flesh, as those of radishes and turnips; some have joints, as those of reeds and dog's tooth grass and of anything of a reedy character; and these roots alone, or more than any others, resemble the parts above ground; they are in fact like ${ }^{3}$ reeds fastened in the ground by their fine roots. Some again have scales or a kind of bark, as those of squill and purse-tassels, and also of onion and things like these. In all these it is possible to strip off a coat.

Now all such plants, seem, as it were, to have two kinds of root; and so, in the opinion of some, this is true generally of all plants which have a solid 'head'4 and send out roots from it downwards. These have,

3 i.e. the main root is a sort of repetition of the part above ground. ${ }^{4}$ i.e. bulb, corm, rhizome, etc.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vi. 8-9

that is to say, this fleslyy or bark-like root, like squill, as well as the ${ }^{1}$ roots which grow from this. For these roots not only differ in degree of stoutness, like those of trees and pot-herbs; they are of quite distinct classes. ${ }^{2}$ This is at once quite evident in cuckoo-pint and galingale, ${ }^{3}$ the root being in the one case thick smooth and fleshy, in the other thin and fibrous. Wherefore we might question if such roots should be called 'roots' ; inasmuch as they are under ground they would seem to be roots, but, inasmuch as they are of opposite character to other roots, they would not. For your root gets slenderer as it gets longer and tapers continuously ${ }^{4}$ to a point; but the so-called root of squill purse-tassels and cuckoo-pint does just the opposite.

Again, while the others send out roots at the sides, this is not the case ${ }^{5}$ with squill and pursetassels, nor yet with garlic and onion. In general in these plants the roots which are attached to the 'head' in the middle appear to be real roots and receive nourishment, ${ }^{6}$ and this 'head' is, as it were, an embryo or fruit; wherefore those who call such plants 'plants which reproduce themselves underground' 7 give a fair account of them. In other kinds of plants there is nothing of this sort. ${ }^{s}$ But a difficult question is raised, since here the 'root' has a character which goes beyond what one associates with roots. For it is not right to call all that which is underground 'root,' since in that case the stalk ${ }^{9}$ of purse-tassels and that of long onion and in general any part which is under-
 ting $\tau \epsilon$ ) Ald. (omitting $\tau 0 i ̄ s)$.

® à $\nu \delta$ к ки入ós conj. St.; àváккu入os Ald.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 каì үàp тои̂тo maұєías $\tau \varepsilon$ каi $\pi \lambda$ єíous é $\chi \in \iota$ тàs


${ }_{2}^{2} \beta \dot{a}$ nous conj. Sch.; $\beta$ ad dos Ald.
${ }^{2}$ кal of W. after U; cal om. Ald.; G omits also to before oü̈ryov, making the three plants synonymous. The passage is cited by Athen., l.c., with considerable variation.
${ }^{3}$ тo九áviŋข conj. St.; тoбaúт $\eta \nu$ MSS.
${ }^{4}$ ie. the fleshy root (tuber, etc.).
5 ie. the fibrous root (root proper).

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vi. 9-i i

ground ${ }^{1}$ would be a root, and so would the truffle, the plant which ${ }^{2}$ some call puff-ball, the wingon, and all other underground plants. Whereas none of these is a root; for we must base our definition on natural function and not on position.

However it may be that this is a true account and yet that such things are roots no less; but in that case we distinguish two different kinds of root, one being of this character ${ }^{3}$ and the other of the other, and the one ${ }^{4}$ getting its nourishment from the other ${ }^{5}$; though the fleshy roots too themselves seem to draw nourishment. At all events men invert ${ }^{6}$ the roots of cuckoo-pint before it shoots, and so they become larger by being prevented from pushing ${ }^{7}$ through to make a shoot. For it is evident that the nature of all such plants is to turn downwards for choice; for the stems and the upper parts generally are short and weak, while the underground parts are large numerous and strong, and that, not only in the instances given, but in reeds dog's-tooth grass and in general in all plants of a reedy character and those like them. Those too which resemble ferula ${ }^{3}$ have large fleshy roots.
${ }^{9}$ Many herbaceous plants likewise have such roots, as colchicum ${ }^{10}$ crocus and the plant called 'par-tridge-plant'; for this too has thick roots which are more numerous than its leaves. ${ }^{11}$ (It is called the 'partridge-plant' because partridges roll in it and grub it up.) So too with the plant called in Egypt


${ }^{8}$ i.e. have a hollow stem (umbelliferous plants, more or less). $\quad{ }^{2}$ Plin. 19. 99.

${ }_{11}$ Plin. 21. 102.
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uingon ${ }^{1}$; for its leaves are large ${ }^{2}$ and its shoots short, while the root is long and is, as it were, the firut. It is an excellent thing ${ }^{3}$ and is eaten; men gather it when the river goes down by turning the clods. ${ }^{4}$ But the plants which aford the most conspicuous instances and shew the greatest difference as compared with others are silphium and the plant called magydaris ; the character of both of these and of all such plants is especially shewn in ${ }^{5}$ their roots. Such is the account to be given of these plants.

Again some roots would seem to shew a greater. difference ${ }^{6}$ than those mentioned, for instance, those of arakhidna, ${ }^{7}$ and of a plant ${ }^{\S}$ which resembles arakos. For both of these bear a fruit underground which is as large as the fruit auove ground, and this arakos-like ${ }^{9}$ plant has one thick root, namely, the one which runs deep, while the others which bear the 'fruit' are slenderer and branch ${ }^{10}$ in many directions at the tip. It is specially fond of sandy ground. Neither of these plants has a leaf nor anything resembling a leaf, but they bear, as it were, two kinds of fruit instead, which seems surprising. So many then are the differences shewn in the characters and functions of roots.
VII. The roots of all plants seem to grow earlier than the parts above ground (for growth does take place downwards ${ }^{11}$ ). But no root goes down further than the sun reaches, since it is the heat which induces growth. Nevertheless the nature of the soil,
${ }^{6}$ i.e. to be even more abnormal: $\delta \iota \alpha \phi о \rho \dot{a} \nu$ conj. Sch.; סıaфopal Ald, $\quad 7$ Plin. 21. 89.

8 tine-tare. See Index, App. (1).

${ }^{10}$ kal before $\sigma \times \iota \zeta$. om. Sch. from $G$.
${ }_{11}$ cf. C.P. 1. 12. 7. (cited by Varro, 1. 45. 3); 3. 3. 1.
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${ }_{2}^{1} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ before $\mu$ '́ $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ om. W.
 5. 6. 8 .
${ }^{3} \delta \pi \pi^{2} v o \hat{v} \nu$ MSS. ; $\delta \pi o \sigma o v a \hat{v}$ conj. W. from G, in quantum

${ }^{5}$ Quoted by Varro, 1. 37. 5.

7 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \theta$ lขovat : $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu 0$ ข̂̃ conj. St.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vir. I-3

if it is light open and porous, contributes greatly ${ }^{1}$ to deep rooting, and still more to the formation of long roots; for in such soils growth goes further and is more vigorous. This is evident in cultivated plants. ${ }^{2}$ For, provided that they have water, they run on, one may say, wherever it may be, ${ }^{3}$ whenever ${ }^{ \pm}$the ground is unoccupied and there is no obstacle. ${ }^{5}$ For instance the plane-tree by the watercourse in the Lyceum when it was still young sent out its roots a distance of ${ }^{6}$ thirty-three cubits, having both room and nourishment.

The fig would seem, one may say, to have the longest roots, and in general plants which have wood of loose texture and straight roots would seem to have these longer. Also young plants, provided that they have reached their prime, root deeper and have longer roots than old ones; for the roots decay along with ${ }^{7}$ the rest of the plant's body. And in all cases alike the juices of plants ${ }^{8}$ are more powerful in the roots than in other parts, while in some cases they are extremely powerful; wherefore the roots are bitter in some plants whose fruits are sweet; some roots again are medicinal, and some are fragrant, as those of the iris.

The character and function of the roots of the 'Indian fig' (banyan) are peculiar, for this plant sends out roots from the shoots till it has a hold on the ground ${ }^{9}$ and roots again ; and so there comes to be a continuous circle of roots round the tree, not connected with the main stem but at a distance from it.

[^28] bably defective.
${ }^{9} \tau \hat{n} \gamma \hat{n}$ conj. Scal. from G ; $\sigma u \kappa \hat{n} \mathrm{U}$; $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.
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 oîóv фабь тєрì 'Oтov̂vta moıáplov єival, ò каì






VJII. T $\omega \nu \nu$ סév $\delta p \omega \nu$ tàs tolaútas äע tes $\lambda a ́ \beta o \iota$
 каì ф́v́бєє каi то́тب катd̀ тò $\mu \hat{\lambda \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \eta ๋ т \tau о \nu . ~}$



 àктो̀ $\delta a ́ \phi \nu \eta$ бvкŋ̄ ö $\lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau \grave{a} \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \phi \lambda о \iota a ~ к а \grave{~}$





${ }^{1} \tau \iota$ conj. W.; $\tau t s$ MSS. ${ }^{2}$ Plin. 21. 104.
${ }^{3}$ cf. 8. 11. 8 ; Plin. 18. 133 and 134.

${ }^{5}$ b'Sos is the knot and the bongh starting from it: cf. Arist. de iuv, et sen. 3.
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ marg.) ทิt $\tau$

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vir. $3^{-v i n . ~ I ~}$

Something similar to this, but even more surprising, occurs in those plants which ${ }^{1}$ emit roots from their leaves, as they say does a certain herba which grows about Opus, which is also sweet to taste. The peculiarity again of lupins ${ }^{3}$ is less surprising, namely that, if the seed is dropped where the ground is thickly overgrown, it pushes ${ }^{4}$ its root through to the earth and germinates because of its vigour. But we have said enough for study of the differences between roots.

Of trees (principally) and their characteristic special differences: as to knots.
VIII. One may take it that the following are the differences between trees:- Some have knots, ${ }^{5}$ more or less, others are more or less without them, whether from their natural character or because of their position. But, when I say 'without knots,' I do not mean that they have no knots at all (there is no tree like that, but, if it is true of any plants, it is only of ${ }^{6}$ other kinds, such as rush bulrush ${ }^{7}$ galingale and plants of the lake side ${ }^{8}$ generally) but that they have few knots. Now this is the natural character of elder bay fig and all smooth-barked trees, and in general of those whose wood is hollow or of a loose texture. Olive fir and wild olive have knots; and some of these grow in thickly shaded windless and wet places, some in sunny positions exposed to storms and winds, ${ }^{9}$ where the soil is light and dry; for the number of knots varies between trees of the
${ }^{7}$ ти́ $\eta$ conj. Bod.; тí $\eta$ UAld.H.; cf. 1. 5. 3.

${ }^{9} \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ conj. Scal.; $\pi \nu \mu a \tau \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \iota$ U; $\pi \nu \gamma \mu a \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma \iota$ MVAld.
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 $\lambda \omega т о ́ \varsigma$.








${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 125. $\quad{ }^{2}$ 1. 8. 1.
 1. 10.8. + Plin. 16. 12:

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. vin. i-3

same kind. And in general mountain trees have more knots than those of the plain, and those that grow in dry spots than those that grow in marshes.

Again the way in which they are planted makes a difference in this respect; those trees that grow close together are knotless and erect, those that grow far apart have more knots and a more (rooked growth; for it happens that the one class are in shade, the others in full sun. Again the 'male' trees have more linots than the 'female' in those trees in which both forms are found, as cypress silver-fir hop-hornbeam cornelian cherry-for there is a kind called 'female comelian cherry' (comel)-and wild trees have more knots than trees in cultivation: this is true both in general and when we compare those of the same kind, as the wild and cultivated forms of olive fig and pear. All these have more knots in the widd state; and in general those of closer growth have this character more than those of open growth; for in fact the 'male' plants are of closer growth, and so are the wild ones; except that in some cases, as in box and nettle-tree, owing to the closer growth there are no knots at all, or only a few.
${ }^{1}$ Again the knots of some trees are irregular and set at haphazard, while those of others are regular, alike in their distance apart and in their number, as has been said²; wherefore also they are called 'trees with regular knots.' ${ }^{3}{ }^{4}$ For of some the knots are, as it were, at even distances, while in others the distance between them is greater at the thick end of the stem. And this proportion holds throughout. This is especially evident in the wild olive and in reeds-in which the joint corresponds to the knot in trees. Again some knots are opposite one another,
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as those of the wild olive, while others are set at random. Again some trees have double knots, some treble, ${ }^{1}$ some more at the same point ; some have as many as five. ${ }^{2}$ In the silver-fir both the knots and the smaller branches ${ }^{3}$ are set at right angles, as if they were stuck in, but in other trees they are not so. And that is why the silver-fir is such a strong tree. ${ }^{4}$ Most peculiar ${ }^{5}$ are the knots of the apple, for they are like the faces of wild animals; there is one large knot, and a number of small ones round it. Again some knots are blind, ${ }^{6}$ others productive ; by 'blind ' I mean those from which there is no growth. These come to be so either by nature or by mutilation, according as either the knot ${ }^{7}$ is not free and so the shoot does not make its way out, or, a bough having been cut off, the place is mutilated, for example by burning. Such knots occur more commonly in the thicker boughs, and in some cases in the stem also. And in general, wherever one chops or cuts part of the stem or bough, a knot is formed, as though one thing were made thereby into two and a fresh growing point produced, the cause being the mutilation or sone other such reason; for the effect of such a blow camot of course be ascribed to nature.

Again in all trees the branches always seem to have more knots, because the intermediate parts ${ }^{s}$ have not yet developed, just as the newly formed branches of the fig are the roughest, ${ }^{9}$ and in the vine the highest ${ }^{10}$ shoots. ${ }^{11}$ (For to the knot in other
8 i.e. the intemodes; till the branch is fully grown its knots are closer together, and so seem more numerous : $\mu \dot{n} \pi \omega$

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta{ }^{\xi} \eta \eta_{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{P}_{2^{-}} \quad 9$ i.e. have most knots.
${ }^{10}$ i.e. youngest.
${ }_{11}$ Plin. 16. 125.
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 каì oiov крádal yívoעtal, каӨáтєє $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~$



 уívetal.








 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \in \sigma \iota$.

 рıттоs каi on $\lambda \omega s$ тà $\mu$ оעобтє $\lambda \in ́ \chi \eta$ каi on on $\alpha$ $\mu \eta े ~$



${ }^{1}$ The opening of the description of the diseases of trees seems to have been lost. $\quad{ }^{2}$ kp $\alpha$ dat ; cf. C. P. 5. 1. 3.

 except $\pi a \chi u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, and M except $\pi a \chi v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.

5 The word is otherwise unknown.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. viil. 5-ix. I

trees correspond the 'eye' in the vine, the joint in the reed). . . . ${ }^{1}$ In some trees again there occurs, as it were, a diseased formation of small shoots, ${ }^{2}$ as in elin oak and especially in the plane; and this is universal if they grow in rough waterless or windy spots. Apart from any such cause ${ }^{3}$ this affection occurs near the ground in what one may call the 'head ' of the trunk, when the tree is getting old.

Some trees again have what are called by some 'excrescences' ${ }^{\prime}$ (or something corresponding), as the olive; for this name belongs most properly to that tree, and it seems most liable to the affection; and some call it 'stump,' some krotone,' others have a different name for it. It does not occur, or only occurs to a less extent, in straight young trees, which have a single root and no side-growths. To the olive ${ }^{6}$ also, both wild and cultivated, are peculiar certain thickenings ${ }^{7}$ in the stem.

## As to halit.

IX. 8Now those trees which grow chiefly or only? in the direction of their height are such as silver-fir date-palm cypress, and in general those which have a single stem and not many roots or branches (the date-palin, it may be added, has no side-growths at all ${ }^{10}$ ). And trees like ${ }^{11}$ these have also similar growth downwards. Some however divide from the first,
 as untrue as well as irrelevant; possibly with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha$. for $\pi \alpha \rho a b a$. it belongs to the next section.

${ }^{8}$ Plin. 16. 125.
${ }^{9} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda t \sigma \tau^{3}$ 方 $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu o \nu$ conj. W.; $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \nu \alpha ̀ ~ A l d . H . ~$
${ }^{10}$ See 3. 8. 6. 11.
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 $\kappa a \lambda \lambda i ́ \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ той öpovs èv тoîs $\pi \rho о \sigma \beta o-$







 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv "O $\lambda \nu \nu \mu \pi o \nu$, ar $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \chi \lambda \eta$ кó $\mu a \rho o s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu i \nu \theta$ os
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such as apple; some have many branches, and their greater mass of growth high up, as the pomegranate: however ${ }^{1}$ training position and cultivation chiefly contribute to all of these characters. In proof of which we have the fact that the same trees which, when growing close together, are tall and slender, when grown farther apart become stouter and shorter; and if we from the first let the branches grow freely, the tree becomes short, whereas, if we prune them, it becomes tall, -for instance, the vine.

This too is enough for proof that even some potherbs acyuire the form of a tree, as we said ${ }^{2}$ of mallow and beet. Indeed all things grow well in congenial places. . . ${ }^{3}$ For even among those of the same kind those which grow in congenial places have less knots, and are taller and more comely : thus the silver-fir in Macedon is superior to other silver-firs, such as that of Parnassus. Not only is this true of all these, ${ }^{4}$ but in general the wild woodland is more beautiful and vigorous on the north side of the mountain than on the south.

## As to shedding of leaves.

Again some ${ }^{5}$ trees are evergreen, some deciduous. Of cultivated trees, olive date-palm bay myrtle a kind of fir. and cypress are evergreen, and among wild trees silver-fir fir Phoenician cedar yew ${ }^{6}$ odorous, cedar the tree which the Arcadians call 'cork-oak' (holm-oak) mock-privet prickly cedar 'wild ${ }^{7}$ pine' tamarisk box kermes-oak holly alaternus cotoneaster hybrid arbutus ${ }^{8}$ (all of which grow about Olympus)
 3. 3. 3.
${ }_{8}$ кórupos conj. Borl.; oivapos UMV; oivapos Ald.; ávapos $\mathrm{P}_{2}$.
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 каi то̀ $\pi \eta$ भ́үаעоข какоиิтає каi ả入入а́ттєтаи．
 филло́тєра каі є́Хоута́ тєvа 入єтаро́тทта каі




 тıva єi้vaı є่ע тท̂̀ Гoptuvaía $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \eta \gamma \hat{n}$ тıvı $\hat{\eta}$ ov̉
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andrachne arbutus terebinth 'wild bay' (oleander).
Andrachne and arbutus seem to cast their lower leaves, but to keep those at the end of the twigs peremially, and to be always adding leaty twigs. These are the trees which are evergreen.
${ }^{1}$ Of shrubby plants these are evergreen:-ivy bramble buckthorn reed kedris (juniper)-for there is a small kind of kedros so called which does not grow into a tree. Among under-shrubs and herbaceous plants there are rue cablage rose gilliflower southernwood sweet marjoram tufted thyme marjoram celery alexanders poppy, and a good many more linds of wild plants. However some of these too, while evergreen as to their top growths, shed their other leaves, as marjoram and celery . . . . . . ${ }^{2}$ for rue too is injuriously affected and changes its character.
${ }^{3}$ And all the evergreen plants in the other classes too have narrower leares and a certain glossiness and fragrance. Some moreover which are not cvergreen by nature become so because of their position, as was said ${ }^{4}$ about the plants at Elephantine and Memphis, while lower down the Nile in the Delta there is but a very short period in which they are not making new leaves. It is said that in Crete ${ }^{5}$ in the district of Gortyna there is a plane near a certain spring ${ }^{6}$ which does not lose its leaves; (indeed the story is that it was under ${ }^{7}$ this tree that Zeus lay with Europa), while all the other plants in the neighbourhood shed their leaves. ${ }^{8}$ At Sybaris there is an oak within sight of the city which does not shed

[^32]VOL. I.
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Sè oủ $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \epsilon t \nu$ aủtク̀v ü $\mu a$ тaîs ä $\lambda \lambda a t s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~$
 civaı tolaút
 тò $\mu \epsilon \tau о ́ \pi \pi \omega \rho o \nu, \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ тò $\mu \in ̀ v$ Өâtтov тò $\delta$ è $\beta \rho a \delta u ́-$
 «̀עá̀oyoı סє̀ ai $\phi u \lambda \lambda o \beta o \lambda i ́ a \iota ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$,


 $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} т \epsilon \rho$ 市 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \gamma \delta a \lambda \eta$ ๆ．










 Sè $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau \rho о \pi a ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \gamma i ́ v \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a ́ s . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta є ́ ~$

 ßo入íav ou゙т $\omega$ sé $\neq$ cı．
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its leaves, and they say that it does not come into leaf along with the others, but only after the rising of the dog-star. It is said that in Cyprus too there is a plane which has the same peculiarity.
${ }^{1}$ The fall of the leaves in all cases takes place in autumn or later, but it occurs later in some trees than in others, and even extends into the winter. However the fall of the leaf does not correspond to the growth of new leaves (in which case those that come into leaf earlier would lose their leaves earlier), but some (such as the almond) which are early in coming into leaf are not earlier than the rest in losing their leaves, but are even comparatively late. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{3}$ Others again, such as the mulberry, come into leaf late, but are hardly at all later than the others in shedding their leaves. It appears also that position and a moist situation conduce to keeping the leaves late; for those which grow in dry places, and in general where the soil is light, shed their leaves earlier, and the older trees earlier than young ones. Some even cast their leaves before the fruit is ripe, as the late kinds of fig and pear.

In those which are evergreen the shedding and withering of leaves take place by degrees; for it is not the same ${ }^{4}$ leaves which always persist, but fresh ones are growing while the old ones wither away. This happens chiefly about the summer solstice. Whether in some cases it occurs even after the rising of Arcturus or at a quite different season is matter for enquiry. So much for the shedding of leaves.

[^34]
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## Differences in leaves.

X. ${ }^{1}$ Now, while the leaves of all other trees are all alike in each tree, those of the abele ivy ${ }^{2}$ and of the plant called kroton (castor-oil plant) are unlike one another and of different forms. The young leaves in these are round, the old ones angular, ${ }^{3}$ and eventually all the leaves assume that form. On the other hand ${ }^{4}$ in the ivy, when it is young, the leaves are somewhat angular, but when it is older, they become rounder: for in this plant too a change of form takes place. There is a peculiarity special to the olive lime elm and abele: their leaves appear to invert the upper surface after the summer solstice, and by this men know that the solstice is past. Now all leaves differ as to their upper and under surfaces; and in most trees the upper surfaces are greener and smoother, as they have the fibres and veins in the under surfaces, even as the human hand has its 'lines, ${ }^{5}$ but even the upper surface of the leaf of the olive is sometimes whiter and less smooth. ${ }^{6}$ So all or most leaves display their upper surfaces, and it is these surfaces which are exposed to the light. ${ }^{7}$ Again most leaves turn towards the sun ; wherefore also it is not easy to say which surface is next to the twig ${ }^{8}$; for, while the way in which the upper surface is presented seems rather to make the under surface closer to it, yet nature desires equally that the upper surface should be the nearer, and this is specially seen in the turning back ${ }^{9}$ of the leaf towards the sun. One

[^36]
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may observe this in trees whose leaves are crowded and opposite, ${ }^{1}$ such as those of myrtle.

Some think that the nourishment too is conveyed to the upper surface through the under surface, because this surface always contains moisture and is downy, but they are mistaken. It may be that this is not due to the trees' special character, but to their not getting an equal amount of sunshine, though the nourishment conveyed through the veins or fibres is the same in both cases. That it should be conveyed from one side to the other ${ }^{2}$ is improbable, when there are no passages for it nor thickness for it to pass through. ${ }^{3}$ However it belongs to another part of the enquiry to discuss the means by which nourishment is conveyed.

Again there are various other differences between leaves; some trees are broad-leaved, as vine fig and plane, some narrow-leaved, as olive pomegranate myrtle. Some have, as it were, spinous ${ }^{4}$ leaves, as fir Aleppo pine prickly cedar ; some, as it were, fleshy leaves; and this is because their leaves are of fleshy substance, as cypress tanarisk apple, ${ }^{5}$ among under-shrubs lineoros and stoibe, and among herbaceous plants house-leek and hulwort. ${ }^{6}$ This plant is good agrainst moth in clothes. For the leaves of beet and cabbage are fleshy in another way, as are those of the various plants called rue; for their fleshy character is seen in the flat instead of in the round. ${ }^{7}$ Among shrubby plants the tamarisk ${ }^{8}$ has fleshy
${ }_{7} 6$ Probably a gloss.
${ }^{7}$ Or 'solid,' such leaves being regarded as having, so to speak, three, and not two dimensions. $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma u \lambda$ os $=$ 'thickset, ' in Arist. H.A. 9. 44.
${ }^{8}$ мирíк $\boldsymbol{p}$ pobably corrupt; $\mu$. was mentioned just above, among trees; ${ }^{2} \rho \epsilon \mathrm{ik} \eta$ conj. Dalec.
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leaves. Some again have reedy leaves, as date-palm doum-palm and such like. But, generally speaking, the leaves of these end in a point; for reeds galingale sedge and the leaves of other marsh plants are of this character. ${ }^{1}$ The leaves of all these are compounded of two parts, and the middle is like a keel, placed where in ${ }^{2}$ other leaves is a large passage dividing the two halves. Leaves differ also in their shapes; some are round, as those of pear, some rather oblong, as those of the apple; some come to a sharp point and have spinous projections ${ }^{3}$ at the side, as those of smilax. So far I have spoken of undivided leaves; but some are divided ${ }^{4}$ and like a saw, as those of silver-fix and of fern. To a certain extent those of the vine are also divided, while those of the fig one might compare to a crow's foot. ${ }^{5}{ }^{6}$ Some leaves again have notches, as those of elm filbert and oak, others have spinous projections both at the tip and at the edges, as those of kermesoak oak smilax bramble Christ's thorn and others. The leaf of fir Aleppo pine silver-fir and also of prickly cedar and kedris (juniper) ${ }^{7}$ has a spinous point at the tip. Among other trees there is none that we know which has spines for leaves altogether, but it is so with other woody plants, as akoma drypis pinethistle and almost all the plants which belong to that class. ${ }^{8}$ For in all these spines, as it were, take the place of leaves, and, if one is not to reckon these
${ }^{5}$ кор $\omega \nu 0 \pi o \delta \omega \delta \eta$ conj. Gesner. The fig-leaf is compared to a crow's foot, Plut. de defect. orac. 3 ; бколот $\omega$ б́ $\eta$ Ald., which word is applied to thorns by Diose. ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 90.
${ }_{7} \kappa \in \delta \rho i \delta o s$ conj. Dalec.; кєठpías MSS. of. Plin. l.c., who seems to have read áypías.
${ }_{3} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \nu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ conj. W., cf. 1. 13. 3 ; àkav $\theta \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ MSS.; àkav$0 \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}_{2}$.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 aùt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ єìvaı $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ тoîs $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठ̀ $\lambda a \chi a \nu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoîs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{u} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau i ̂ s ~$







 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \theta \alpha \mu \nu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ס̂̀ каi étь $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$, oîov ßи́тоs та入íoupos.
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 $\phi \cup \lambda \lambda a . \quad \dot{\varsigma} \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi i$ тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau a ̀ \pi \lambda \alpha \tau u ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau a \xi i-$



[^39]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I, x. 6-8

as leaves, they would be entirely leafless, and some would have spines but no leaves at all, as asparagus.
${ }^{1}$ Again there is the difference that some leaves have no leaf-stalk, as those of squill and pursetassels, while others have a leaf-stalk. And some of the latter have a long leaf-stalk, as vine and ivy, some, as olive, a short one which grows, as it were, into the stem and is not simply attached to it, as it is in ${ }^{2}$ plane and vine. Another difference is that the leaves do not in all cases grow from the same part, but, whereas in most trees they grow from the branches, in some they grow also from the twigs, and in the oak from the stem as well; in most pot-herbs they grow directly from the root, as in onion garlic chicory, and also in asphodel squill purse-tassels Barbary-nut, and generally in plants of the same class as purse-tassels; and in these not merely the original growth but the whole stalk is leafless. In some, when the stalk is produced, the leaves may be expected to grow, ${ }^{3}$ as in lettuce basil celery, and in like manner in cereals. In some of these the stalk presently becomes spinous, as in lettuce and the whole class of plants with spinous leaves, and still more in shrubby plants, as bramble and Christ's thorn.
${ }^{4}$ Another difference which is found in all trees alike and in other plants as well is that some have many, some few leaves. And in general those that have flat leaves ${ }^{5}$ have them in a regular series, as myrtle, while in other instances the leaves are in no particular order, but set at random, as in most other
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 барко́s，ôov тà тîs бvкท̂s каì тท̂s ủ $\mu \pi \epsilon \in \lambda o v, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~}$

 та́p $\epsilon є$ каi тоútoıs каì тоîs äд $\lambda$ доьs тоîs є́ттєтєioıs


 $\sigma \theta a 1, \kappa a \theta$ и́тєр тà то仑 бі́тоv каì то仑 ка入á $\mu о v$ ，тà $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủt $\hat{\omega} \nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oí каu入oí．
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. x. 8-9

plants. ${ }^{1} \quad 2$ It is peculiar to pot-herbs to have hollow leaves, as in onion and hom-onion.

To sum up, the differences between leaves are shewn in size, number, shape, hollowness, in breadth, ${ }^{3}$ roughness and their opposites, and in the presence or absence of spinous projections ; also as to their attachment, according to the part from which they spring or the means by which they are attached; the part from which they spring being the root or a branch or the stalk or a twig, while the means by which they are attached may be a leaf-stalk, ${ }^{4}$ or they may be attached directly; ${ }^{5}$ and there may be ${ }^{6}$ several leaves attached by the same leaf-stalk. Further some leaves are fruit-bearing, enclosing the fruit between them, as the Alexandrian laurel, which has its fruit attached to the leaves.

These are all the differences in leaves stated somewhat generally, and this is a fairly complete list of examples.

## Composition of the various parts of a plant.

「 (Leaves are composed some of fibre bark and flesh, as those of the fig and vine, some, as it were, of fibre alone, as those of reeds and corn. But moisture is common to all, for it is found botl in leaves and in the other annual parts, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ leaf-stalk, flower, fruit and so forth but more especially in the parts which are not annual ${ }^{9}$; in fact no part is without it. Again it appears that some leaf-stalks are composed only of fibre, as those of corn and reeds, some of the same materials as the stalks.
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 $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ ă $\rho \omega \nu$.
'O O






 $\left.\kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi v \rho \eta \nu_{0}\right)$


 $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \grave{v}$ тò $\sigma \pi$ ép $\mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \chi$ оע, oîov фоívıкоs










${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{U}$; $\tau \delta$ Ald.
${ }^{2}$ тà $\delta^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{*} \kappa$ каркдs preserved only in mBas; om. UMI $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. Sch. reads $\tau$.
${ }^{3} \nprec \prec \omega \nu$ conj. W.; aipêv MSS. 4i.e. rind.
${ }^{5}$ Plin. 18. 53. ${ }^{6}$ oủ conj. Sch.; oû̀ Ald.H.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. x. Io-xi. 2

Of flowers some ${ }^{1}$ are composed of bark veins and flesh, some of flesh only, ${ }^{2}$ as those in the midde of cuckoo-pint. ${ }^{3}$

So too with fruits; some are made of flesh and fibre, some of flesh alone, and some of skin ${ }^{4}$ also. And moisture is necessarily found in these also. The fruit of plums and cucumbers is made of flesh and fibre, that of mulberries and pomegranates of fibre and skin. The materials are differently distributed in different fruits, but of nearly all the outside is bark, the inside flesh, and this in some cases includes a stone.)

## Differences in seeds.

XI. Last in all plants comes the seed. This possesses in itself natural moisture and warmth, and, if these fail, the seeds are sterile, like eggs in the like case. In some plants the seed comes immediately inside the envelope, as in date filbert almond (however, as in the case of the date, there may be more than one covering). In some cases again there is flesh and a stone between the envelope and the seed, as in olive plum and other fruits. Some seeds again are enclosed in a pod, some in a husk, some in a vessel, and some are completely naked.
${ }^{5}$ Enclosed in a pod are not ${ }^{6}$ only the seeds of annual plants, as leguminous plants, and of considerable numbers of wild plants, but also those of certain trees, as the carob-tree (which some ${ }^{\top}$ call the 'Egyptian fig'), Judas-tree, ${ }^{8}$ and the koloitia ${ }^{9}$ of the Liparae islands. In a husk are enclosed the
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 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau a$ ठє̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda a \chi a ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́, \kappa a \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$



 $\tau \grave{a} \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \quad \xi v \lambda \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \mu v \gamma \delta a ́ \lambda \eta$ каì тò

 $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega \hat{\nu}$.

Aủtà $\delta \grave{e ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu а т а ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \grave{v} \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \omega ́ \delta \eta$,
 $\pi \nu \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \iota$ тò $\sigma a \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ єै $\chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ є̇ $\lambda a ́ a s ~ \kappa а \grave{~}$

 $\kappa \nu \eta \kappa \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ к а і ~ к є \gamma \chi \rho а \mu \iota \delta \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ к а і ~ т о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$



 єїто $\boldsymbol{\mu \in \nu .}$
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seeds of some annuals, as wheat and millet; and in like manner some plants have their seeds in a vessel, some have them naked. In a vessel are those of the poppy and plants of the poppy kind; ${ }^{1}$ (the case of sesame however is somewhat peculiar), while many pot-herbs have their seeds naked, as dill coriander ${ }^{2}$ anise cummin fennel and many others. No tree has naked seeds, but either they are enclosed in flesh or in shells, ${ }^{3}$ which are sometimes of leathery nature, as the acom and the sweet chestnut, sometimes woody, as almond and nut. Moreover no tree has its seeds in a vessel, unless one reckons a cone as a vessel, because it can be separated from the fruits.

The actual seeds are in some cases fleshy in themselves, as all those which resemble nuts or acorns; ${ }^{4}$ in some cases the fleshy part is contained in a stone, as in olive bay and others. The seeds in some plants again merely consist of a stone, ${ }^{5}$ or at least are of stone-like character, and are, as it were, ${ }^{6}$ dry; for instance those of plants like safflower millet and many pot-herbs. Most obviously of this character are those of the date, ${ }^{7}$ for they contain no cavity, but are throughout dry ${ }^{8}$;-not but what there must be even in them some moisture and warmth, as we have said. ${ }^{9}$
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 каì тท̂s ảmiou каì $\mu \eta \lambda$ ćas каì тท̂s ả $\mu \pi \epsilon \in \lambda$ оv каì





 ミupía фабi каì ä $\lambda \lambda$ о $\theta \iota$ тàs é $\lambda$ cíass．
 $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ à ${ }^{\prime}$ évòs $\mu i \sigma \chi o v ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu i a ̂ s ~ \pi р о \sigma \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~$











${ }^{1} \sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \eta \delta o ́ v$ conj．W．；$\sigma \chi \in \delta \partial \nu$ Ald．
$\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{\varepsilon} \nu!\right\} \tau v i$ conj．Sch．；${ }^{〔} \nu \tau t \nu l$ Ald．
${ }^{4}$ aï̃ $\eta$ conj．Sch．；aủ̦ท̀ Ald．${ }^{5}$ 〒̀ conj．W．；$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Ald．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. xi. 4-6

Further seeds differ in that in some cases they are massed together, in others they are separated and arranged in rows, ${ }^{1}$ as those of the gourd and bottle-gourd, and of some trees, such as the citron. Again of those that are massed together some differ in being contained in a single ${ }^{2}$ case, as those of pomegranate pear apple vine and fig; others in being closely associated together, yet not contained in a single case, as, among annuals, those which are in an ear-unless one regards the ear as a case. In that case the grape-cluster and other clustering fruits will come under the description, as well as all those plants which on account of good feeding or excellence of soil bear their fruits massed together, ${ }^{3}$ as they say the olive does in Syria and elsewhere.

But this ${ }^{4}$ too seems to be a point of difference, that ${ }^{5}$ some grow massed together from a single stalk and a single attachment, as has been said in the case of plants with clusters or ears whose seeds do not grow contained in one common case; while others grow otherwise. For in these instances, if one takes each seed or case separately, it has its own special point of attachment, for instance each grape or pomegranate, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ or again each grain of wheat or barley. This would seem to be least of all the case with the seeds of apples and pears, since ${ }^{7}$ these touch one another ${ }^{8}$ and are enclosed in a sort of skin-like membrane, outside which is the fruit-case. ${ }^{9}$ However each of these too has its own peculiar point of attachment and character; this is most
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obvious in the separation of the pomegranate seeds, for the stone is attached to each, and the comexion is not, as in figs, obscured by the moisture. ${ }^{1}$ For here ${ }^{2}$ too there is a difference, although in both cases the seeds are enclosed in a sort of fleshy substance, as well as in the case which encloses this and the other parts of the fruit. For in the pomegranate the stones have this moist fleshy substance enclosing each ${ }^{3}$ separate stone; but in the case of fig-seeds, as well as in that of grape-stones and other plants which have the same arrangement, the same pulp is common to all. ${ }^{4}$ However one might find more such differences, and one should not ignore the most important of them, namely those which specially belong to the plant's natural character.

## Differences in taste.

XII. The differences in taste, shape, and form as a whole are tolerably evident to all, so that they do not need explanation; except that it should be stated that ${ }^{5}$ the case containing the fruit is never right-lined in shape and never has angles. ${ }^{6}$ Of tastes some are like wine, as those of vine mulberry and myrtle ; some are like olive-oil, as, besides olive itself, bay hazel almond fir Aleppo pine silverfir ; some like honey, as fig date chestnut; some are pungent, as marjoram savory cress mustard; some are bitter, as wormwood centaury. Some also are remarkably fragrant, as anise and juniper ${ }^{7}$; of some the smell would seem to be insipid, ${ }^{8}$ as in plums; of others sharp, as in pomegranates and
${ }^{4} i . e$. the fruit is not divided into compartments.

${ }^{6}$ Plin. 19. 186 ; 15. 109. ${ }^{7}$ cf. 1. 9. 4. ${ }^{8}$ Lit. watery.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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some kinds of apples. ${ }^{1}$ But the smells even of those in this class must in all cases be called winelike, though they differ in different kinds, on which matter we must speak more precisely, when we come to speak of flavours, ${ }^{2}$ reckoning up the different kinds themselves, and stating what differences there are between them, and what is the natural character and property of each.

Now the sap of the trees themselves assumes different kinds of tastes as was said; sometimes it is milky, ${ }^{3}$ as that of the fig and poppy, ${ }^{4}$ sometimes like pitch, as in silver-fir fir and the conifers; sometimes it is insipid, as in vine pear and apple, as well as such pot-herbs as cucumber gourd lettuce; while others ${ }^{5}$ again have a certain pungency, such as the juice of thyme and savory; others have a fragrance, such as the juices of celery dill fennel and the like. To speak generally, all saps correspond to the special character of the several trees, one might almost add, to that of each plant. For every plant has a certain temperament and composition of its own, which ${ }^{5}$ plainly belongs in a special sense to the fruits of each. And in most of these is seen a sort of correspondence with the character of the plant as a whole, which is not however exact nor obvious; it is chiefly ${ }^{7}$ in the fruitcases ${ }^{8}$ that it is seen, and that is why it is the character of the flavour which becomes more complete and matures into something separate and
${ }^{5}$ I have bracketed ${ }^{n} \delta \eta$ : ? a dittography of $\alpha i \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$.

 omitting stop before it.
${ }_{8}$ i.e. the pulp: so G. cf. 1. 11. 6.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 $\zeta \omega \omega \omega \nu$. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ тои́тои каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
 aitías.


${ }^{1}$ ie. the pulp. $\quad{ }^{2}$ ie. the flavour.
${ }^{\text {B }}$ Sense : Every tree has a characteristic juice of its own, which is however specially recognisable in its fruit ; in the tree as a whole its character is not always apparent. Hence the importance of the flavour (which is seen in the fruitpulp), since it is this which determines the specific character, 88

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. xi. z-xin. i

distinct; in fact we must consider the one ${ }^{1}$ as 'matter,' the other ${ }^{2}$ as 'form' or specific character. ${ }^{3}$

Again the seeds themselves and the coats containing them have different flavours. And, to speak generally, all parts of trees and plants, as root stem branch leaf fruit, have a certain relationship to the character of the whole, even if ${ }^{4}$ there is variation in scents and tastes, so that of the parts of the same plant some are fragrant and sweet to the taste, while others are entirely scentless and tasteless.

For in some plants the flowers are more fragrant than the leaves, in others on the contrary it is rather the leaves and twigs which are fragrant, as in those used for garlands. In others again it is the fruits; in others it is neither ${ }^{5}$ of these parts, but, in some few cases, the root or some part of it. And so too with the flavours. Some leaves and some fruit-pulps are, and some are not good for food. ${ }^{6}$ Most peculiar is the case of the lime: the leaves of this are sweet, and many animals eat them, but the fruit no creature eats, (for, as to the contrary case, it would not be at all surprising that the leaves should not be eaten, while the fruits were eaten not only by us but by other animals). But concerning this and other such matters we must endeavour to consider the causes on some other occasion.

## Differences in flowers.

XIII. For the present let so much be clear, that in all the parts of plants there are numerous differthe pulp of fruit in general being, in Aristotelian language, the 'matter,' while the flavour is 'form.' ff. C.P. 6.6.6.

${ }^{5}$ où $\delta$ '́t $\epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ seems inaccurately used, as four parts have been mentioned. ${ }^{6}$ cf. 3, 10.5; Plin 16, 65,
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANT'S, I. xin. i-3

ences shewn in a variety of ways. Thus of flowers some are downy, as that of the vine mulberry and ivy, some are 'leafy,' ${ }^{1}$ as in almond apple pear plum. Again some of these flowers are conspicuous, while that of the olive, though it is 'leafy,' is inconspicuous. Again it is in annual and herbaceous plants alike that we find some leafy, some downy. All plants again have flowers either of two colours or of one; most of the flowers of trees are of one colour and white, that of the ponegranate being almost the only one which is red, while that of some almonds is reddish. The flower of no other cultivated trees is gay nor of two colours, though it may be so with some uncultivated ${ }^{2}$ trees, as with the flower of silverfir, for its flower is of saffron colour; and so with the flowers of those trees by the ocean which have, they say, the colour of roses.

However, among annuals, most are of this charac-ter-their flowers are two-coloured and twofold. ${ }^{3}$ I mean by 'twofold' that the plant has another flower inside the flower, in the middle, as with rose lily violet. Some flowers again consist of a single 'leaf,' ${ }^{4}$ having merely an indication of more, as that of bindweed. ${ }^{5}$ For in the flower of this the separate 'leaves' are not distinct; nor is it so in the lower part of the narcissus, ${ }^{6}$ but there are angular projections ${ }^{7}$ from the edges. And the flower of the olive is nearly of the same character.

But there are also differences in the way of growth and the position of the flower; some plants have it
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, I. xir. 3-4

close above the fruit, as vine and olive; in the latter, when the flowers drop off, they are seen to have a hole through them, ${ }^{1}$ and this men take for a sign whether the tree has blossomed well; for if the flower is burnt up or sodden, it sheds the fruit along with itself, and so there is no hole through it. The majority of flowers ${ }^{2}$ have the fruit-case in the middle of them, or, it may be, ${ }^{3}$ the Hlower is on the top of the fruit-case, as in pomegranate apple pear ${ }^{4}$ plum and myrtle, and among under-shrubs, in the rose and in many of the coronary plants. For these have their seeds below, beneath the flower, and this is most obvious in the rose because of the size of the seed-vessel. In some cases ${ }^{5}$ again the flower is on top of the actual seeds, ${ }^{6}$ as in pine-thistle ${ }^{7}$ safflower and all thistle-like ${ }^{8}$ plants; for these have a flower attached to each seed. So too with some herbaceous plants, as anthemon, and among pot-herbs, with cucumber ${ }^{9}$ gourd and bottle-gourd; all these have their flowers attached on top of the fruits, ${ }^{10}$ and the flowers persist for a long time while the fruits are developing.

In some other plants the attachment is peculiar, as in ivy and mulberry; in these the flower is closely attached to the whole ${ }^{11}$ fruit-case; it is not however set above it, nor in a seed-vessel that envelops each ${ }^{12}$ separately, but it occurs in the middle part of the structure-except that in some cases it is not easily recognised because it is downy.
${ }^{13}$ Again some flowers are sterile, as in cucumbers those which grow at the ends of the shoot, and that

${ }^{10} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega ̄ \nu$ conj. Sch.; äкршу Ald.H.
${ }^{11}$ i.e. compound. 12 oü $\tau^{\prime} \mathfrak{\ell} \pi l$ I conj. for où $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$.
${ }^{13}$ cf. Arist. Probl. 20. 3.
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is why men pluck them off, for they hinder the growth of the cucumber. And they say that in the citron those flowers which have a kind of distaff? growing in the middle are fruitful, but those that have it not are sterile. And we must consider whether it occurs also in any other flowering plants that they produce sterile flowers, whether apart from the fertile flowers or not. For some kinds of vine and pomegranate certainly are unable to mature their fruit, and do not produce anything beyond the flower.
(The flower of the pomegranate is produced abundantly and is solid ${ }^{2}$ : in general appearance it is a substantial structure with a flat top, like the flower of the rose ${ }^{3}$; but, ${ }^{4}$ as seen from below, the inferior part of the flower is different-looking, being like a little two-eared jar turned on one side and having its rim indented.)

Some say that even of plants of the same kind ${ }^{5}$ some specimens flower while others do not; for instance that the 'male' date-palm flowers but the 'female' does not, but exhibits its fruit without any antecedent flower.

Such ${ }^{6}$ is the difference which we find between and 111 ), i.e. because it resembled a aúros (see Lss. s.v.). T. chooses the particular form of jar called $\delta i \omega t o s$, because the indentations between the sepals suggest this: Y $\}$. This is called ${ }^{\text {é }} \tau \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu$ évos, because the weight of the developing fruit causes it to take up at one stage a horizontal position, like a jar lying on its side ; $\chi$ Eid $\eta$ refers to the jar (for the plural $c f$. the use of ă $\mu \tau u \gamma \epsilon s)$, $\mu u \chi \bar{\sigma} \delta \eta$ to the indentations in the calyx (a jar having ordinarily in uninitented rim).
${ }^{5} \delta \mu \sigma \gamma \in \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\delta \mu o t=\gamma \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ald.
 тоаи́т $\boldsymbol{y}_{\nu} \mathrm{P}$.
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${ }^{1}$ ? i.e. that, like the 'female' date-palm, they have no flower.


${ }^{3}$ (f. 5. 3. 5. 4.
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plants of the same kind ; and the like may be said ${ }^{1}$ in general of those which cannot mature their fruit. And it is plain from what has been said that flowers shew many differences of character.

## Differences in fruits.

XIV. Again as to the production of fruit trees differ in the following respects. Some bear on their new shoots, some on last year's wood, some on both. Fig and vine bear on their new shoots; on last year's wood olive pomegranate apple almond pear myrtle and almost all such trees. And, if any of these does ${ }^{2}$ happen to conceive and to produce flowers on its new shoots, (for this does occur in some cases, as with myrtle, and especially, one may say, in the growth which is made after the rising of Arcturus) ${ }^{3}$ it can not bring them to perfection, but they perish halfformed. Some apples again of the twice-bearing ${ }^{4}$ kinds and certain other fruit-trees bear both on last year's wood and on the new shoots; and so does the olynthos, ${ }^{5}$ which ripens its fruit as well as bearing figs on the new shoots.

Most peculiar is the growth of fruit direct from the stem, as in the sycamore; for this, they say, bears fruit on the stem. Others say that it bears both in this way and ${ }^{6}$ also on the branches, like the carob; for the latter bears on the branches too, though not abundantly: (the name carob is given to the tree which produces what are called 'Egyptian
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figs'). ${ }^{1}$ Again some trees, and some plants in general, produce fruit at the top, others at the sides, others in both ways. But bearing fruit at the top is less common in trees than in other plants, as among grains in those which have an ear, among shrubby plants in heath privet chaste tree and certain others, and among pot-herbs in those with a bulbous root. Among plants which bear both on the top and at the sides are certain trees and certain potherbs, as blite orach cabbage. I say trees, since the olive does this too in a way, and they say that, when it bears at the top, it is a sign of fruitfulness. The date-palm too bears at the top, in a sense, but this ${ }^{2}$ tree also has its leaves and shoots at the top; indeed it is in the top that its whole activity is seen. Thus we must endeavour to study in the light of the instances mentioned the differences seen in the ${ }^{3}$ various parts of the plant.

General differences (affecting the whole plant).
But there appear to be the following differences which affect the plant's whole being : some are cultivated, some wild; some fruitful, some barren; some evergreen, some deciduous, as was said, while some again have no leaves at all ; some are flowering plants, some flowerless; some are early, some late in producing their shoots and fruits; and there are other differences similar to these. Now it may be said that ${ }^{4}$ such differences are seen in the parts, or at least that particular parts are concerned in them. But the special, and in a way the most important distinction is one which may be seen in animals too, namely, that some are of the water, some of the land. For
 каl $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ roıaì $\alpha \alpha$ Ald.
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of plants too there is a class which cannot grow except ${ }^{1}$ in moisture, while others will indeed grow on dry land, but they lose their character and are inferior. Again of all trees, one might almost say, and of all plants there are several forms to each kind; for hardly any kind contains but a single form. But the plants which are called respectively cultivated and wild shew this difference in the clearest and most emphatic way, for instance the cultivated and wild forms of fig olive and pear. In each of these pairs there are differences in fruit and leaves, and in their forms and parts generally. But most of the wild kinds have no names and few know about them, while most of the cultivated kinds have received nanes ${ }^{2}$ and they are more commonly observed; I mean such plants as vine fig pomegranate apple pear bay myrtle and so forth ; for, as many people make use of them, they are led also to study the differences.

But there is this peculiarity as to the two classes respectively; in the wild kinds men find only or chiefly the distinction of 'male' and 'female,' while in the cultivated sorts they recognise a number of distinguishing features. In the former case it is easy to mark and count up the different forms, in the latter it is harder because the points of difference are numerous.

However we have said enough for study of the differences between parts and between general characters. We must now speak of the methods of growth, for this subject comes naturally after what has been said.

[^55]BOOK II

## B

I. Ai $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon ́ v \delta \rho \omega \nu$ каi ö̀ $\omega \omega \varsigma$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\phi u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta}$ aủтópataı $\hat{\eta}$ ảmò $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau o s ~ \hat{\eta}$ ảmò






 ä $\lambda \lambda a \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \varsigma$ ท̄ ठ̀̀ $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$.
2

 خà $\rho \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \phi \cup ́ є \tau a l ~ \pi \lambda \eta े \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ к \lambda \omega \nu o ́ s \cdot ~ o u ̉ ~$


 $\kappa \iota \tau т \grave{\nu} \sigma v \mu \beta \iota \omega ิ \sigma a \iota$ каì $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta ́ \in ́ v \delta \rho о \nu \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$



[^56]
## BOOK II

## Of Propagation, espectally of Treees.

## Of the ways in which trees and plants originate. Instances of degeneration from seed.

I. The ways in which trees and plants in general originate are these:-spontaneous growth, growth from seed, from a root, from a piece torn off, from a branch or twig, from the trunk itself; or again from small pieces into which the wood is cut up (for some trees can be produced ${ }^{1}$ even in this manner). Of these methods spontaneous growth comes first, one may say, but growth from seed or root would seem most natural; indeed these methods too may be called spontaneous; wherefore they are found even in wild kinds, while the remaining methods depend on human skill or at least on human choice.

However all plants start in one or other of these ways, and most of them in more than one. Thus the olive is grown in all the ways mentioned, except from a twig; for an olive-twig will not grow if it is set in the ground, as a fig or pomegranate will grow from their young shoots. Not but what some say that cases have been known in which, when a stake of olive-wood was planted to support ivy, it actually lived along with it and became a tree; but such an instance is a rare exception, while the other methods of growth are in most cases the natural ones. The fig grows in all the ways mentioned,
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except from root-stock and cleft wood; apple and pear grow also from branches, but rarely. However it appears that most, if not practically all, ${ }^{1}$ trees may grow from branches, if these are smooth young and vigorous. ${ }^{2}$ But the other methods, one may say, are more natural, and we must reckon what may occasionally occur as a mere possibility.

In fact there are quite few plants which grow and are brought into being more easily from the upper parts, as the vine is grown from branches; for this, though it cannot ${ }^{3}$ be grown from the 'head,' ${ }^{4}$ yet can be grown from the branch, as can all similar trees and under-shrubs, for instance, as it appears, rue gilliflower bergamot-mint tufted thyme calamint. So the commonest ways of growth with all plants are from a piece torn off or from seed; for all plants that have seeds grow also from seed. And they say that the bay too grows ${ }^{5}$ from a piece torn off, if one takes off the young shoots and plants them; but it is necessary that the piece torn off should have part of the root or stock ${ }^{6}$ attached to it. However the pomegranate and 'spring apple' 7 will grow even without this, and a slip of almond ${ }^{8}$ grows if it is planted. The olive grows, one may say, in more ways than any other plant; it grows from a piece of the trunk or of the stock, ${ }^{9}$ from the root, from a twig, and from a stake, as has been said. ${ }^{10}$ Of other plants the myrtle also can be propagated in several ways; for this too grows from pieces of wood
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and also from pieces of the stock. It is necessary however with this, as with the olive, to cut up the wood into pieces not less than a span long and not to strip off the bark.

Trees then grow and come into being in the abovementioned ways; for as to methods of grafting ${ }^{1}$ and inoculation, these are, as it were, combinations of different kinds of trees; or at all events these are methods of growth of a quite different class and must be treated of at a later stage.
II. Of under-shrubs and herbaceous plants the greater part grow from seed or a root, and some in both ways; some of them also grow from cuttings, as has been said, ${ }^{2}$ while roses and lilies grow from pieces of the stems, as also does dog's-tooth grass. Lilies and roses also grow when the whole stem is set. Most peculiar is the method of growth from an exudation ${ }^{3}$; for it appears that the lily grows in this way too, when the exudation that has been produced has dried up. They say the same of ${ }^{4}$ alexanders, for this too produces an exudation. There is a certain ${ }^{5}$ reed also which grows if one cuts it in lengths from joint to joint and sets them ${ }^{6}$ sideways, burying it in dung and soil. Again they say that plants which have a bulbous root are peculiar in their way of growing ${ }^{7}$ from the root.

The capacity for growth being shewn in so many ways, most trees, as was said before, ${ }^{8}$ originate in several ways; but some come ${ }^{9}$ only from seed, as silver-
${ }^{6}$ cf. 1. 4. 4 ; Plin. 17. 145 ; Col. 4. 32. 2 ; $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\text { gh conj. Sch.; }}$ t) Ald.; ? $\theta \hat{1}$.
${ }^{7}$ i.e. by offiset bullhs. Text probably defective; cf. C.P. 1. 4. 1. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{U} ; \tau \delta \mathrm{UMV}$. ${ }^{2}$ 2. 1. 1.
 द̇ттı้ Ald.; $\pi a \rho a \gamma i \nu \in \tau a \iota$ conj. W.
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fir fir Alcppo pine, and in general all those that bear cones : also the date-palm, except that in Babylon it may be that, as some say, they take cuttings ${ }^{1}$ from it. The cypress in most regions grows from seed, but in Crete ${ }^{2}$ from the trunk also, for instance in ${ }^{3}$ the hill country about Tarra; for there grows the cypress which they clip, and when cut it shoots in every possible way, from the part which has been cut, from the ground, from the middle, and from the upper parts; and occasionally, but rarely, it shoots from the roots also.

About the oak accounts differ; some say it only grows from seed, some from the root also, but not vigorously, others again that it grows from the trunk itself, when this is cut. But no tree grows from a piece torn off or from a root except those which make side-growths.

However in all the trees which have several methods of originating the quickest method and that which promotes the most vigorous growth is from a piece torn off, or still better from a sucker, if this is taken from the root. And, while all the trees which are propagated thus or by some kind of slip ${ }^{4}$ seem to be alike in their fruits to the original tree, those raised from the fruit, where this method of growing is also possible, are nearly all inferior, while some quite lose the character of their kind, as vine apple fig pomegranate pear. As for the fig, ${ }^{5}$ no cultivated kind is raised from its seed, but either the ordinary wild fig or some wild kind is the result, and this often differs in colour from the parent; a black fig gives a
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white, and conversely. Again the seed of an excellent vine produces a degenerate result, which is often of quite a different kind; and at times this is not a cultivated kind at all, but a wild one of such a character that it does not ripen its fruit; with others again the result is that the seedlings do not even mature fruit, but only get as far as flowering.

Again the stones of the olive give ${ }^{1}$ a wild olive, and the seeds of a sweet pomegranate ${ }^{2}$ give a degenerate kind, while the stoneless kind gives a hard sort and often an acid fruit. So also is it with seedlings of pears and apples ; pears give a poor sort of wild pears, apples produce an inferior kind which is acid instead of sweet; quince produces wild quince. ${ }^{3}$ Almond again raised from seed is inferior in taste and in being hard instead of soft; and this is why men ${ }^{4}$ bid us graft on to the almond, even when it is fully grown, or, failing that, frequently plant the offsets.

The oak also deteriorates from seed; at least many persons having raised trees from acorns of the oak at Pyrrha ${ }^{5}$ could not produce one like the parent tree. On the other hand they say that bay and myrtle sometimes improve by seeding, though usually they degenerate and do not even keep their colour, but red fruit gives black--as happened with the tree in Antandros; and frequently seed of a 'female' cypress produces a 'male' tree. The datepalm seems to be about the most constant of these trees, when raised from seed, and also the 'conebearing pine' ${ }^{6}$ (stone-pine) and the 'lice-bearing pine. ${ }^{7}$ So much for degeneration in cultivated trees; among wild kinds it is plain that more in proportion

> 7 Plin. 16. 49. The 'lice' are the seeds which were eaten. cf. Hdt. 4. 109, $\phi \theta \in \iota \rho о \tau \rho a \gamma \epsilon ́ о v \sigma \iota$; Theocr. 5. 49.
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degenerate from seed, since the parent trees are stronger. For the contrary ${ }^{1}$ would be very strange, seeing that degenerate forms are found even in cultivated trees, ${ }^{2}$ and among these only in those which are raised from seed. (As a general rule these are degenerate, though men may in some cases effect a change ${ }^{3}$ by cultivation).

## Effects of situation, climate, tendance.

Again differences in situation and climate affect the result. In some places, as at Philippi, the soil seems to produce plants which resemble their parent; on the other hand a few kinds in some few places seem to undergo a change, so that wild seed gives a cultivated form, or a poor form one actually better. ${ }^{4}$ We have heard that this occurs, but only with the pomegranate, in Egypt ${ }^{5}$ and Cilicia; in Egypt a tree of the acid kind both from seeds and from cuttings produces one whose fruit has a sort of sweet taste, ${ }^{6}$ while about Soli in Cilicia near the river Pinaros (where the battle with Darius was fought) all those pomegranates raised from seed are without stones.

If anyone were to plant our palm at Babylon, it is reasonable to expect that it would become fruitful and like the palms of that country. And so would it be with any other country which has fruits that are congenial to that particular locality; for the locality ${ }^{7}$ is more important than cultivation and tendance. A proof of this is the fact that things transplanted thence become unfruitful, and in some cases refuse to grow altogether.

There are also modifications due to feeding ${ }^{8}$ and
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attention of other kinds, which cause the wild to become cultivated, or again cause some cultivated kinds to go wild, ${ }^{1}$ such as pomegranate and almond. Some say that wheat has been known to be produced from barley, and barley from wheat, or again both growing on the same stool; but these accounts should be taken as fabulous. Anyhow those things which do change in this mamer do so spontaneously, ${ }^{2}$ and the alteration is due to a change of position (as we said ${ }^{3}$ happens with pomegranates in Egypt and Cilicia), and not to any particular method of cultivation.

So too is it when fruit-bearing trees become unfruitful, for instance the persion when moved from Egypt, the date-palm when planted in Hellas, or the tree which is called 'poplar' in Crete, ${ }^{4}$ if anyone should transplant it. ${ }^{5}$ Some again say that the sorb becomes unfruitful if it comes into a very warm position, since it is by nature cold-loving. It is reasonable to suppose that both results follow because the natural circumstances are reversed, seeing that some things entirely refuse to grow when their place is changed. Such are the modifications due to position.

As to those due to method of culture, the changes which occur in things grown from seed are as was said; (for with things so grown also the changes are of all kinds). Under cultivation the pomegranate and the almond change character, ${ }^{6}$ the pomegranate if it receives pig-manure ${ }^{7}$ and a great deal of river water, the almond if one inserts a peg and ${ }^{8}$ removes for some time the gum which exudes and gives the other
${ }^{7}$ cf. C.P. 2. 14. 2; 3. 9.3 ; Plin. 17. 259 ; Col. 5. 10. 15 and 16.
${ }^{8}$ cf. 2. 7. 6 ; C.P. 1, 17. 10 ; 2. 14. 1; Plin. 17. 252.
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attention required. In like manner plainly some wild things become cultivated and some cultivated things become wild; for the one kind of change is due to cultivation, the other to neglect:-however it might be said that this is not a change but a natural development towards a better or an inferior form; (for that it is not possible to make a wild olive pear or fig into a cultivated olive pear or fig). As to that indeed which is said to occur in the case of the wild olive, that if the tree is transplanted with its topgrowth entirely cut off, ${ }^{1}$ it produces ' coarse olives,' ${ }^{2}$ this is no ${ }^{3}$ very great change. However it can make no difference which way ${ }^{4}$ one takes this.

Of spontancous changes in the character of trees, and of certain marvels.
III. ${ }^{5}$ Apart from these changes it is said that in such plants there is a spontaneous kind of change, sometimes of the fruit, sometimes of the tree itself as a whole, and soothsayers call such changes portents. For instance, an acid pomegranate, it is said, may produce sweet fruit, and conversely; and again, in general, the tree itself sometimes undergoes a change, so that it becomes sweet ${ }^{6}$ instead of acid, or the reverse happens. And the change to sweet is considered a worse portent. Again a wild fig may turn into a cultivated one, or the contrary change take place; and the latter is a worse portent. So again a cultivated olive may turn into a wild one, or conversely, but the latter change is rare. So again a white fig
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may change into a black one, and conversely; and similar changes occur in ${ }^{1}$ the vine.

Now these changes they interpret as miraculous and contrary to nature ; but they do not even feel any surprise at the ordinary changes, for instance, when the 'smoky' vine, ${ }^{2}$ as it is called, produces alike white grapes instead of black or black grapes instead of white. Of such changes the soothsayers take no account, any more than they do of those instances in which the soil produces a natural change, as was said ${ }^{3}$ of the pomegranate in Egypt. But it is surprising when such a change occurs in our own country, because there are only one or two instances and these separated by wide intervals of time. However, if such changes occur, it is natural ${ }^{4}$ that the variation should be rather in the fruit than in the tree as a whole. In fact the following irregularity also occurs in fruits ; a fig-tree has been known to produce its figs from behind the leaves, ${ }^{5}$ pomegranate and vines from the stem, while the vine has been known to bear fruit without leaves. The olive again has been known to lose its leaves and yet produce its fruit; this is said to have happened to Thettalos, son of Pisistratus. This may be due to inclement weather; and some changes, which seem to be abnormal, but are not really so, are due to other accidental causes; ${ }^{6}$ for instance, there was an olive that, after being completely burnt down, sprang up again entire, the tree and all its branches. And in Boeotia an olive whose young shoots ${ }^{7}$ had been eaten off by locusts grew again : in this case however ${ }^{8}$ the
${ }^{6}$ cf. Hdt. 8. 55 ; Plin. 17. 241.

8 i.e. the portent was not so great as in the other case quoted, as the tree itself had not been destroyed.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. m. $3^{- \text {-IV. } 2}$

shoots had, so to speak, only been shed. But after all such phenomena are perhaps far from strange, since the cause in each case is obvious; rather is it strange that trees should bear fruit not at the places where it naturally forms, or else fruit which does not belong to the character ${ }^{1}$ of the tree. And most surprising oí all is it when, ${ }^{2}$ as has been said, ${ }^{3}$ there is a change in the entire character of the tree. Such are the changes which occur in trees.

## Of spontancous and other changes in other plants.

IV. ${ }^{4}$ Of other plants it appears that bergamot-mint turns into cultivated mint, unless it is fixed by special attention ; and this is why men frequently transplant ${ }^{5}$ it; ${ }^{6}$ so too wheat turns into darnel. Now in trees such changes, if they occur, are spontaneous, but in annual plants they are deliberately brought about: for instance, one-seeded wheat and rice-wheat change ${ }^{7}$ into wheat, if bruised before they are sown; and this does not happen at once, but in the third year. This change resembles that produced in the seeds by difference of soil ${ }^{8}$; for these grains vary according to the soil, and the change takes about the same time as that which occurs in one-seeded wheat. Again wild wheats and barleys also with tendance and cultivation change in a like period.

These changes appear to be due to change of soil and cultivation, and in some cases the change is due to both, in others to cultivation alone; for instance, in order that pulses may not become uncookable, ${ }^{9}$
${ }^{6}$ But see reff. under aípa in Index.
7 cf. C.P. 5. 6. 12 ; Plin. 18. 93.

 C.P. 4. 7. 2; 4. 12. 1 and 8 ; Geop. 2. 35. 2; 2. 41.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. iv. 2-4

men bid one moisten the seed in nitre for a night ${ }^{1}$ and sow it in dry ground the next day. To make lentils vigorous they plant the seeds in dung ${ }^{2}$; to make chick-peas large they bid one moisten the seed while still in the pods, ${ }^{3}$ before sowing. Also the time of sowing makes differences which conduce to digestibility and harmlessness * : thus, if one sows vetches ${ }^{5}$ in spring, they become quite harmless and are not indigestible like those sown in autumn.

Again in pot-herbs change is produced by cultivation; for instance, they say that, ${ }^{6}$ if celery seed is trodden and rolled in after sowing, it comes up curly; it also varies from change of soil, like other things. Such variations are common to all; we must now consider whether a tree, like animals, becomes unproductive from mutilation or removal of a part. At all events it does not appear that division ${ }^{7}$ is an injury, as it were, which affects the amount of fruit produced; either the whole tree perishes, or else, if it survives, ${ }^{8}$ it bears fruit. Old age however is a cause which in all plants puts an end to life. . . . . ${ }^{9}$

It would seem more surprising if ${ }^{10}$ the following changes occurred in animals naturally and frequently; some animals do indeed seem to change according to the seasons, for instance, the hawk the hoopoe and other similar birds. So also changes in the nature of the ground produce changes in animals, for instance, the water-snake changes into a viper, if the marshes
${ }^{5}$ cf. Plin. 18. 139 ; Col. 2. 10. 34.
${ }^{6}$ cf. C.P. 5. 6. 7; Geop. 12. 23. 2.
$7 \gamma \in$ conj. Sch.; $\tau \in$ Ald.
${ }^{8} \delta \iota \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ Ald.
9 Something seems to have been lost at the end of $\S 3$.
${ }^{10}$ ci ins. Sch.; totav̂tat may however mean 'the abovementioned,' and refer to something which has been lost.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. iv. $4^{-v .}$.

dry up. Most obvious are certain changes in regard to the way in which animals are produced, and such changes run through a series of creatures ${ }^{1}$; thus a caterpillar changes into a chrysalis, and this in turn into the perfect insect; and the like occurs in a number of other cases. But there is hardly anything abnormal in this, nor is the change in plants, which is the subject of our enquiry, analogous to it. That kind of change occurs in trees and in all woodland plants generally, as was said before, and its effect is that, when a change of the required character occurs in the climatic conditions, a spontaneous change in the way of growth ensues. ${ }^{2}$ These instances must suffice for investigation of the ways in which plants are produced or modified.

## Of methods of propagation, with notes on cultiration.

V. Since however methods of cultivation and tendance largely contribute, and, before these, methods of planting, and cause great differences, of these too we must speak.

And first of methods of planting: as to the seasons, we have already stated at what seasons one should plant. Further ${ }^{3}$ we are told that the plants chosen should be the best possible, ${ }^{4}$ and should be taken from soil resembling that in which you are going to plant them, or else inferior ${ }^{5}$; also the holes should be dug ${ }^{6}$ as long as possible beforehand, and should always be deeper than the original holes, even for those whose roots do not run very deep.
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 тóтои (sc. тонoútov) to quality of soil: so G .
${ }^{2}$ Plin. 16. 129 ; Xen. Oec. 19. 3. ${ }^{3}$ cf. C.P. 3. 6.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. v. 2-4

Some say that no root goes down further than a foot and a half, and accordingly they blame those who plant deeper. However there are many instances in which it appears that what they say does not hold good; a plant which is naturally deep-rooting pushes much deeper if it finds either a deep mass of soil or a position which favours such growth or again the kind of ground which favours it. ${ }^{1}$ In fact, ${ }^{2}$ a man once said that when he was transplanting a fir which he had uprooted with levers, he found that it had a root more than eight cubits long, though the whole of it had not been removed, but it was broken off.

The slips for planting should be taken, if possible, with roots attached, or, failing that, from the lower ${ }^{3}$ rather than from the higher parts of the tree, except in the case of the vine; those that have roots should be set upright, ${ }^{4}$ while in the case of those which have none about ${ }^{5}$ a handsbreadth or rather more of the slip should be buried. Some say that part even of those which have roots should be buried, and that the position ${ }^{6}$ should be the same as that of the tree from which the slip was taken, facing north or east or south, as the case may be. With those plants with which it is possible, shoots from the boughs should also, they say, be planted, some being set on the trees themselves, ${ }^{7}$ as with olive pear apple and fig, but in other cases, as in that of the vine, they must be set separately, for that the vine cannot be grafted on itself.

If the slips cannot be taken with root or stock

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { cf. O.P. 3. 6. } 4 \text {; Xen. Oec. 19. } 9 . \\
& 5 \text { ovov conj. Sch.; oĩov } \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { Ald. } \\
& { }^{\text {of }} \text { cf. C.P. 3. 5. 2. }{ }^{7} \text { i.e. grafted. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. v. 4-6

attached, as with the olive, ${ }^{1}$ they say that one must ${ }^{2}$ split the wood at the lower end and plant with a stone on top; and the fig and other trees must be treated in like manner with the olive. ${ }^{3}$ The fig ${ }^{4}$ is also propagated by sharpening a stout shoot and driving it in with a hammer, till only a small piece of it is left above ground, and then piling sand above so as to earth it up; and they say that the plants thus raised grow finer up to a certain age.

Similar is the method used with vines, when they are propagated by the 'peg' ${ }^{5}$ method; for the peg makes a passage for that sort of shoot on account of its weakness ; and in the same manner men plant the pomegranate and other trees. The fig progresses more quickly and is less eaten by grubs, if the cutting is set in a squill-bulb ${ }^{6}$; in fact anything so planted is vigorous and grows faster. All those trees which are propagated by pieces cut from the stem should be planted with the cut part downwards, ${ }^{7}$ and the pieces cut off should not be less than a handsbreadth in length, as was said, ${ }^{8}$ and the bark should be left on. From such pieces new shoots grow, and as they grow, one should keep on heaping up earth about them, till the tree becomes strong. ${ }^{9}$ This kind of propagation is peculiar to the olive and myrtle, while the others are more or less common to all trees.

The fig is better than any other tree at striking roots, and will, more than any other tree, grow by any method of propagation. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{We}$ are told that,
${ }^{7}$ cf. Geop. 9. 11. 8.
82. 5. 3, where however the method of propagation is different.

 $\tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \mathrm{MV}$; ぬ $\rho \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}_{2} . \quad{ }^{10}$ cf. C.P. 3. 7.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. v. 6-vi. I

in planting the pomegranate myrtle or bay, one should set two trees close together, not further than nine feet apart, apples a little further, pears and wild pears still further, almonds and figs further still, and in like manner the olive. ${ }^{1}$ Again the distance apart must be regulated by the nature of the ground, being less ${ }^{2}$ in hilly parts than in low ground.

Most important of all, one may say, is it to assign to each the suitable soil; for then is the tree most vigorous. Speaking generally, they say that low ground is most suitable for the olive fig and vine, and the lower slopes of hills for fruit trees. ${ }^{3}$ Nor should one fail to note what soil suits each variety even of those closely related. There is the greatest difference, one may say, between the different kinds of vine: for they say that there are as many kinds of vine as there are of soil. If they are planted as their nature requires, they turn out well, if otherwise, they are unfruitful. And these remarks apply almost equally to all trees.

## Of the , mopagation of the date-palm; of palms in yeneral.

VI. ${ }^{4}$ The method of propagating date-palms is peculiar and exceptional, as also is their sulsequent cultivation. They plant several seeds together, putting two below and two above, which are fastened on ; but all face downwards. ${ }^{5}$ For germination starts not, as some say, from the 'reverse' or hollow side, ${ }^{6}$ but from the part ${ }^{7}$ which is uppermost; wherefore in joining on the seeds which are placed above one must not cover up the points from which the growth
${ }^{0}$ Plin. 13. 32.
${ }^{5}$ i.e. with the grooved side downwards.
${ }^{6}$ i.e. the grooved side. ${ }^{7}$ i.e. the round side.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, Il. vi. $1-3$

is to come ; and these can be recognised by experts. And the reason why they set several together is that a plant that grows from one only is weak. The roots which grow from these seeds become entangled together and so do the first shoots from the very start, so that they combine to make a single stem.

Such is the method of growing from the fruits. But propagation is also possible from the tree itself, by taking off the top, which contains the 'head.' ' They take off about two cubits' length, and, splitting it, set the moist end. ${ }^{2}$ It likes a soil which contains salt ${ }^{3}$; wherefore, where such soil is not available, the growers sprinkle salt about it ; and this must not be done about the actual roots: one must keep the salt some way off and sprinkle about a gallon. To shew that it seeks such a soil they offer the following proof; wherever date-palms grow abundantly, the soil is salt, ${ }^{4}$ both in Babylon, they say, where the tree is indigenous, in Libya in Egypt and in Phoenicia; while in Coele-Syria, where are ${ }^{5}$ most palms, only in three districts, they say, where the soil is salt, are dates produced which can be stored; those that grow in other districts do not keep, but rot, though when fresh they are sweet and men use ${ }^{6}$ them at that stage.
${ }^{7}$ The tree is likewise very fond of irrigation; as to dung there is a difference of opinion: some say that the date-palm does not like it, but that it is most injurious, others that it gladly accepts ${ }^{s}$ it and makes good growth thereby, but plenty of water should be
${ }^{4}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \mu \omega \dot{\alpha} \epsilon 1 s$ conj. W.; $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon t s$ Ald. H.


7 Plin. 13. 28.
${ }^{8}$ каl Хр $\bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ conj. S'ch.; кє $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha$, Ald.; ? $\kappa \in \chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ oùt
 $\beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon \rho a ́ . ~ o ̋ \tau a \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ v ı a v ́ \sigma i o s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau а \iota, ~ \mu є \tau \alpha-~$ $\phi \cup \tau \epsilon v ́ o v \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ á $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о v \sigma \iota$ ，каi
 фvтeía．







 ค́áßóß





 ко́фuтa тvүХávєє，тòv aủ $\hat{\omega} \nu a$ ס̀є roûтov $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тoùs ミúpous ötı סıaтєível סıà тйs＇Apaßías $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$ тท̂s є̉pvӨрâs Өa入cíббทs каi тод入оùs фа́бкєוע
 кévai тoùs фoívıкаs．тav̂тa $\mu$ ย̀v oûv тáخ’ ả $\mu \phi$－


[^75]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. v. 3-5

given, after manuring, as the Rhodians use. This then is matter for enquiry ; it may be that there are two distinct methods of cultivation, and that dung, if accompanied by watering, ${ }^{1}$ is beneficial, though without it it is harmful. ${ }^{2}$ When the tree is a year old, they transplant it and give plenty ${ }^{3}$ of salt, and this treatment is repeated when it is two years old, for it delights greatly in being transplanted.
${ }^{4}$ Most transplant in the spring, but the people of Babylon about the rising of the dog-star, and this is the time when most people propagate it, since it then germinates and grows more quickly. As long as it is young, they do not touch it, except that they tie up the foliage, so that it may grow straight ${ }^{5}$ and the slender branches may not hang down. ${ }^{6}$ At a later stage they prune it, when it is more vigorous and has become a stout tree, leaving the slender branches only about a handsbreadth long. So long as it is young, it produces its fruit without a stone, but later on the fruit has a stone.

However some say that the people of Syria use no cultivation, except cutting out wood and watering, also that the date-palm requires spring water rather than water from the skies; and that such water is abundant in the valley in which are the palm-groves. And they add that the Syrians say that this valley? extends through Arabia to the Red Sea, ${ }^{8}$ and that many profess to have visited it, ${ }^{9}$ and that it is in the lowest part of it that the date-palms grow. Now both accounts may be true, for it is not strange that
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. vi. 5-7

in different soils the methods of cultivation should differ, like the trees themselves.
${ }^{1}$ There are several kinds of palm. To begin with, to take first the most important difference;-some are fruitful and some not; and it is from this latter kind that the people of Babylon make their beds and other furniture. Again of the fruitful trees some are 'male,' others 'female'; and these differ from one another in that the 'male' first ${ }^{2}$ bears a flower on the spathe, while the 'female' at once bears a small fruit. Again there are various differences in the fruits themselves; some have no stones, others soft stones; as to colour, some are white, some black, some yellow ; and in general they say that there is not less variety of colour and even of kind than in figs; also that they differ in size and shape, some being round like apples and of such a size that four of them make up a cubit ${ }^{3}$ in length, . . ${ }^{4}$ while others are small, ${ }^{5}$ no bigger than chick-peas; and that there is also much difference in flavour.

The best kind alike in size and in quality, whether of the white or black variety, is that which in either form is called 'the royal palm' ; but this, they say, is rare; it grows hardly anywhere except in the park of the ancient Bagoas, ${ }^{6}$ near Babylon. In Cyprus ${ }^{7}$ there is a peculiar kind of palm which does not ripen its fruit, though, when it is unripe, it is very sweet and luscious, and this lusciousness is of a peculiar kind. Some palms again ${ }^{8}$ differ not merely
 $\kappa a l$ ė $\pi i \pi \delta \delta \alpha$ conj. W. ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 13. 42.
${ }_{6}{ }^{B}$ Bayఢ́ov: Bávzou MSS. corr. by Pu. Const. from Plin. 13. 41. $\tau o \hat{v}$ ma入aiou apparently distinguishes this Bagoas from some more recent wearer of the name.

7 Plin. 13. 33. ${ }^{8}$ Plin. 13. 28.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. vi. 7-9

in their fruits but in the character of the tree itself as to stature and general shape; for instead of being large and tall they are low growing; but these are more fruitful than the others, and they begin to bear as soon as they are three years old ; this kind too is common in Cyprus. Again in Syria and Egypt there are palms which bear when they are four or five years old, at which age they are the height of a man.

There is yet another kind in Cyprus, which has broader leaves and a much larger fruit of peculiar shape; in size it is as large as a pomegranate, in shape it is long; it is not however juicy like others, but like ${ }^{1}$ a pomegranate, so that men do not swallow it, but chew it and then spit it out. Thus, as has been said, there are many kinds. The only dates that will keep, they say, are those which grow in the Valley ${ }^{2}$ of Syria, while those that grow in Egypt Cyprus and elsewhere are used when fresh.

The palm, speaking generally, has a single and simple stem; however there are some with two stems, as in Egypt, ${ }^{3}$ which make a fork, as it were; the length of the stem up to the point where it divides is as much as five cubits, and the two branches of the fork are about equal in length. They say that the palms in Crete more often than not have this double stem, and that some of them have three stems; and that in Lapaia one with five heads has been known. It is after all not surprising ${ }^{4}$ that in more fertile soils such instances should be commoner, and in general that more kinds and more variation should be found under such conditions.
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 ко́ $\mu \eta \nu$. Є́ $\chi$ оибı $\delta$ è каì тò фúдло⿱ $\pi \lambda a \tau u ̀ ~ \kappa а i ̆ ~ \omega ̈ \sigma-~$





 тov̂ עéov тòv '้ข

11 Oí סè $\chi a \mu a \iota \rho \rho \iota \phi \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ \kappa a \lambda о и ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фоьขíк $\omega \nu$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho} \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v o v \sigma \iota$. Sıa申́є́povaı ठè каì т $\hat{\varphi} \kappa \kappa \rho \pi \hat{\varphi}$ каì тоîs фú $\lambda \lambda о \iota{ }^{\circ} \pi \lambda a \tau u ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$ $\kappa а i ̀ \mu а \lambda а к o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ै \chi о v \sigma \iota ~ т o ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu, \delta i ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ к а i ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́-~$
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${ }^{1}$ There is another kind which is said to be abundant in Ethiopia, called the doum-palm ${ }^{2}$; this is a shrubby tree, not having a single stem but several, which sometimes are joined together up to a certain point ${ }^{3}$; and the leaf-stalks are not long, ${ }^{4}$ only the length of a cubit, but they are plain, ${ }^{5}$ and the leafage is borne only at the tip. The leaf is broad and, as it were, made up of at least ${ }^{6}$ two leaflets. This tree is fair to look upon, and its fruit in shape size and flavour differs from the date, being rounder larger and pleasanter to the taste, though not so luscious. It ripens in three years, so that there is always fruit on the tree, as the new fruit overtakes that of last year. And they make bread out of it. These reports then call for enquiry.
${ }^{7}$ The dwarf-palm, as it is called, is a distinct kind, having nothing but its name ${ }^{8}$ in common with other palms. For if the head is removed, it survives, and, if it is cut down, it shoots again from the roots. It differs too in the fruit and leaves; for the leaf is broad and flexible, and so they weave their baskets and mats out of it. It is common in Crete and still more so in Sicily. ${ }^{9}$ However in these matters we have said more than our purpose required.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho\llcorner\tau \iota \nu \partial s \in \bar{l} \epsilon \nu \mathrm{MV}$.
${ }_{5}^{4} \mu \mathrm{e} \nu$ ins. W. after Sch. (omitted above).
5 i.e. without leaflets, except at the tip.
${ }^{6}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha \hat{i} \sigma \tau \sigma \iota$ Bas. ; $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \chi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ U. cf. Arist. Eth. N. 5. 3. 3,


7 Plin. 13. 39. $\quad{ }^{8}$ For $\delta \mu \omega \omega_{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ cf. 9. 10. 1 n .
2 A dwarf palm is now abundant at Selinunte : cf. Verg. Aen. 3. 705, palmosa Selinus.
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12 ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ фvтєíals ả $\nu a ́ \pi a \lambda \iota \nu$



 $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ тoùs китívous. $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ס̀̀ тoûтó фабı


 єv̉Ө̀̀s тò äккор.












 фínvopos.
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## Further notes on the propagation of trees.

To return to the other trees :-in propagating them they set the cuttings upside down, ${ }^{1}$ as with vine-shoots. Some however ${ }^{2}$ say that that makes no difference, and least of all in propagating the vine; while others contend that the pomegranate thus propagated has a bushier growth ${ }^{3}$ and shades the fruit better, and also that it is then ${ }^{4}$ less apt to shed the flower. This also occurs, they say, with the fig; when it is set upside down, it does not shed its fruit, and it makes a more accessible ${ }^{5}$ tree; and it does not shed its fruit, even if one breaks off the top ${ }^{6}$ as it begins to grow.

Thus we have given a general sketch of what we find about methods of propagation, and of the ways in which these trees are reproduced.

## Of the cultivation of trees.

VII. ${ }^{7}$ As to cultivation and tendance some requirements apply equally to all trees, some are peculiar to one. Those which apply equally to all are spadework watering and manuring, and moreover pruning and removal of dead wood. But different trees differ in the degree. Some love moisture and manure, some not so much, as the cypress, ${ }^{8}$ which ${ }^{9}$ is fond neither of manure nor of water, but actually dies, they say, if it is overwatered when young. But the pomegranate and vine are water-loving. The fig grows more vigorously if it is watered, but then its fruit is inferior, except in the case of the Laconian variety, which is water-loving. ${ }^{10}$
scansilem (so also G), which seems to be a rendering of èेßar. єं̇ßatotépal U.
${ }^{6} \tau \grave{\partial}$ ăкро» conj. R. Const. after ( $\frac{1}{x} ; \tau \grave{\nu} \kappa{ }^{2} \rho \pi \delta \nu \mathrm{UMVP}_{2}$ Ald. 7 Plin. 17. $246 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Plin. 17. 247.
${ }^{2} \eta \pi \epsilon \rho$ conj. W. from G ; $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho$ Ald. ${ }^{10}$ cf.C.P. 3.6.6.
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 $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \iota$ каì тòv картòv oї $\sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \cdot \pi \lambda \eta \nu$
 каі тро̀s $\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma т \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho о ̀ s ~ є \cup к к а р т і а \nu . ~ а ் т \lambda \omega ิ s ~$


$\Delta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \imath$ бé фضбъv 'Avঠротíш каi ко́трои


 ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' $ฺ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta a ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota o ̀ \nu ~ ? ~ ? ~ t o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \rho o \nu, ~ a ̉ \pi о т є ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~$




 $\mu \eta ́ т p a s$.
4
'H ठє̀ кómpos ov̈tє $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega s$ oü $\theta$ ’ ì av̇tخे $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ àpно́ттєь тà $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta є i ̂ т а \iota ~ т a ̀ ~$ $\delta^{\prime} \hat{j} \tau \tau о \nu$ тà $\delta e ̀ ~ \pi а \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega ิ \varsigma ~ к о и ́ ф \eta ร . ~ \delta р \iota \mu v т а ́ т \eta ~ \delta \grave{~}$ † той à $\theta \rho \omega ́ т о и$ каӨáтєр каі Xарто́ঠрая



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }^{1} \text { Plin. 17. } 248 . & { }^{2} \text { Name of tree missing. Sch. } \\
{ }_{3} \text { cf.C.P. 3. 10. 4. } & { }^{4} \text { тaú } \eta \text { conj. W.; тaúv } \eta s \text { Ald. }
\end{array}
$$

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. vir. 2-4

${ }^{1}$ All trees require pruning; for they are inproved by removal of the dead wood, which is, as it were, a foreign body, and prevents growth and nourishment. Wherefore when the (tree) ${ }^{2}$ becomes old, they cut off all its boughs: for then the tree breaks afresh. Androtion ${ }^{3}$ says that the myrtle and olive need more pruning than any other trees; for the smaller you leave them, the better they will grow, and they will bear better fruit. But the vine of course needs pruning even more; for it is in the case of this tree ${ }^{4}$ more necessary for promoting both growth and fruitfulness. However, speaking generally, both this and other kinds of tendance must be suited to the particular natural character in each case.

Androtion further says that the olive the myrtle and the pomegranate require the most pungent manure and the heaviest watering, as well as the most thorough pruning, for that then they do not get 'softwood' ${ }^{5}$ nor any disease underground ; but when the tree is old, he adds, one should cut off the boughs, and then attend to the stem as though it were a tree just planted. Thus ${ }^{6}$ treated they say that the myrtle and olive are longer lived and very robust. These statements might be a subject for further enquiry, or, if not all of them, at least what is stated of the 'softwood.'

Manure does not suit all alike, nor is the same manure equally good for all. Some need it pungent, some less so, some need it quite light. The most pungent is human dung: thus Chartodras ${ }^{7}$ says that this is the best, pig-manure being second to it, goat-manure third, fourth that of sheep, fifth that of
> ${ }^{5}$ i.e. effete sap-wood. ${ }^{6}$ oũ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ conj. W.; oi Ald.
> 7 Name perhaps corrupt.
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 $\sigma \nu \rho \mu a \tau i \tau \iota \varsigma \ddot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \eta$ каї $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma^{*} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \grave{a} \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon-$






 каi тоѝs бıкv́ovs каi тàs кодоки́дтая, öтау оi






 oû̀ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ả $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau<\hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$.
6 'Еà̀ סє́ тє $\mu \eta$ фє́р! карто̀̀ ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' єis $\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$




 тро̀s т̂̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi тє́фраע $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a ́ т \tau о \nu \sigma \iota$ каì катаб $\chi a ́ \zeta о \nu \sigma \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \eta ~ к а i ́ ~ ф а \sigma \iota ~ \phi є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~$

${ }^{1}$ Lit. ' bushy tails,' i.e. horses asses mules.
${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 3. 16. 3. ${ }^{1}{ }^{3} \delta \in i$ ins. H ; so apparently G read.
$\nu \in \in i \nu$ 芀 $\delta \lambda \omega s$ Ald.
${ }^{5}$ Plin. 17. 253 and 254.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. vir. 4-6

oxen, and sixth that of beasts of burden. ${ }^{1}$ Litter manure is of different kinds and is applied in various ways : some kinds are weaker, some stronger.

Spade-work is held to be beneficial to all trees, and also hoeing for the smaller ones, as they then become more vigorous. Even dust ${ }^{2}$ is thought to fertilise some things and make them flourish, for instance the grape; wherefore they often put dust to the roots of the vine. Some also dig in dust about the figs in places where it is deficient. ${ }^{3}$ In Megara, when the etesian winds are past, they cover the cucumber and gourd plants with dust by raking, and so make the fruits sweeter and tenderer by not watering. On this point there is general agreement. But some say that dust should not be put to the vine, ${ }^{4}$ and that it should not be meddled with at all when the grape is turning, or, if at all, only when it has turned black. Some again say that even then nothing should be done except to pluck up the weeds. So on this point there is a difference of opinion.
${ }^{5}$ If a tree does not bear fruit but inclines to a leafy growth, they split that part of the stem which is underground and insert a stone corresponding ${ }^{6}$ to the crack thus made, and then, they say, it will bear. The same result follows, if one cuts off some of the roots, and accordingly they thus treat the surface roots of the vine when it runs to leaf. In the case of figs, in addition to root-pruning, ${ }^{7}$ they also sprinkle ashes about the tree, and make gashes in the stems, and then, they say, it bears better. ${ }^{8}$ Into the almond tree they drive an iron peg, and, having thus made
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 Spü̈̀ov каi т ̣̂̂ үn̂ кри́ттоубıv. ô каì ка入оv̂бí




 тои̂to, тàs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon \rho o u ́ \sigma a s ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$




 ä $\mu \alpha$ каі т $\rho o ̀ s ~ т o ̀ ~ \epsilon v ̉ \kappa а р т \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$
VIII. 'A $\pi o \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ठè $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ 屯 ́ \downarrow a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \kappa a \rho-~$ $\pi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \mu \nu \gamma \delta a \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ ค̊óa aैтıos каì $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \delta \grave{\eta}$


 бӨíovaı каì тıaívovбı тàs корифás. Sıаф́́povбı Ś̀ каì ai $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi o ß o \lambda a ́ s . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

${ }^{1}$ The operation being performed at the base of the tree.

${ }_{3}$ Plin. 17. 252.
${ }^{4}$ rd $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi o \theta \in \nu$ conj. W.; $\pi a \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi o \theta \in \nu$ $\tau \delta$ MSS.; so apparently G. cf.C.P. 2. 14. 4.
${ }^{5} \pi \varepsilon ́ \psi a \iota$ conj. Sch.; $\pi є ́ \mu \psi \alpha \iota$ Ald.

 C.P. 2. 9. 5.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. vii. 6-viir. i

a hole, insert in its place a peg of oak-wood and bury it ${ }^{1}$ in the earth, and some call this 'punishing' the tree, since its luxuriance is thus chastened.

Some do the same with the pear and with other trees. In Arcadia they have a similar process which is called 'correcting' the sorb (for that tree is common in that country). And they say that under this treatment those trees that would not bear do so, and those that would not ripen their fruit now ripen ${ }^{2}$ them well. ${ }^{3}$ It is also said that the almond becomes sweet, instead of bitter, if one digs round the stem and, having bored a hole about a palmsbreadth, allows the gum which exudes from all sides ${ }^{4}$ to flow down into it and collect. The object of this would be alike to make the tree bear and to improve the fruit.

## Of remedies for the shedding of the fruit : caprification.

VIII. Trees which are apt to shed their fruit before ripening ${ }^{5}$ it are almond apple pomegranate pear and, above all, fig and date-palm ; and men try to find the suitable remedies for this. This is the reason for the process called 'caprification'; gallinsects come out of the wild figs which are hanging there, ${ }^{6}$ eat the tops of the cultivated figs and so make them swell. ${ }^{7}$ The shedding of the fruit differs according to the soil: in Italy ${ }^{8}$ they say that it does not occur, and so they do not use caprification, ${ }^{9}$
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 $\hat{\eta}$ ขотíors àтоßáддоvбı, кả̀ ষuхро́тєра каí




 катабтáбєє то̂̂ à́́pos ê $\chi \in \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \phi o p a ́ s . ~$








 $\tau a ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu a \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu \nu^{*} \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s$



 ठè $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o s ~ к о \nu l o p \tau o ́ s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \tau a v ̂ \theta a ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a ~ к а i ̀ ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. viI. $\mathrm{r}-3$

nor is it practised in places which face north nor in those with light soils, as at Phalykos ${ }^{1}$ in the Megarid, nor in certain parts of the district of Corinth. Also conditions as to wind make a difference; the fruit is shed more with northerly than with southerly winds, and this also happens more if the winds are cold and frequent. ${ }^{2}$ Moreover the character of the tree itself makes a difference; for some kinds, such as the Laconian and other such kinds, shed their early ${ }^{3}$ figs but not the later ones. Wherefore caprification is not practised with these. Such are the changes to which the fig is subject in respect of locality kind and climatic conditions.
${ }^{4}$ Now the gall-insects come, as has been said, out of the wild fig, and they are engendered from the seeds. The proof given of this is that, when they come out, there are no seeds left in the fruit; and most of them in coming out leave a leg or a wing behind. There is another kind of gall-insect which is called kentrines; these insects are sluggish, like drones, they kill those of the other kind who are entering the figs, and they themselves die in the fruit. The black kind of wild fig which grows in rocky places is most commended for caprification, as these figs contain numerous seeds. ${ }^{5}$ A fig which has been subject to caprification is known by being red and parti-coloured and stout, while one which has not been so treated is pale and sickly. The treatment is applied to the trees which need it, after rain. The wild figs are most plentiful and most potent
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 фабì єivat тoùs каркívovs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho о \nu a ̨ ้ \nu$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~$








 тои̂ карто̂ тท̂ऽ $\theta \eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \varsigma * ~ к а ̀ \nu ~ т о и ิ т о ~ \pi a ́ \theta \eta, ~ \delta ı а т \eta \rho є \hat{\imath}$
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where there is most dust. And they say that hulwort also, when it fruits freely, ${ }^{1}$ and the 'gallbags' 2 of the elm are used for caprification. For certain little creatures are engendered in these also. When the knips is found in figs, it eats the gall-insects. It is to prevent this, it is said, that they nail up the crabs; for the knips then turns its attention to these. Such are the ways of assisting the figtrees.

With dates it is helpful to bring the male to the female; for it is the male which causes the fruit to persist and ripen, and this process some call, by analogy, 'the use of the wild fruit.' ${ }^{3}$ The process is thus performed: when the male palm is in flower, they at once cut off the spathe on which the flower is, just as it is, and shake the bloom with the flower and the dust over the fruit of the female, and, if this is done to it, it retains the fruit and does not shed it. In the case both of the fig and of the date it appears that the 'male' renders aid to the 'female,' -for the fruit-bearing tree is called 'female' but while in the latter case there is a union of the two sexes, in the former the result is brought about somewhat differently.
same thing is referred to as $\tau \delta \theta u \lambda \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$ тoûto, where $\tau 0 \hat{u} \tau o$ $=$ 'the well-known'; cf. also 9. 1. 2, where Sch. restores кюри́коиs ; cf. Pall. 4. 10. 28. китаípous (?) U ; китє́pous MV; ки́тєрเข Ald.; кит兀d́pous conj. W.
 from éptós, the wild fig used for caprification. cf. C.P. 3. 18. 1.

BOOK III

## $\Gamma$










 тà ả $\lambda \sigma \omega ́ \delta \eta$ каì фí $\lambda v \delta \rho a$, $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ $\delta^{\prime}$ oiov $\pi \lambda a ́ t a \nu o \nu$
 таиิта каі̀ тà тоъа̂та фитєvó $\mu \in \nu a \quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$


 aùt $\hat{\nu} \nu \kappa а і ̈ ~ к а т а т \eta \gamma \nu v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu а, ~ \kappa а Ө a ̀ т \tau \epsilon \rho ~ \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon u ́ \kappa \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~$ う aírєı $\rho$ os.
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## Of Wild Trees.

## Of the ways in which wild trees originate.

I. Now that we have spoken of cultivated trees, we must in like manner speak of wild ones, noting in what respects they agree with or differ from cultivated trees, and whether in any respects their character is altogether peculiar to themselves.

Now the ways in which they come into being are fairly simple; they all grow either from seed or from a root. But the reason of this is not that they could not possibly grow in any other way, but merely perhaps that no one even tries to plant them otherwise; whereas they might grow ${ }^{1}$ from slips, if they were provided with a suitable position and received the fitting kind of tendance, as may be said even now of the trees of woodland and marsh, such as plane willow abele black poplar and elm ; all these and other similar trees grow very quickly and well when they are planted from pieces torn off, so that ${ }^{2}$ they survive, even if at the time of shifting they are already tall and as big as trees. Most of these are simply planted by being set firmly, for instance, the abele and the black poplar.

Such is the way in which these originate as well as from seed or from roots; the others grow only
${ }^{2} \underset{\omega}{2} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa a l \mu \epsilon \gamma$. conj. Sch.; каl $\nsim \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa a l \mu \epsilon \gamma$. UM ; каl $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\mu \in \gamma$. PAld.
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 रєעvâv $\phi a \sigma \iota \nu$, oiov $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \in ́ a \nu ~ i \tau \epsilon ́ a \nu . ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \quad \phi \rho a \chi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ßєрє́ $\theta \rho \omega \nu$. őто⿱ $\mu \in ̀ \nu$




 $\kappa \alpha ̉ \kappa є i ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
3


 $a \nu ं \tau \eta े \nu ~ \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma і к а р т о \nu$.
 $\nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ öт ò $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ảтò т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єis тoùs є́ $о \mu \in ́ \nu o u s ~ r o ́ t r o u s ~ o ̀ ~ к а р \pi o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \nu є \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$, фúєб $\theta a i$



${ }^{1} c f .5 .4 .6$.
2 'Katavothra' (now called 'the devil's holes,' see Lawson, cited below) ; cf. Paus. 8. 14; Catull. 68. 109; Plut. de sera muminis vindicta, 557 c ; Plin. 31. 36 ; Frazer, Pausanias and other Greek Sketches, pp. 315 foll. ; Lawson, Modern Greek Folklore and Ancient Greek Religion, p. 85.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. I. 2-3

in these two ways-while some of them, such as silver-fir fir and Aleppo pine grow only from seed. All those that have seed and fruit, even if they grow from a root, will grow from seed too; for they say that even those which, like elm and willow, appear to have no fruit reproduce themselves. For proof they give the fact that many such trees come up at a distance from the roots of the original tree, whatever the position may be; and further, they have observed a thing which occasionally happens; for instance, when at Phencos ${ }^{1}$ in Areadia the water which had collected in the plain since the underground channels ${ }^{2}$ were blocked burst forth, where there were willows growing near the inundated region, the next year after it had dried up they say that willows grew again; and where there had been elms, clms ${ }^{3}$ grew, even as, where there had been firs and silverfirs, these trees reappeared-as if the former trees followed the example ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the latter.

But the willow is said to shed its fruit early, before it is completely matured and ripened; and so the poet ${ }^{5}$ not unfittingly calls it " the willow which loses its fruit."

That the elm also reproduces itself the following is taken to be a proof: when the fruit is carried by the winds to neighbouring spots, they say that young trees grow from it. Something similar to this appears to be what happens in the case of certain under-shrubs and herbaceous plants; though they have no visible seed, but some of them only a sort of
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 тои̂то $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ тє $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda о \nu$ кảкєîขo $\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma т о \nu ~$
 èv трі́тoó $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \hat{\omega}$.

Taútas тє Sク̀ тàs $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \in \iota \varsigma ~ i ́ \pi o \lambda \eta \pi т \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota ~$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ảypíwv каi ëтє тàs av̉тонáтоvs, às кai oi



 тוvà $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu о \nu \tau о s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \eta \eta \nu . ~ K \lambda є i ́ \delta \eta \mu o s ~ \delta є ̀ ~$


 $\tau \hat{\uparrow} \varsigma \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$.



 $\mu \in \tau a \beta a i \nu \in \iota, \kappa \alpha i ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ \tau \hat{n} \mu \in \tau a \beta a ́ \sigma є \iota ~ т о \sigma a v ́ т \eta \nu$
 бuvךрєфєìv. каi $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ öтаע є̇тонßрі́ає ката́$\sigma \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ т $\lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega$ хро́шоע• каì үà $\rho$ є’v таúтаıs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \prime-$
 є’фобоs є́та́үєєン бтє́риата каi картои́s, каi тоѝs
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down, and others only a flower, such as thyme, young plants nevertheless grow from these. As for the plane, it obviously has seeds, and seedlings grow from them. This is evident in various ways, and here is a very strong proof-a plane-tree has before now been seen which came up in a brass pot.

Such we must suppose are the ways in which wild trees originate, apart from the spontaneous ways of which natural philosophers tell. ${ }^{1}$ Anaxagoras says that the air contains the seeds of all things, and that these, carried down by the rain, produce the plants; while Diogenes ${ }^{2}$ says that this happens when water decomposes and mixes in some sort with earth. ${ }^{3}$ Kleidemos maintains that plants are made of the same elements as animals, but that they fall short of being animals in proportion as their composition is less pure and as they are colder. ${ }^{4}$ And there are other philosophers also who speak of spontaneous generation.

But this kind of generation is somehow beyond the ken of our senses. There are other admitted and observable kinds, as when a river in flood gets over its banks or has altogether changed its course, even as the Nesos in the district of Abdera often alters its course, and in so doing causes such a growth of forest in that region that by the third year it casts a thick shade. The same result ensues when heavy rains prevail for a long time; during these too many plants shoot up. Now, as the flooding of a river, it would appear, conveys seeds of fruits of trees, and, as they say, irrigation chamels convey the ${ }^{5}$ seeds of herbaceous plants, so heavy
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тои́то то८єî таủтó биккатафє́pєє yàp тод入à















 $\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon р о \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ o 乞 ̂ \sigma a . ~ \phi а \sigma i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ т o ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \sigma i ́ \lambda \phi ı \nu ~$ oủk ồ т ขat．тоóto九 $\mu$ ย̀v oûv тoloûto九 т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ то九ov́т $\omega \nu$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．



 Ald．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Plin．16． 142.
${ }^{3}$ i．e．and is released by working the ground．
${ }^{4}$ cf．C．P．1．5．1；Plin．16． 143 ，who gives the date A．U．C． 130 ；cf．19． 41 ．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. I. 5-H. I

rain acts in the same way ${ }^{1}$; for it brings down many of the seeds with it, and at the same time causes a sort of decomposition of the earth and of the water. In fact, the mere mixture of earth with water in Egypt seems to produce a kind of vegetation. And in some places, if the ground is merely lightly worked and stirred, the plants native to the district immediately spring up; ${ }^{2}$ for instance, the cypress in Crete. And something similar to this occurs even in smaller plants; as soon as the earth is stirred, wherever it may be, a sort of vegetation comes up. And in partly saturated soil, if you break up the ground, they say that caltrop appears. Now these ways of origination are due to the change which takes place in the soil, whether there were seeds in it already, or whether the soil itself somehow produces the result. And the latter explanation is perhaps not strange, seeing that the moist element is also locked up in the soil. ${ }^{3}$ Again, in some places they say that after rain a more singular abundance of vegetation has been known to spring up; for instance, at Cyrene, after a heavy pitchy shower had fallen: for it was under these circumstances that there sprang up the wood ${ }^{4}$ which is near the town, though till then it did not exist. They say also that silphium ${ }^{5}$ has been known to appear from some such cause, where there was none before. ${ }^{6}$ Such are the ways in which these kinds of generation come about.

## Of the diferences hetucen wild tond caltivated trees.

II. All trees are either fruit-bearing or without fruit, either evergreen or deciduous, either flowering ${ }^{5}$ cf. 6. 3. ${ }^{6}$ tolồrol MSS.; ;ơoûtol conj. W.
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 картía т仑̂ $\pi \rho \circ \phi a i ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ̉ \psi ı a i ́-~$
 $\pi a ̂ \nu \cdot \kappa а i ~ i \sigma \chi \nu \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a ~ т \hat{\eta}$ фv́бєl каi $\pi \rho о \phi а i ́ v \epsilon \iota$
 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \mathfrak{a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o ́ ~} \mu \circ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$, oîov є̇入áas кaì ảmíov
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or flowerless ; for certain distinctions apply to all trees alike, whether cultivated or wild. To wild trees, as compared with cultivated ones, belong the special properties of fruiting late, of greater vigour, of abundance of fruit, produced if not matured; for they ripen their fruit later, and in general their time of flowering and making growth is later; also they are more vigorous in growth, and so, though they produce more fruit, they ripen it less; if ${ }^{1}$ this is not universally true, at least it holds good of the wild olive and pear as compared with the cultivated forms of these trees. This is generally true with few exceptions, as in the cornelian cherry and sorb; for the wild forms of these, they say, ripen their fruit better, and it is sweeter than in the cultivated forms. ${ }^{2}$ And the rule also does not hold good of anything which does not admit of cultivation, whether it be a tree or one of the smaller plants, as silphium caper and, among leguminous plants, the lupin; these one might say are specially wild in their character. For, as with animals which do not submit to domestication, so a plant which does not submit to cultivation may be called wild in its essential character. However Hippon ${ }^{3}$ declares that of every plant there exists both a cultivated and a wild form, and that 'cultivated' simply means ${ }^{4}$ that the plant has received attention, while ' wild' means that it has not; but though he is partly right, he is partly wrong. It is true that any plant deteriorates by neglect and so becomes wild; but it is not true that every plant may be improved by attention, ${ }^{5}$ as has been said. Wherefore ${ }^{6}$ we must make our distinction and call some things wild, others culti-
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 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \delta \iota o \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda a \nu o \nu . ~}$







 $\epsilon \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тà $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega$ каì $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu$ є̀v тоútoıs тоîs
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vated-the latter class corresponding to those animals which live with man and can be tamed. ${ }^{1}$

But perhaps it does not matter which way this should be put. Any tree which runs wild deteriorates in its fruits, and itself becomes dwarfed in leaves branches bark and appearance generally; for under cultivation these parts, as well as the whole growth of the tree, become closer, more compact ${ }^{2}$ and harder; which indicates that the difference between cultivated and wild is chiefly shown in these respects. And so those trees which show these characteristics under cultivation they say are really wild, for instance fir cypress, or at least the 'male' kind, hazel and chestnut.

Moreover these wild forms are distinguished by having greater liking for cold and for hilly country: for that too is regarded as a means of recognising wild trees and wild plants generally, whether it is so regarded in itself or as being only incidentally a distinguishing mark.

So the definition of wild kinds, whether it should be thus made or otherwise, perhaps makes no difference for our present purpose. But it is certainly true, speaking ${ }^{3}$ broadly and generally, that the wild trees are more to be found in hilly country, and that the greater part of them flourish more in such regions, with the exception of those which love water or grow by river sides or in woods; these and such-like trees are rather trees of the plain. However on great mountains, such as Parnassus Cyllene the Pierian and the Mysian Olympus, and such regions anywhere
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 $\gamma \epsilon \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ каì тoùs ảvà $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon \iota-$


 є่ข $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o \iota s ~ \phi \epsilon ́ p \epsilon \iota \nu$.



 oîs кédoos．évıaұoû סè tîs ミupías тépuıv才os．ai





 фөли́кך ảфа́ркך карv́a ঠıoбßáла⿱亠乂os трîvos．тà




 ＇̇v крйтท Tn̂ ’1 $\delta$ aíạ UAld．
${ }^{2}$ i．e．it is not meant that a tree which is＇special＇to Mount Ida（e．g．）occurs only there．


## ENQQUIRY INTO PLANTS, II. н. 5-1H. I

else, all kinds grow, because of the diversity of positions afforded them. For such mountains offer positions which are marshy, wet, dry, deep-soiled or rocky; they have also their meadow land here and there, and in fact almost every variety of soil ; again they present positions which lie low and are sheltered, as well as others which are lofty and exposed to wind; so that they can bear all sorts, even those which belong to the plains.

Yet it is not strange that there should be some mountains which do not thus bear all things, but have a more special kind of vegetation to a great extent if not entirely ; for instance the range of Ida in Crete ${ }^{1}$; for there the cypress grows; or the hills of Cilicia and Syria, on which the Syrian cedar grows, or certain parts of Syria, where the terebinth grows. For it is the differences of soil which give a special character to the vegetation. ${ }^{2}$ (However the word 'special' is used here in a somewhat extended sense.)

## Of mountain trees: of the differences found in wild trees.

III. The following trees are peculiar to mountain country and do not grow in the plains; ${ }^{3}$ let us take Macedonia as an example. Silver-fir fir 'wild pine' lime sygia Valonia oak box andrachne yew Phoenician cedar terebinth wild fig alaternus hybrid arbutus hazel chestnut kermes-oak. The following grow also in the plain: tamarisk elm abele willow black poplar cornelian cherry cornel alder oak lakare (bird-cherry) wild pear apple hop-hornbeam holly mama-ash Christ's thorn cotoneaster maple, ${ }^{4}$ which
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 ov̂ซıv єîठos $\sigma \phi \in \nu \delta \dot{a} \mu \nu 0 v$ каi そvyías.















 фо pàs ràs єip $\mu$ е́vas.
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when it grows in the mountains, is called sygia, when in the plain, gleinos: others however, ${ }^{1}$ classify differently and make maple and sygia distinct trees.
${ }^{2}$ All those trees which are common to both hill and plain are taller and finer in appearance when they grow in the plain; but the mountain forms are better as to producing serviceable timber and fruits, with the exception of wild pear pear and apple; these are in the plain better in fruit and also in timber; for in the hills they grow small with many knots and much spinous wood. But even on the mountains all trees grow fairer and are more vigorous when they have secured a suitable position ; and, to speak generally, those which grow on the level parts of the mountains are specially fair and vigorous; next to these come those which grow on the lower parts and in the hollows; while those that grow on the heights are of the poorest quality, except any that are naturally cold-loving. But even these shew some variation ${ }^{3}$ in different positions, of which we must speak later; for the present we must in our distinctions in each case take account only of the differences already mentioned.

Now among wild trees those are evergreen which were mentioned before, ${ }^{4}$ silver-fir fir ' wild pine' box andrachne yew Phoenician cedar terebinth alaternus hybrid arbutus bay phellodrys ${ }^{5}$ (holm-oak) holly cotoneaster kermes-oak tamarisk; but all the others shed their leaves, unless it be that in certain places they keep them exceptionally, as was said ${ }^{\circ}$ of the plane and oak in Crete and in any other place which is altogether favourable to luxuriant growth.
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 єìvaí фаб८, каӨáтєן oi тєрì Макєбоขíav.






 карто́ข.
 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \omega ิ \nu$ є่ $\lambda a \tau \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ тоарí $\omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ ì $\lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ द่ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta}$
${ }^{1}$ 2. 2. 10.
${ }^{2}$ cf. 2. 2. 10. It appears that the buls of the poplar were mistaken for fruit (Sch.) ; cf. Diosc. 1. Sl. Later writers perpetuated the error by calling them ко́кко.
${ }^{3} \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{I} \delta \eta$ conj. Sch.; $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ है $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\nu} 1 \delta \eta \mathrm{U} ; \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\nu} 1 \delta \eta \mathrm{~s}$ MV ; द้̉ $\tau \hat{T}$ "I $\delta \eta$ Ald. H.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. im. 4-6

Most trees are fruit-bearing, but abont willow black poplar and clm men hold different opinions, as was said ${ }^{1}$; and some, as the Arcadians, say that only the black poplar is without fruit, but that all the other mountain trees bear fruit. However in Crete there are a number of black poplars which bear fruit ${ }^{2}$; there is one at the mouth of the cave on mount Ida, ${ }^{3}$ in which the dedicatory offerings are hung, and there is another small one not far off, and there are quite a number about a spring called the Lizard's Spring about twelve furlongs off. There are also some in the hill-country of Ida in the same neighbourhood, in the district called Kindria and in the mountains about Praisia. ${ }^{4}$ Others again, as the Macedonians, say that the elm is the only tree of this class which bears firuit.

Again the character of the position makes a great difference as to fruit-bearing, as in the case of the persea ${ }^{5}$ and the date-palm. The persea of Egypt bears fruit, and so it does wherever it grows in the neighbouring districts, but in Rhodes ${ }^{6}$ it only gets as far as flowering. The date-palm in the neighbourhood of Babylon is marvellously fruitful ; in Hellas it does not even ripen its fruit, and in some plices it does not even produce any.

The same may be said of various other trees : in fact even ${ }^{7}$ of smaller herbaceous plants and bushes some are fruitful, others not, although the latter are
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 oûv каi т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ơ $\mu о \gamma \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi Є่ע $\mu \in \hat{\imath}$ тробךүорі́a тò $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ aैкартоע єîva九 тò ठè ка́ртьноע,


 тои́т $\omega \nu$ тà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ т о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \phi a \sigma \iota ~ т a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ y o v ~$
 äppeva нóva картіофорєìv, oủ $\mu \eta े \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~$
 т $\hat{\nu} \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ő $\sigma a \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \pi \iota \mu a \cdot \kappa \alpha i$ є́v ả $\mu \phi о i ̄ \nu$ ov゙т $\omega \varsigma$

 $\sigma a \mu$ ย́vovs.
 ढ̈бтєр єїтонєv. оi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ к а i ~ \delta р и ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \epsilon i \nu \nu ~$


 тò ßpúov тò Spúìдоу каi тòv кúттароу тòv титú-
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growing in the same place as the former, or ${ }^{1}$ quite near it. Take for instance the centaury in Elea; where it grows in hill-country, it is fruitful ; where it grows in the plain, it bears no fruit, bat only flowers; and where it grows in deep valleys, it does not even flower, unless it be scantily. Any way it appears that, even of other plants which are of the same kind and all go by the same name, one will be without fruit, while another bears fruit ; for instance, one kermes-oak will be fruitful, another not ; and the same is true of the alder, though both produce Howers. And, generally speaking, all those of any given kind which are called ' male' trees are without fruit, and that though ${ }^{2}$ some of these, they say, produce many flowers, some few, some none at all. On the other hand they say that in some cases it is only the 'males' that bear fruit, but that, in spite of this, the trees grow from the flowers, ${ }^{3}$ (just as in the case of fruit-bearing trees they grow from the fruit). And they add that in both cases, ${ }^{4}$ the crop of seedlings ${ }^{5}$ which comes up is sometimes so thick that the woodmen cannot get through except by clearing a way.

There is also a doubt about the flower of some trees, as we said. Some think that the oak bears flowers, and also the filbert the chestnut and even the fir and Aleppo pine; some however think that none of these has a flower, but that,-resembling ${ }^{\circ}$ and corresponding to the wild figs which drop off prematurely, we have in the nuts the catkin, ${ }^{7}$ in the form alone bears fruit, but the fruit is infertile. The passage is obscure: W. gives up the text.

5 そєфибเข. cf.7. 4. 3.
${ }^{6}$ б́цоьу conj.W.; $\delta \mu$ íav UAld. cf. 3. 7. 3.
${ }^{7}$ cf. 3. 5. 5.
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oak the oak-moss, in the pine the 'flowering tuft.' ${ }^{1}$ The people of Macedonia say that these trees also produce no flowers-Phoenician cedar beech aria ${ }^{2}$ (holm-oak) maple. Others distinguish two kinds of Phoenician cedar, of which one bears flowers but bears no fruit, while the other, though it has no flower, bears a fruit which shows itself at once ${ }^{3}$ just as wild figs produce their abortive fruit. However that may be, ${ }^{4}$ it is a fact that this is the only tree which keeps its fruit for two years. These matters then need enquiry.

## Of the times of budding and fruiting of wild, as compared with cultivated, trees.

IV. Now the budding of wild trees occurs in some cases at the same time as that of the cultivated forms, but in some cases somewhat, and in some a good deal later; but in all cases it is during the spring season. But there is greater diversity in the time of fruiting; as we said before, the times of ripening do not correspond to those of budding, but there are wide differences. For even in the case of those trees which are somewhat late in fruiting,-which some say take a year to ripen their fruit-such as Phoenician cedar and kermes-oak, the budding nevertheless takes place in the spring. Again there are differences of time between individual trees of the same kind, according to the locality; those in the marshes bud earliest, as the Macedonians say, second to them those in the plains, and latest those in the mountains.

Again of particular trees some wild ones bud
3 i.e. without antecedent flower.

* $\delta^{3}$ oủ $\nu$ conj. W.; $\sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu$ UMVAld.
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along with the cultivated forms, as andrachne and hybrid arbutus; and the wild pear is a little later than the cultivated. Some again bud both before zephyr begins to blow, and immediately after it has been blowing. Before it come cornelian cherry and cornel, after it bay and alder; a little before the spring equinox come lime sygia Valonia oak fig. Hazel ${ }^{1}$ oak and elder are also early in budding, and still more those trees which seem to have no fruit and to grow in groves, ${ }^{2}$ abele elm willow black poplar ; and the plane is a little later than these. The others which bud when the spring is, as it were, becoming established, ${ }^{3}$ are such as wild fig alatermus cotoneaster Christ's thorn terebinth hazel ${ }^{4}$ chestnut. The apple is late in budding, latest of all generally are ipsos ${ }^{5}$ (cork-oak) aria (holm-oak) tetragonia odorous cedar yew. Such are the times of budding.

The flowering times in general follow in proportion; but they present some irregularity, and so in still more cases and to a greater extent do the times at which the fruit is matured. The comelian cherry produces its fruit about the summer solstice ; the early kind, that is to say, and this tree is about the earliest of all. ${ }^{\circ}$ The late form, which some call 'female cornelian cherry' (cornel), fruits quite at the end of autumn. The fruit of this kind is inedible and its wood is weak and spongy; that is what the difference between the two kinds amounts to. The terebinth produces its fruit about the time of wheat-harvest or


4 каpúa can hardly be right both here and above.
${ }^{5}$ See Index.
 perhaps defective.
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 é $\chi \in \iota \nu . ~ \phi а \sigma i \delta e ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ к а i ~ т i ̀ \nu ~ к \eta ’ \lambda \alpha \sigma т р о \nu ~ i ́ \pi o ̀ ~ т о \hat{v}$
${ }^{1}$ àmoঠ, каl $\mu \in \lambda i ́ \alpha, \mathrm{U}$; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \ell \delta \omega \sigma i \cdot \mu \in \lambda i \alpha$ Ald. Some confusion in text, but sense clear.
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a litule later, mama-ash' and maple in summer ; alder hazel and a certan kind of wild pear in autumn; oak and chestnut later still, about the setting of the Pleiad; and in like mamer alaternus kermes-aak Christ's-thom cotoneaster after the setting of the Pleiad; ariu (holm-oak) when winter is begiming, apple with the first cold weather, wild pear late ${ }^{2}$ in winter. Andrachne and hybrid arbutus first ripen their fruit when the grape is turning, and again ${ }^{3}$ when winter is begiming; for these trees appear to bear twice. As for ${ }^{4}$ silver-fir and yew, they flower a little before the solstice ${ }^{5}$ (the flower of the silverfir is yellow and otherwise pretty) ; they bear their froit after the setting of the Pleiad. Fir and Aleppo pine are a little carlier in budding, about fifteen days, but produce their fruit after the setting of the Pleiad, though proportionately carlier than silver-fir and yew.

In these trees then the difference of time is not considerable; the greatest difference is shewn in Phoenician cedar holly and kermes-oak; for Phoenician cedar appears to keep its fruit for a year, the new fruit overtaking that of last year ; and, according to some, it does not ripen it at all; wherefore men gather it unripe and keep it, whereas if it is left on the tree, it shrivels up. The Arcadians say that the kermes-oak also takes a year to perfect its fruit; for it ripens last year's fruit at the same time that the new fruit appears on it; the result of which is that such trees always have fruit on them. They say also
 13. 121. omits it ; om. W. after Sch.
${ }^{4}$ रà $\mathrm{Ald} . ;$ ठè conj. W.
${ }^{5}$ Probably an carly glose, W. of. Plin. 16. 106.
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$\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \omega ิ \nu o s \dot{a} \pi о \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．ó భíкарта $\delta є ̀ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$ каì

 óqiкарта ס̀̀ каі̀ китто̀s каì äркєиӨоs каì


 خos．ai $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ồv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ карт $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ảmoßо入aì каì
 oủ $\mu$ óvov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ ท ̈ \mu \mu р а ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ є ́ a v \tau a ́ . ~$













${ }^{1} \phi i ́ \lambda u \rho \alpha$ Ald．；$\phi \iota \lambda v p \in ́ \alpha$ conj．Sch．
${ }^{2} \tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ . . . \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \chi \lambda \eta$ ．Apparently a gloss，W．
${ }^{3} \tau \in \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \nu i \alpha$ conj．Sch．（ $\tau \in \tau \rho \alpha$－omitted after $\left.-\tau \in \rho \alpha\right): c f . \S 2$ ； ү由⿻ía MV；$\gamma \omega \nu i \in \iota \alpha \mathrm{U}$ ．
${ }^{4} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\gamma \rho i(\omega \nu$ after $\pi \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ conj．Sch．；after サ̈ $\mu \in \rho a$ Ald．
5 Plin．16． 100.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. Iv. 6-v. I

that holly loses its fruit owing to the winter. Lime ${ }^{1}$ and box are very late in fruiting, (lime has a fruit which no animal can eat, and so have cornel and box. Ivy Phoenician cedar fir and andrache are late fruiting ${ }^{2}$ ) though, according to the Arcadians, still later than these and almost latest of all are telragomia ${ }^{3}$ odorous cedar and yew. Such then are the differences as to the time of shedding and ripening their fruit between wild ${ }^{4}$ as compared with cultivated trees, and likewise as compared with one another.

## Of the seasons of budding.

V. ${ }^{5}$ Now most trees, when they have once begun to bud, make their budding and their growth continuously, but with fir silver-fir and oak there are intervals. They make three fresh starts in growth and produce three separate sets of buds; wherefore also they lose their bark thrice ${ }^{b}$ a year. For every tree loses its bark when it is budding. This first happens in mid-spring ${ }^{7}$ at the very beginning of the month Thargelion, ${ }^{8}$ on Mount Ida within about fifteen days of that time; later, after an interval of about thirty days or rather more, the tree ${ }^{2}$ puts on fresh buds which start from the head of the knobly growth ${ }^{10}$ which formed at the first budding-time; and it makes its budding partly on the top of this, ${ }^{11}$ partly all round it laterally, ${ }^{12}$ using the knob formed at the
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first budding as a sort of joint, just as in the case of the first budding. This happens about the end of the month Skirrophorion. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{2}$ (It is only at the time of this second budding that the galls also are produced, both the white and the black; the liquid forming them is mostly produced in quantity at night, and, after swelling for one day - except the part which is of resinons character-it hardens if it is caught by the heat, and so cannot grow any more ; otherwise it would have grown greater in bulk; wherefore in some trees the formation is not larger than a bean. The black gall is for several days of a pale green ${ }^{3}$ colour; then it swells and sometimes attains the size of an apple.)

Then, after an interval t of about fifteen days, the tree for the third time puts on buds in the month Hekatombaion ${ }^{5}$; but this growth continues for fewer days than on either of the previous occasions, perhaps for six or seven at most. However the formation of the buds is as before and takes place in the same manner. After this period there is no increase in length, but the only increase is in thickness.

The periods of budding can be seen in all trees, but especially in fir and silver-fir, because the joints of these are in a regular series and have the knots at even distances. It is then the season also for cutting the timber, because the bark is being shed ${ }^{6}$; for at other times the bark is not easy to strip off, and moreover, if it is stripped off, the wood turns black ${ }^{7}$ and is inferior in appearance; for as to its utility ${ }^{8}$ this makes no difference, though the wood
${ }_{5}^{5}$ About July.
${ }^{6}$ 入o $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\lambda o \iota \pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ UMV; $\lambda \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ Ald.
${ }^{7}$ cf. Plin. 16. 74.
${ }^{8} \gamma \in$ conj. Sch.; $\tau \in$ Ald.
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īХข $\tau \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.
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 $\chi$ ро́vov.
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is stronger if it is cut after the ripening of the fruit.

Now what has been said is peculiar to the abovementioned trees. ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$ But the buddings which take place at the rising of the dog-star and at that of Arcturus after the spring budding are common to nearly all, though they may be most clearly seen in cultivated trees, and, among these, especially in fig vine pomegranate, and in general in all those that are luxuriant in growth or are growing in rich soil. Accordingly they say that the budding at the rising of Arcturus is most considerable in Thessaly and Macedonia ${ }^{3}$; for it also happens that the autumn in these countries is a fair and a long season; so that the mildness of the climate also contributes. Indeed it is for this reason, one may say, that in Egypt too the trees are always budding, or at least that the process is only suspended for quite a short time.

Now the facts as to the later buddings apply, as has been said, to all trees alike; but those which belong to the intervals after the first period of budding are peculiar to those mentioned above. Peculiar to some also is the growth of what are called 'winter buds,' ${ }^{4}$ for instance in the abovementioned trees; silver-fir fir and oak have them, and also lime hazel chestnut and Aleppo pine. These are found in the oak before the leaf-buds grow, when the spring season is just beginning. This growth consists of a sort of leaf-like formation, ${ }^{5}$ which occurs between the first swelling of the leaf-buds and the time when they burst into leaf. In the sorb ${ }^{6}$ it
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occurs in the autumn after the sheding of the leaves, and has from the first a glistening look, ${ }^{1}$ as though swelling had taken place, just as if it were about to burst into leaves; and it persists through the winter till the spring. The filbert after casting its fruit produces ${ }^{2}$ its clustering growth, ${ }^{3}$ which is as large as a good-sized grub: several ${ }^{4}$ of these grow from one stalk, and some call them catkins. Each of these is made up of small processes arranged like scales, and resembles the cone of the fir, so that its appearance is not unlike that of a young green fir-cone, except that it is longer and almost of the same thickness throughout. This grows through the winter (when spring comes, the scale-like processes open and turn yellow) ; it grows to the length of three fingers, but, when in spring the leaves are shooting, it falls off, and the cup-like ${ }^{5}$ fruit-cases of the nut are formed, closed all down ${ }^{6}$ the stalk and corresponding ${ }^{7}$ in number to the flowers; and in each of these is a single nut. The case of the lime and of any other tree that produces winter-buds needs further consideration.

Of the comparative rate of grouth in trees, and of the length of their roots.
VI. Some trees are quick-growing, some slow. Quick-growing are those which grow by the waterside, as elm plane abele black poplar willow; (however some dispute about the last-named, and consider it a slow grower :) and of fruit-bearing trees, silver-fix fir oak. Quickest growing of all are ...s yew lakara
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(bird-cherry) Valonia oak Phoenician cedar maple hop-hornbean sygia manna-ash alder Aleppo pine andrachne cornelian cherry box wild pear. But silver-fir fir and Aleppo pine bear fruit from the very first, whaterer size they have attained.

While the growth and budding of most trees are irregular as regards the position in which the buds appear, ${ }^{1}$ the growth and budding of the silver-fir follow a regular rule, and its development afterwards is also in a regular sequence. For, when the trunk first divides, then again from the divided trunk the second division ${ }^{2}$ takes place in like manner, and so the tree goes on with each fresh formation of buds. In other trees not even the knots are opposite to one another, except in some few cases, as wild olive and others. ${ }^{3}$ Here too we find a difference in the manner of growth which belongs to all trees alike, both cultivated and wild : in some cases the growth is from the top of the shoots and also from the sidebuds, ${ }^{4}$ as in pear pomegranate fig myrtle and the majority of trees, one may say: in some cases the growth is not from the top, but only from the sidebuds, and the already existing part is pushed out ${ }^{5}$ further, as is the whole trunk with the upper branches. This occurs in the walnut and in the filbert as well as in other trees. In all such trees the buds end in a single leaf ${ }^{6}$; wherefore it is reasonable that they should not make fresh buds and growth from this point, as they have no point of departure. (To a certain extent the growth of corn is similar ; for it
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also regularly increases by pushing forward of the already existing part, ${ }^{1}$ even if the leaves are mutilated, as in com which is bitten down by animals. Corn however does not ${ }^{2}$ make side-growths, as some leguminous plants do.) Here then we may find a difference which occurs both in the making of buds and in the making of fresh growth.
${ }^{3}$ Some say that wild trees are not deep rooting, because they all grow from seed; but this is not a very accurate statement. For it is possible that, when they are well established, ${ }^{4}$ they may send their roots down far; in fact even most pot-herbs do this, though these are not so strong as trees, and are undoubtedly grown from seed planted in the ground. ${ }^{5}$ The kermes-oak however seems to be the deepest rooting of wild trees; silver-fir and fir are only moderately so, and shallowest are joint-fir plum bullace (which is a sort of wild plum). The last two also have few roots, while joint-fir has many. Trees which do not root deep, ${ }^{6}$ and especially silverfir and fir, are liable to be rooted up by winds.

So the Arcadians say. But the people who live near Mount Ida say that the silver fir is deeper rooting ${ }^{7}$ than the oak, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and has straighter roots, though they are fewer. Also that those which have the deepest roots are plum and filbert, the latter having strong slender roots, the former having many: but they add that both trees must be well established to acquire these characters; also that plum is very tenacious of life. Maple, they say,
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has shallow roots and few of them ${ }^{1}$; but manna-ash has more and they are thickly matted and run deep; Phoenician cedar and prickly cedar, they say, have shallow roots, those of alder are slender and 'plain,' ${ }^{2}$ as also are those of beech; for this too has few roots, and they are near the surface. Sorb, they say, has its roots near the surface, but they are strong and thick and hard to kill, though not very numerous. Such are the trees which are or are not deep-rooting.

## Of the effects of cutting clown the whole or part of a tree.

VII. Almost all trees shoot from the side if the trunk is cut down, unless the roots have previously been injured; but fir and silver-fir wither away ${ }^{3}$ completely from the roots within the year, if merely the top has been cut off. And there is a peculiar thing about the silver-fir; when it is topped or broken off short by wind or some other cause affecting the smooth part of the trunk-for up to a certain height the trunk is smooth knotless and $p^{\text {plain }}{ }^{4}$ (and so suitable for making a ship's mast ${ }^{5}$ ), -a certain amount of new growth forms round it, which does not however grow much vertically; and this is called by some amphauxis ${ }^{6}$ and by others amphiphya ${ }^{6}$; it is black in colour and exceedingly hard, and the Arcadians make their mixing-bowls out of it; the thickness is in proportion ${ }^{7}$ to the tree, according as that is more or less vigorous and sappy, or again according to its thickness. There ${ }^{8}$ is this peculiarity too in the silver-fir in the same connexion ;
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when, after taking off all the branches, one cuts off the top, it soon dies; yet, when one takes off the lower parts, those about the smooth portion of the trunk, what is left survives, and it is on this part that the amphauris forms. And plainly the reason why the tree survives is that it is sappy and green because it has no side-growths. ${ }^{1}$ Now this is peculiar to the silver-fir.

Of other things borme by trees besides their leaves flowers and fruit.
Now, while other trees bear merely their own ${ }^{2}$ fruit and the obvious parts which form annually, to wit, leaf flower and bud, some bear also catkins or tendrils, and some produce other things as well, for instance the elm its 'cluster' and the familiar baglike thing, ${ }^{3}$ the fig both the immature figs which drop off and (in some kinds) the untimely figs ${ }^{4}$-though perhaps in a sense ${ }^{5}$ these should be reckoned as fruit. Again filbert produces its catkin, ${ }^{6}$ kermes-oak its scarlet 'berry,' 7 and bay its 'cluster.' 8 The fruit-bearing sort of bay also produces this, or at all events ${ }^{9}$ one kind certainly does so; however the sterile kind, which some call the ' male,' produces it in greater quantity. The fir again bears its 'tuft,' ${ }^{10}$ which drops off.
${ }^{11}$ The oak however bears more things besides ${ }^{12}$ its fruit than any other tree; as the small gall ${ }^{13}$ and its
${ }_{7}^{6}$ cf. 3. 3. 8 ; 3. 5. 5.
7 cf. 3. 16. 1. i.e the kermes gall (whence Eng. 'crimson').
${ }^{8}$ Bórpuov UMVAld., supported by G. and Plin. 16. 120 ; but some editors read Bpioy on the strength of 3.11. 4. and C.P.2.11.4. $\quad 9$ à $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau о \iota ~ c o n j . ~ W . ; ~ д ̀ \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ к \alpha . l ~ A l d . ~$
${ }^{10}$ cf. 3. 3. 8 n. ${ }^{11}$ Plin. 16. 28.
${ }_{12}$ maod̀ conj. W., cf. §6; $\phi \in ́ \rho \in t$ Ald. ${ }^{13}$ cf. 3. 5. 2.
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other black resinous gall. Again it has another growth, like a mulberry in shape, but hard and difficult to break; this however is not common. It has also another growth like the penis in shape, which, when it is further developed, makes a hard prominence and has a hole through it. This to a certain extent resembles also a bull's head, but, when split open, it contains inside a thing shaped like the stone of an olive. ${ }^{1}$ The oak also produces what some call the 'ball' ; this is a soft woolly spherical object enclosing a small stone which is harder, ${ }^{2}$ and men use it for their lamps; for it burns well, as does the black gall. The oak also produces another hairy ball, which is generally useless, but in the spring season it is covered with a juice which is like honey both to touch and taste.
${ }^{3}$ Further the oak produces right inside the axil ${ }^{4}$ of the branches another ball with no stalk or else ${ }^{5}$ a hollow one; this is peculiar and of various colours: for the knobs which arise on it are whitish or black and spotted, ${ }^{6}$ while the part between these is brilliant scarlet; but, when it is opened, it is black and rotten. ${ }^{\top}$ It also occasionally produces a small stone which more or less resembles pumice-stone; also, less commonly, there is a leaf-like ball, which is oblong and of close texture. Further the oak produces on the rib of the leaf a white transparent ball, which is watery, when it is young; and this sometimes con-
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 29.

 eives pilulc.s. ${ }^{5}$ in ins. St.
${ }^{6}$ Plin., l.c., nigra varietate dispersa.

- éríaampov; Plin., l.c., has upertis remara inanitas est, whence $\epsilon \pi\{\pi \iota \kappa \rho o \nu$ conj. Sch.
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 $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ oû̀ 'ídıa тท̂s $\delta \rho v o ́ s$.
VIII. Пávт $\omega \nu \delta e ́, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ è $\lambda e ́ \chi \theta \eta, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta e ́ v \delta \rho \omega \nu$

 тò ä $\rho \rho \epsilon \nu$, ${ }^{\omega} \nu$ тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ картофо́роу тò ठє̀ äкартоע $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$. Є̀v oîs ठ̀̀ ă $\mu \phi \omega$ картофо́ра тò $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v$ $\kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota \kappa а \rho т о ́ т є \rho о \nu ~ к а і ~ т о \lambda \cup к а \rho \pi о ́ т є \rho о \nu . ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\nu}$



 бvvє $\mu \phi \frac{1 \nu}{\nu}$ фаעє $\hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 31.
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 16.
${ }^{2}$ Hes. Op. 233.
${ }^{4} \lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \tau \text { éo }}$ add. 4 Sch.
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tains flies: but as it develops, it becomes hard, like a small smooth gall.

Such are the growths which the oak produces as well as its fruit. For as for the fungi ${ }^{1}$ which grow from the roots or beside them, these occur also in other trees. So too with the oak-mistletoe; for this grows on other trees also. However, apart from that, the oak, as was said, produces more things than any other tree; and all the more so if, as Hesiod ${ }^{2}$ says, it produces honey and even bees; however, the truth appears to be that this honey-like juice comes from the air and settles on this more than on other trees. They say also that, when the oak is burnt, nitre is produced from it. Such are the things peculiar to the oak.

Of 'male' and 'fenale' in trees: the oak as an example of this and other differences.
VIII. ${ }^{3}$ Taking, as was said, all trees according to their kinds, we find a number of differences. Common to them all is that by which men distinguish the 'male' and the 'female,' the latter being fruitbearing, the former barren in some kinds. In those kinds in which both forms are fruit-bearing the 'female' has fairer and more abundant fruit; however some call these the 'male' trees-for there are those who actually thus invert the names. This difference is of the same character as that which distinguishes the cultivated from the wild tree, while other differences distinguish different forms of the same kind; and these we must discuss, ${ }^{4}$ at the same time indicating the peculiar forms, where these are not ${ }^{5}$ obvious and easy to recognise.
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${ }^{1}$ Take then the various kinds of oak; for in this tree men recognise more diffcrences than in any other. Some simply speak of a cultivated and a wild kind, not recognising any distinction made by the sweetness of the fruit; (for sweetest is that of the kind called Valonia oak, and this they make the wild kind), but distinguishing the cultivated kind by its growing more commonly on tilled land and having smoother timber, while the Valonia oak has rough wood and grows in mountain districts. Thus some make four kinds, others five. They also in some cases vary as to the names assigned; thus the kind which bears sweet fruit is called by some hemeris, by others 'true oak.' So too with other kinds. However, to take the classification given by the people of Mount Ida, these ${ }^{2}$ are the kinds: hemeris (gall-oak), cigilops ('Turkey-oak), 'broad-leaved' oak (scrub oak), Valonia oak, sea-bark oak, which some call 'straight-barked' oak. ${ }^{3}$ All these bear fruit; but the fruits of Valonia oak are the sweetest, as has been said; second to these those of hemeris (gall-oak), third those of the 'broad-leaved' oak (scrub oak), fourth sea-bark oak, and last aigilops (Turkeyoak), whose fruits are very bitter. ${ }^{4}$ However the fruit is not always sweet in the kinds specified as such ${ }^{5}$; sometimes it is bitter, that of the Valonia oak for instance. There are also differences in the size shape and colour of the acorns. Those of Valonia oak and sea-bark oak are peculiar; in both of these kinds on what are called the 'male' trees the acorns become stony at one end or the other; in one kind this hardening takes place in the end which is
${ }^{4}$ Plin. 16. 19-21.
5 où ... éviot conj. W.; text defective in Ald.H.

## THEOPHRASTUS

才íveтaı коь入 $\omega \mu$ ата тоîs є̇тi т $\omega \bar{\nu} \zeta \omega \omega \nu \nu$.
$4 \Delta \iota a \phi$ ́́povaı ठè каì тоîs фú入入oıs каі̀ тоîs бтє入é－
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attached to the cup, in the other in the flesh itself. ${ }^{1}$ Wherefore, when the cups are taken off, we find a cavity like the visceral cavities in animals. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{3}$ There are also differences in leaves trunk timber and general appearance. Hemeris (gall-oak) is not straight-growing nor smooth nor tall, for its growth is very leafy ${ }^{4}$ and twisted, with many side-branches, so that it makes a low much-branched tree : its timber is strong, but not so strong as that of the Valonia oak, for that is the strongest and the least liable to rot. This ${ }^{5}$ kind too is not straight-growing, even less so than the hemeris (gall-oak), but the trunk is very thick, so that the whole appearance is stunted; for in growth this kind too is very leafy ${ }^{4}$ and not erect. The aigilops (Turkey oak) is the straightest growing and also the tallest and smoothest, and its wood, cut lengthways, is the strongest. It does not grow on tilled land, or very rarely.

The 'broad-leaved' oak (scrub oak) ${ }^{6}$ comes second as to straightness of growth and length of timber to be got from it, but for use in building it is the worst next after the sea-bark oak, and it is even poor wood for burning and making charcoal, as is also that of the sea-bark oak, and next after this kind it is the most worm-eaten. For the sea-bark oak has a thick trunk, but it is generally spongy and hollow when it is thick; wherefore it is useless for building. Moreover it rots very quickly, for the tree contains much moisture; and that is why it also becomes hollow; and some say that it is the only ${ }^{7}$ oak which has no heart. And some of the Aeolians say that these are the only oaks which are struck by light-
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 тоîs छú̀ ö $\lambda a s$ цорфàs є̇v тoútoıs ai $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho a i ́$.

 $\lambda \omega \pi o s \kappa a i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda a \tau v \phi u ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oै $\psi \epsilon \iota \pi a \rho o-$



 трахv́. каі $\gamma$ à $\pi \eta \chi v a i ̂ o \nu ~ к а т а к р є \mu a ́ \nu \nu v т а \iota, ~$



 $\mu \in \lambda a \nu$ тои̂то фи́єє каi ßрахú.
 Sє̀ тєрі̀ Макєठоvíal тє́ттара үє́vך тоьov̂бıv, є́тv $\mu o ́ \delta \rho v v ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon i ́ a \varsigma, ~ \pi \lambda a \tau v ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho a ́ s, \phi \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ \hat{\eta} \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \rho \circ \gamma \gamma v \hat{\lambda} \alpha \varsigma$, ă $\sigma \pi \rho \ell \nu \cdot \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$


 $\pi \epsilon р \iota \epsilon ф а \lambda a i ́ a ́ . ~ \mu о \chi \theta \eta \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi $\tau a ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda a \cdot ~ \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon-$
${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 26.
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ning, although they are not lofty; nor do they use the wood for their sacrifices. Such then are the differences as to timber and general appearance.
${ }^{1}$ All the kinds produce galls, but only hemeris (gall-oak) produces one which is of use for tanning hides. That of aigilops (Turkey-oak) and that of the 'broad-leaved' oak (scrub oak) are in appearance like that of hemeris (gall-oak), but smoother and useless. This also produces the other gall, the black kind, with which they dye wool. The substance which some call tree-moss and which resembles rags ${ }^{2}$ is borne only by the aigilops (Turkey-oak); it is grey and rough ${ }^{3}$ and hangs down for a cubit's length, like a long shred of linen. This grows from the bark and not from the knob* whence the acorn starts; nor does it grow from an eye, but from the side of the upper boughs. The sea-bark oak also produces this, but it is blackish ${ }^{5}$ and short.

Thus the people of Mount Ida distinguish. But the people of Macedonia make four kinds, 'true-oak,' or the oak which bears the sweet acorns, 'broadleaved' oak (scrub oak), or that which bears the bitter ones, Valonia oak, or that which bears the round ones, and aspris ${ }^{6}$ (Turkey-oak); ${ }^{7}$ the lastnamed some say is altogether without fruit, some say it bears poor fruit, so that no animal eats it except the pig, and only he when he can get no others, and that after eating it the pig mostly gets an affection of the head. ${ }^{8}$ The wood is also wretched; when hewn with the axe it is altogether
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$\kappa \eta \theta$ є́vта $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ö $\lambda \omega s$ à $\chi \rho \in i ̂ a \cdot \kappa а т а \rho \eta ́ \gamma \nu v \tau a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ к а i ~$
 хра̂̀тає．$\mu о \chi \theta \eta \rho a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \kappa а и ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~$ à $\theta \rho а к \epsilon i ́ a \nu . ~ a ̀ \chi \rho \epsilon i ̂ o s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ̋ \lambda \omega s ~ o ́ ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho a \xi ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\pi \eta \delta \hat{a} \nu \kappa а i \quad \sigma \pi \iota \nu \eta \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ тоîs $\chi a \lambda \kappa \in \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ．



 oน้ท таútas тoıov̂ซı тàs ỉéas．


 $\pi \epsilon u ́ \kappa \eta s$ үàp тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̆ \mu \epsilon р о \nu ~ \pi o \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̈ \gamma p ı o \nu, ~$

 тє́pa каi тò фúג入ov é $\chi о v \sigma a ~ т а \chi u ́ т є р о \nu ~ \eta ̀ ~ ' I \delta a i ́ a, ~$
 тара入ía каi 入єєótєроע тòv фдоוòv каi єis тà
 $\sigma \tau \rho о \beta i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ò $\mu$ è $\nu$ тท̂s mapa入ías $\sigma \tau \rho o \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda o s ~ \tau e ~$ каì $\delta \iota а \chi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu ~ т а \chi є ́ \omega \varsigma, ~ o ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ \varsigma ~ ’ I \delta a i ́ a s ~ \mu а к р о ́-~$ тєроя каi $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \eta ๋ т т т о \nu ~ \chi a ́ \sigma к \omega \nu ~ \grave{\omega s ~ a ̀ \nu ~}$
 тара入ías．ठєî үàp каì тàs тоıаútas ठıaфорàs
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useless, for it breaks in pieces and falls asunder; if it is not hewn with the axe it is better, wherefore they so use it. ${ }^{1}$ It is even wretched for burning and for making charcoal; for the charcoal is entirely useless except to the smith, because it springs about and emits sparks. But for use in the smithy it is more serviceable than the other linds, since, as it goes out when it ceases to be blown, little of it is consumed. ${ }^{2}$ The wood of the sea-bark oak is only useful for wheel-axles and the like purposes. Such are the varieties of the oak ${ }^{3}$ which men make out.

## Of the differences in firs.

IX. ${ }^{4}$ The differences between other trees are fewer; for the most part men distinguish them merely according as they are 'male ' or 'female,' as has been said, except in a few cases including the fir; for in this tree they distinguish the wild and the cultivated ${ }^{5}$ kinds, and make two wild kinds, calling one the 'fir of Ida' (Corsican pine ${ }^{6}$ ) the other the 'fir of the sea-shore' (Aleppo pine); of these the former is straighter and taller and has thicker leaves, ${ }^{7}$ while in the latter the leaves are slenderer and weaker, and the bark is smoother and useful for taming hides, which the other is not. Moreover the cone of the seaside kind is round and soon splits open, while that of the Idaean kind is longer and green and does not open so much, as being of wilder character. The timber of the seaside kind is stronger,-for one must note such differences also between trees of the
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 хрєíav．



 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a$ סè $\chi \epsilon i ́ p \omega \nu$ є́кßаívєє סıà тò mo入ùv ê $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тòv

 фабi $\delta$ ’ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Макєठоvíà каi ảкарто́v тı 才évos

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ，каi тà фúl入a $\lambda_{\iota \pi}$ арà каì áтa入à каì


 äбт $\rho a \beta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{\mu} \mu \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega ́ т \epsilon \rho \alpha$.
3
$\Sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ к о \iota \nu \eta ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta}$ ठ८aфорà $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 үа̀ то̀ ä $\rho \rho є \nu$ т $\bar{\eta} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa \eta \dot{\jmath \epsilon \iota ~ к а і ~ \beta р а \chi и ́ т є р о \nu ~ к а i ~}$





[^122]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. ix. I-3

same kind, ${ }^{1}$ since it is by their use that the different characters are recognised. ${ }^{2}$

The Idaean kind is, as we have said, of straighter ${ }^{3}$ and stouter growth, and moreover the tree is altogether more full of pitch, and its pitch is blacker sweeter thinner and more fragrant ${ }^{4}$ when it is fresh; though, when it is boiled, it turns out inferior, ${ }^{5}$ because it contains so much watery matter. However it appears that the kinds which these people distinguish by special names are distinguished by others merely as 'male' and 'female.' The people of Macedonia say that there is also a kind of fir which bears no fruit whatever, in which the 'male'6 (Aleppo pine) is shorter and has harder leaves, while the 'female' (Corsican pine) is taller and has glistening delicate leaves which are more pendent. Moreover the timber of the 'male' kind has much heart-wood, ${ }^{7}$ is tough, and warps in joinery work, while that of the 'female' is easy to work, does not warp, ${ }^{8}$ and is softer.

This distinction between 'male' and 'female' may, according to the woodmen, be said to be common to all trees. Any wood of a 'male' tree, when one comes to cut it with the axe, gives shorter lengths, is more twisted, harder to work, and darker in colour' while the 'female' gives better lengths. For it is the 'female' fir which contains what is called the aigis ${ }^{9}$; this is the heart of the tree; the
 ? less viscous.
${ }^{5}$ cf. 9. 2. 5 ; Plin. 16. 60. ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 47.
${ }^{7} \pi \in \rho i \not \mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha$ conj. R. Const.: so Mold. explains ; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ UMV. $c f .3 .9 .6$.

8 à $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$ conj. R. Const. ; єủ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$ Ald.
${ }^{9}$ ai $\gamma 1 \delta \alpha$ : cf. 5. 1. 9 ; Plin. 16. 187.
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 $\lambda \epsilon \iota о т \epsilon ́ p a ~ к а і ~ \epsilon U ̉ \kappa т \epsilon a \nu \omega т \epsilon ́ p a . ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ \delta ย ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ т о і ̂ \varsigma ~$
 $\sigma a \pi \hat{\eta}$ тà $\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ к u ́ к \lambda \omega . ~ т о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~ т \epsilon р \iota-~$




 є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu^{*} \delta v \sigma \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta є ̀ ~ т о и ̆ т о ~ к а i ~ о и ̉ к ~ о ̈ \zeta є \iota ~ \delta a ̨ \delta o ̀ s ~$

4
 тє каi äypıov, каi тท̂ऽ ảypías äррєvá тє каi



 тท'v тє $\lambda \epsilon \pi т о ́ т \eta т а ~ к а і ~ т о ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma є Ө о \varsigma ~ к а і ~ є ́ v ~ т а i ̂ \varsigma ~$




 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a$ каі тєфрıко́та $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu^{*}<\ddot{\mu} \mu \omega$ ठє̀ трıХó-
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reason being that it is less resinous, less soaked with pitch, smoother, and of straighter grain. ${ }^{1}$ This aigis is found in the larger trees, when, as they have fallen down, the white outside part ${ }^{2}$ has decayed; when this has been stripped off and the core left, it is cut out of this with the axe; and it is of a good colour with fine fibre. However the substance which the torch-cutters of Mount Ida call the 'fig,' ${ }^{3}$ which forms in the fir and is redder in colour than the resin, is found more in the 'male' trees; it has an evil smell, not like the smell of resin, nor will it burn, but it leaps away from the fire.
${ }^{4}$ Such are the kinds of fir which they make out, the cultivated and the wild, the latter including the 'male' and the 'female' and also the kind which bears no fruit. However the Arcadians say that neither the sterile lind nor the cultivated is a fir, but a pine ; for, they say, the trunk closely resembles the pine and has its slenderness, its stature, and the same kind ${ }^{5}$ of wood for purposes of joinery, the trunk of the fir being thicker smoother and taller; moreover that the fir has many leaves, which are glossy massed together ${ }^{6}$ and pendent, while in the pine and in the above-mentioned cone-bearing tree ${ }^{7}$ the leaves are few and drier and stiffer; thongh in both the leaves are hair-like. ${ }^{8}$ Also, they say, the pitch of this tree is more like that of the pine; for
 Plin. 16. 45-49.
${ }^{5}$ таủ $\delta$ conj. W.; aủt১ Ald.
${ }^{6} \beta \alpha \theta^{\prime} \in \alpha: \delta a \sigma \in ́ a$ conj. R. Const. cf. 3. 16. 2.
7 i.e. the cultivated $\pi \in \dot{v} \kappa \eta$ (so called). T. uses this periphrasis to avoid begging the question of the name.
${ }^{8} \not Z^{\prime} \mu \phi \omega \delta_{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota \chi$. ins. here by Sch.; in MSS, and Ald. the
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тíтvos. каì үàp тท̀v тíтиע єै $\chi \in \iota \nu ~ o ̀ \lambda i ́ \gamma \eta \nu ~ \tau є ~ к а i ̀ ~$












 $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha i$ є̇ע $\perp \in ́ \sigma \beta \omega$ є́ $\mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \tau о \varsigma$
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in the pine too it is scanty and bitter, ${ }^{1}$ as in this other cone-bearing tree, but in the fir it is fragrant and abundant. Now the pine is rare in Arcadia, but common in Elis. The Arcadians then dispute altogether the nomenclature.

The pine appears to differ also from the fir in being glossier and having finer leaves, while it is smaller in stature and does not grow so straight; also in bearing a smaller cone, which is stiffer and has a more pitchy kernel, while its wood is whiter, more like that of the silver-fir, and wholly free firom pitch. And there is another great difference ${ }^{2}$ between it and the fir; the fir, if it is burnt down to the roots, does not shoot up again, while the pine, according to some, will do so; for instance this happened in Lesbos, ${ }^{3}$ when the pine-forest of Pyrrha ${ }^{4}$ was burnt. The people of Ida say that the fir is liable to a kind of disease ;-when not only the heart but the onter part of the trunk becomes glutted ${ }^{5}$ with pitch, the tree then is as it were choked. This happens of its own accord through the excessive luxuriance of the tree, as one may conjecture ; for it all turns into pitch-glutted wood. This then is an affection peculiar to the fir.
'The silver-fir is either 'male' or 'female,' and has differences in its leaves ${ }^{7}$; those of the 'male' are sharper more needle-like and more bent; wherefore the whole tree has a more compact appearance. There are also differences in the wood, that of the 'female' being whiter softer and easier to work,
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while the whole trunk is longer ; that of the ' male' is less of a uniform colour thicker ${ }^{l}$ and harder, has more heart-wood, and is altogether inferior in appearance. In the cone ${ }^{2}$ of the 'male' are a few seeds at the apex, while that of the 'female,' according to what the Macedonians said, ${ }^{3}$ contains none at all. The foliage ${ }^{4}$ is feathered and the height disproportionate so that the general appearance of the tree is domc-like, ${ }^{5}$ and closely resembles the Boeotian peasant's hat ${ }^{6}$; and it is so dense that neither snow nor rain penetrates it. And in general the tree has a handsome appearance; for its growth is somewhat peculiar, as has been said, compared with the others, it being the only one which is regular, and in stature it is large, much taller than the fir.
${ }^{7}$ There is also not a little difference in the wood: that of the silver-fir is fibrous ${ }^{8}$ soft and light, that of the fir is resinous lieavy and more fleshy. The fir has more knots, ${ }^{9}$ but the silver-fir harder ones; indeed they may be said to be harder than those of any tree, though the wood otherwise is softer. And in general the knots of silver-fir and fir are of the closest and most solid ${ }^{10}$ texture and almost ${ }^{11}$ transparent: in colour they are like resin-glutted wood, and quite different from the rest of the wood; and this is especially so ${ }^{12}$ in the silver-fir. And just as the fir has its rigis, ${ }^{13}$ so the silver-fir has what is
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called its white 'centre,' which answers, as it were, to the aigis of the fir, except that it is white, while the other is bright-coloured because it is glutted with pitch. It becomes close white and good in trees which are of some age, but it is seldom found in good condition, while the ordinary form of it is abundant and is used to make painters' boards and ordinary writing tablets, ${ }^{1}$ superior ones being ${ }^{2}$ made of the better form.

However the Arcadians call both substances aigis, alike that of the fir ${ }^{3}$ and the corresponding part of the silver-fir, ${ }^{4}$ and say that, though the silver-fir produces more, that of the fir is better; for that, though that of the silver-fir is abundant ${ }^{5}$ smooth and close, that of the fir, though scanty, is compacter stronger and fairer in general. The Arcadians then appear to differ as to the names which they give. Such are the differences in the silver-fir as compared with the fir, and there is also that of having the amphauxis, ${ }^{6}$ which we mentioned before.

## Of beech, yerv, hop-hombeam, lime.

X. The beech presents no differences, there being but one kind. It is a straight-growing smooth and unbranched tree, and in thickness and height is about equal to the silver-fir, which it also resembles in other respects; the wood is of a fair colour strong and of good grain, the bark smooth and thick, the leaf undivided, longer than a pear-leaf, spinous at the tip, ${ }^{7}$ the roots neither numerous nor running deep; the fruit is smooth like an acorn, enclosed in a shell,
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 ката̀ үлики́тŋта каі ката̀ то̀v хu入òv є́кєívฒ．
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which is however without prickles ${ }^{1}$ and smooth, not spinous, ${ }^{2}$ like the chestnut, though in sweetness and flavour it resembles it. In mountain country it also grows white and has ${ }^{3}$ timber which is useful for many purposes, for making carts beds chairs and tables, and for shipbuilding ${ }^{4}$; while the tree of the plains is black and useless for these purposes; but the fruit is much the same in both.
${ }^{5}$ The yew has also but one kind, is straightgrowing, grows readily, and is like the silver-fir, except that it is not so tall and is more branched. Its leaf is also like that of the silver-fir, but glossier and less stiff. As to the wood, in the Arcadian yew it is black or red, in that of Ida bright yellow and like prickly cedar; wherefore they say that dealers practise deceit, selling it for that wood : for that it is all heart, when the bark is stripped off; its bark also resembles that of prickly cedar in roughness and colour, its roots are few slender and shallow. The tree is rare about Ida, but common in Macedonia and Arcadia; it bears a round fruit a little larger than a bean, which is red in colour and soft; and they say that, if beasts of burden ${ }^{6}$ eat of the leaves they die, while ruminants take no hurt. Even men sometimes eat the fruit, which is sweet and harmless.
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 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho \circ \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa a \tau a \tau \epsilon \iota \nu 0 v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$






 à $\nu$ ท.
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The ostrys (hop-hombeam), ${ }^{1}$ which some call ostrya, has also but one kind: it is like the beech in growth and bark; its leaves are in shape like a pear's, except that they are much longer, come to a sharp point, are larger, and have many fibres, which branch out like ribs from a large straight one ${ }^{2}$ in the middle, and are thick; also the leaves are wrinkled along the fibres and have a finely serrated edge ; the wood is hard colourless and whitish; the fruit is sunall oblong and yellow like barley; it has shallow roots; it loves water and is found in ravines. It is said to be mnlucky to bring it into the house, since, wherever it is, it is supposed to cause a painful death ${ }^{3}$ or painful labour in giving birth.
${ }^{4}$ The lime has both 'male' and 'female' forms, which differ in their general appearance, in that of the wood, and in being respectively fruit-bearing and sterile. The wood of the 'male' tree is hard yellow more branched closer, and also more fragrant ${ }^{5}$; that of the 'female' is whiter. The bark of the 'male' is thicker, and, when stripped off, is unbending because of its hardness; that of the 'female' is thinner ${ }^{6}$ and flexible; men make their writingcases ' out of it. The 'male' has neither fruit nor Hower, but the 'female' has both flower and fruit; the flower is cup-shaped, and appears alongside of the stalk of the leaf, or alongside of next year's
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. x. $4^{-\mathrm{xi} . ~} \mathrm{y}$

winter-bud ${ }^{1}$ on a separate stalk; it is green, when in the cup-like stage, but brownish as it opens; it appears at the same time as in the cultivated trees. The fruit is rounded oblong as large as a bean, resembling the frout of the ivy; when mature, it has five angular projections, as it were, made by projecting fibres which meet in a point; the immature fruit is less articulated. When the mature fruit is pulled to pieces, ${ }^{2}$ it shows some small fine seeds of the same size as those of orach. The leaf and the bark ${ }^{3}$ are well flavoured and sweet; the leaf is like that of the ivy in shape, except that it rounds more gradually, being most curved at the part next the stalk, but in the middle contracting to a sharper and longer apex, and its edge is somewhat puckered and jagged. The timber contains little core, which is not much softer than the other part; for the rest of the wood is also soft.

## Of maple and ash.

XI. Of the maple, as we have said, ${ }^{4}$ some make ${ }^{5}$ two kinds, some three ; one they call by the general name ' maple,' another sygia, the third kinotrokhos ${ }^{6}$; this name, for instance, is used by the people of Stagira. The difference between zygia and maple proper is that the latter has white wood of finer fibre, while that of sygia is yellow and of compact texture. The leaf ${ }^{7}$ in both trees is large, resembling that of the plane in the way in which it is
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divided ; it is smooth, ${ }^{1}$ but more delicate, less fleshy, softer, longer in proportion to its breadth, and the divisions ${ }^{2}$ all ${ }^{3}$ tend to meet in a point, while they do not occur so much in the middle of the leaf, ${ }^{4}$ but rather at the tip; and for their size the leaves have not many fibres. ${ }^{5}$ The bark too is somewhat rougher than that of the lime, of blackish colour thick closer ${ }^{6}$ than that of the Aleppo pine and stiff; the roots are few shallow and compact for the most part, both those of the yellow and those of the whitewooded tree. This tree occurs chiefly in wet ground, ${ }^{7}$ as the people of Mount Ida say, and is rare. About its flower they did $^{8}$ not know, but the fruit, they said, is not very oblong, but like that of Christ's thorn, ${ }^{9}$ except that it is more oblong than that. But the people of Mount Olympus say that, while sygia is rather a mountain tree, the maple proper grows also in the plains; and that the form which grows in the mountains has yellow wood of a bright colour, which is of compact texture and hard, and is used even for expensive work, while that of the plains has white wood of looser make and less compact texture. And some call it gleinos ${ }^{10}$ instead of maple. . . . . ${ }^{11}$ The wood of the 'male' tree is of compacter texture and twisted; this tree, it is said, grows rather in the plain and puts forth its leaves earlier.
${ }_{12}^{2}$ There are also two kinds of ash. Of these one is lofty and of strong growth with white wood of good fibre, softer, with less knots, and of more compact
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texture ${ }^{1}$; the other is shorter, less vigorous in growth, rougher harder and yellower. The leaves in shape are like those of the bay, that is, the broad-leaved bay, but they contract to a sharper point, and they have a sort of jagged outline with sharp points. The whole leaf (if one may consider this as ² a 'leaf' because it is all shed at once) grows on a single stalk; on either side of a single fibre, as it were, the leaflets grow at a joint in pairs, which are numerous and distinct, just as in the sorb. In some leaves the joints are short ${ }^{3}$ and the pairs fewer in number, but in those of the white kind the joint is long and the pairs more numerous, while the leaflets are longer narrower and leek-green in colour. Also this tree has a smooth bark, which is dry thin and red in colour. The roots are matted stout and shallow. ${ }^{4}$ As to the fruit, the people of Ida supposed it to have none, and no flower either; however it has a nut-like fruit in a thin pod, like the fruit of the almond, and it is somewhat bitter in taste. And it also bears certain other things like winter-buds, as does the bay, but they are more solid, ${ }^{5}$ and each separate one is globular, like those of the plane; some of these occur around the fruit, some, in fact the greater number, ${ }^{6}$ are at a distance from it. The smooth kind ${ }^{7}$ grows mostly in deep ravines and damp places, the rough kind occurs also in dry and rocky parts. Some, for instance the Macedonians, call the
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one 'ash' (manna-ash), the other 'horse-ash ${ }^{1}$ ' (ash). The 'horse-ash' is a larger and more spreading ${ }^{2}$ tree, wherefore it is of less compact appearance. It is naturally a tree of the plains and rough, while the other belongs to the mountains and is smooth ${ }^{3}$; the one which grows on the mountains is fair-coloured smooth hard and stunted, while that of the plains is colourless spreading and rough. (In general one may say of trees that grow in the plain and on the mountain respectively, that the latter are of fair colour hard and smooth, ${ }^{4}$ as beech elm and the rest; while those of the plain are more spreading, of less good colour and inferior, except the pear apple ${ }^{5}$ and wild pear, according to the people of Mount Olympus. These when they grow in the plain are better both in fruit and in wood; for on the mountain they are rough spinous and much branched, in the plain smoother larger and with sweeter and fleshier fruit. However the trees of the plain are always of larger size.)

Of comelian cherry, comel, 'cedars', mcdlar', thorns, sorb.
XII. Of the cornelian cherry there is a 'male' and a 'female' kind (cornel), and the latter bears a corresponding name. Both have a leaf like that of the almond, but oilier and thicker; the bark is fibrous and thin, the stem is not very thick, but it puts out sidebranches like the chaste-tree, those of the 'female' tree, which is more shrubby, being fewcr. Both kinds have branches like those of the chaste-tree,
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arranged in pairs opposite one another．The wood of the＇male＇tree has no heart，but is hard through－ out，like horn in closeness and strength；whereas that of the＇female＇tree has heart－wood and is softer and goes into holes；wherefore it is useless for javelins．The height of the＇male＇tree is at most twelve cubits，the length of the longest Macedonian spear，the stem up to the point where it divides not being very tall．The people of Mount Ida in the Troad say that the＇male＇tree is barren， but that the＇female＇bears fruit．The fruit has a stone like an olive and is sweet to the taste and fragrant ${ }^{1}$ ；the flower is like that of the olive，and the tree produces its flowers and fruit in the same manner， inasmuch as it has several growing from one stalk，${ }^{2}$ and they are produced at almost the same time in both forms．However the people of Macedonia say that both trees bear fruit，though that of the ＇female＇is uneatable，and the roots are like those of the chaste－tree，strong and indestructible．This tree grows in wet ground and not only ${ }^{3}$ in dry places； and it comes from seed，and also can be propagated from a piece torn off．
${ }^{4}$ The＇cedar，＇some say，has two forms，the Lycian and the Phoenician ${ }^{5}$ ；but some，as the people of Mount Ida，say that there is only one form．It resembles the arkeuthos（Phoenician cedar），differing chiefly in the leaf，that of＇cedar＇being hard sharp and spinous，while that of arkeuthos is softer：the latter tree also seems to be of taller growth．How－ ever some do not give them distinct names，but call
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 $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega$ каі $\lambda є$ ко́тєроу каі̀ Хаvуо́тєроу каi тоѝs

 article; $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \sigma i ́ a \kappa є ́ \delta \rho \circ u$ conj. W.
${ }^{2} \mu$ йт $\rho a \nu$ conj. Sch.: $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ UMIVAlı. Plin., 16. 198, supports $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \alpha \nu$ : he apparently read $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\alpha}$. є̈ $\chi \in \iota \mu \bar{u} \lambda \lambda u \nu$ 236

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. Nı. $3-5$

them both 'cedar', distinguishing them however as 'the cedar' ${ }^{1}$ and 'prickly cedar.' Both are branching trees with many joints and twisted wood. On the other hand arkeuthos has only a small amount of close core, ${ }^{2}$ which, when the tree is cut, soon rots, while the trunk of 'cedar' consists mainly of heart and does not rot. The colour of the heart in each case is red: that of the 'cedar' is fragrant, but not that of the other. The fruit of 'cedar' is yellow, as large as the myrtle-berry, fragrant, and sweet to the taste. That of arkeuthos is like it in other respects, but black, of astringent taste and practically uneatable; it remains on the tree for a year, and then, when another grows, last year's fruit falls off. According to the Arcadians it has three fiuits on the tree at once, last year's, which is not yet ripe, that of the year before last which is now ripe and eatable, and it also shews the new fruit. Satyrus ${ }^{3}$ said that the wood-cutters gathered him specimens of both kinds which were flowerless. The bark is ${ }^{4}$ like that of the cypress but rougher. Both ${ }^{5}$ linds have spreading shallow roots. These trees grow in rocky cold parts and seek out such districts.
${ }^{6}$ There are three kinds of mespile, anthedon (oriental thorn), sataneios (medlar) and anthedonoeides (hawthorn), as the people of mount Ida distinguish them. ${ }^{7}$ The fruit of the medlar is larger paler more spongy and contains softer stones; in the other
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kinds it is somewhat smaller, ${ }^{1}$ more fragrant and of more astringent taste, so that it can be stored for a longer time. The wood also of these kinds is closer and yellower, though in other respects it does not differ. The flower in all the kinds is like the almond flower, except that it is not pink, as that is, but greenish . . . . ${ }^{2}$ In stature the tree is large and it has thick foliage. The leaf in the young tree is round ${ }^{3}$ but much divided and like celery at the tip; but the leaf of older trees is very much divided and forms angles with larger divisions; it is smooth ${ }^{4}$ fibrous thinner and more oblong than the celery leaf, both as a whole and in its divisions, and it has a jagged edge all round. ${ }^{5}$ It has a long thin stalk, and the leaves turn bright red before they are shed. The tree has many roots, which run deep; wherefore it lives a long time and is hard to kill. The wood is close and hard and does not rot. The tree grows from seed and also from a piece torn off. It is subject to a disease which causes it to become wormeaten ${ }^{6}$ in its old age, and the worms are large and different ${ }^{7}$ to those engendered by other trees.
${ }^{8}$ Of the sorb they make two kinds, the 'female' which bears fruit and the 'male' which is barren. There are moreover differences in the fruit of the 'female' kind; in some forms it is round, in others oblong and egg-shaped. There are also differences
${ }^{4}$ тєтavdv: cf. 3. 11. 1; 3. 15.6.
5 тєрькєХараүиє́ขоข conj. Scal.; тєрєєєөариє́уоу U; тєриєєкар-
 3. 15.6.
${ }_{6}^{6}$ cf. 4. 14. 10; Plin. 17. 221 ; Pall. 4. 10.
${ }^{7}$ ifioo Ald. (for construction of. Plat. Gory. 481 c ); isious UMIV (the first a corrected in U). W. adopts Sch.'s conj., jobious, in allusion to the edible cossus : cf. Plin. l.c.
${ }^{8}$ Plin. 15. 85.
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in taste ; the round fruits are generally more fragrant and sweeter, the oval ones are often sour and less fragrant. The leaves in both grow attached to a long fibrous stalk, and project on each side in a row ${ }^{1}$ like the feathers of a bird's wing, the whole forming a single leaf but being divided into lobes with divisions which extend to the rib; but each pair are some distance apart, ${ }^{-}$and, when the leaves fall, ${ }^{3}$ these divisions do not drop separately, but the whole wing-like structure drops at once. When the leaves are older and longer, the pairs are more numerous; in the younger and shorter leaves they are fewer; but in all at the end of the leaf-stalk there is an extra leaflet, so that the total number of leaflets is an odd number. In form the leaflets resemble ${ }^{4}$ the leaves of the 'fine-leaved ' bay, except that they are jagged and shorter and do not narrow to a sharp point but to a more rounded end. The flower ${ }^{5}$ is clustering and made up of a number of small white blossoms from a single knob. The fruit too is clustering, when the tree fruits well; for a number of fruits are formed from the same knob, giving an appearance like a honeycomb. The fruit gets eaten by worms on the tree before it is ripe to a greater extent than that of medlar pear or wild pear, and yet it is much more astringent than any of these. The tree itself also gets worm-eaten, and so withers away as it ages; and the worm ${ }^{6}$ which infests it is a peculiar one, red and hairy. This tree bears fruit when it is quite young, that is as soon as it is three years old. In autumn, when it has shed its leaves, it immediately produces its winter-lud-like knob,?

\author{
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 92. <br> ${ }^{5}$ i.e. inflorescence. <br> [^147]}
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xir. 8-xiry. I

which is glistening and swollen as though the tree were just about to burst into leaf, and this persists through the winter. The sorb, like the medlar, is thornless; it has smooth rather shiny bark, (except when ${ }^{1}$ the tree is old), which in colour is a whitish yellow; but in old trees it is rough and black. The tree is of a good size, of erect growth and with well balanced foliage; for in general it assumes a conelike shape as to its foliage, ${ }^{2}$ unless something interferes. The wood is hard close strong and of a good colour ; the roots are not numerous and do not run deep, but they are strong and thick and indestructible. The tree grows from a root, from a piece torn off, or from seed, and seeks a cold moist position ; in such a position it is tenacious of life and hard to kill : however it also grows on mountains.

## Of bird-cherry, elder, willow.

XIII. ${ }^{3}$ The lierasos (bird-cherry) is peculiar in character ; it is of great stature, growing as much as twenty-four cubits high ; and it is of very erect growth; as to thickness, it is as much as two cubits in circumference at the base. The leaves are like those of the medlar, but very tough and thicker, ${ }^{4}$ so that the tree is conspicuous by its colour from a distance. The bark ${ }^{5}$ in smoothness colour and thickness is like that of the lime; wherefore men make their writing-cases ${ }^{6}$ from it, as from the bark of that tree. ?This bark does not grow straight nor evenly all round the tree, but runs round it ${ }^{8}$ in a spiral
${ }^{5}$ cf. 4. 15. 1 ; Hesych. s.v. кépacos.
${ }^{6}$ cf. 3. 10. 4; Ar. Vesp. 529.
 others, following U as closely as possible.
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(which becomes closer as it gets higher up the tree) like the outline of the leaves. ${ }^{1}$ And this part of it can be stripped off ly peeling, whereas with the other part ${ }^{2}$ this is not possible and it has to be cut in short lengths. ${ }^{3}$ In the same manner part is removed by being split off in flakes as thin as a leaf, while the rest can be left and protects the tree, growing about it as described. If the bark is stripped off when the tree is peeling, there is also at the time a discharge of the sap; further, when only the outside coat is stripped off, what remains turns black with a kind ${ }^{4}$ of mucus-like moisture; and in the second year another coat grows to replace what is lost, but this is thiner. The wood in its fibres is like the bark, twisting spirally, ${ }^{5}$ and the branches grow in the same manner from the first; and, as the tree grows, it comes to pass that the lower branches keep on perishing, while the upper ones increase. However the whole tree is not much branched, but has far fewer branches than the black poplar. Its roots are numerous and shallow and not very thick; and there is a similar twisting of the root and of the bark which surrounds it. ${ }^{6}$ The flower is white, like that of the pear and medlar, composed of a number of small blossoms arranged like a honeycomb. The fruit is red, like that of diospyros in shape, and in size it is as large as a bean. However the stone of the diospyros fruit is hard, while that of the bird-cherry is soft. The tree grows where the lime grows, and in general where there are rivers and damp places.
${ }^{7}$ The elder also grows chiefly by water and in shady
${ }^{4} \mathbb{E} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ conj. Sch.; $\pi \in \rho$ MV; $\pi \omega s$ Ald.H.
 $\sigma \tau \rho \in \pi \tau \widehat{\varphi}$ én $\uparrow \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \psi$ Ald. ${ }^{6}$ cf. 3. 12. 7. ${ }^{7}$ Plin. 17. 151.
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places, but likewise in places which are not of this character. It is shrubby, with annual branches which go on growing in length till the fall of the leaf, after which they increase in thickness. The branches do not grow to a very great height, about six cubits at most. The thickness of the stem of old trees is about that of the 'helmet' 1 of a ship; the bark is smooth thin and brittle ${ }^{3}$; the wood is porous and light when dried, and has a soft heart-wood, ${ }^{3}$ so that the boughs are hollow right through, and men make of them their light walking-sticks. When dried it is strong and durable if it is soaked, even if it is stripped of the bark; and it strips itself of its own accord as it dries. The roots are shallow and neither numerous nor large. The single leaflet is soft and oblong, like the leaf of the 'broad-leaved' bay, but larger broader. and rounder at the middle and base, though the tip narrows more to a point and is jagged ${ }^{ \pm}$all round. The whole leaf is composed of leaflets growing about a single thick fibrous stalk, as it were, to which they are attached at either side in pairs at each joint; and they are separate from one another, while one is attached to the tip of the stalk. The leaves are somewhat reddish porous and fleshy: the whole is shed in one piece; wherefore one may consider the whole structure as a 'leaf.' ${ }^{5}$ The young twigs too have certain croolis ${ }^{6}$ in them. 'The flower ${ }^{7}$ is white, made up of a number of small white blossoms attached to the point where the stalk divides, in form like a honeycomb, and it has the heavy
 $\sigma \pi \alpha \infty \alpha \gamma \mu o ́ v$ Ald. ${ }^{5} c f .3 .11 .3 \mathrm{n}$.
${ }^{6} \gamma \omega \nu 0 \varepsilon เ \delta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{U}$; ? $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \infty \in \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$; $\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}}$ seems to have reall yovatoєเ $\delta \hat{\eta}$; Sch. considers the text defective or mutilated.
${ }^{7} c f .3 .12 .7$ n.
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${ }^{1}$ кататєтаเขópevos conj. W. ; каl $\pi \in \pi$. WAld.
$2 \mathrm{kal} . \ldots$. ${ }^{2}$ ántovtar I conj., following Seal., W'., etc., but keeping closer to U : certain restoration perhaps impossible ;
 $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \in \lambda \in \mathfrak{l o u s}{ }^{-} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \in \hat{\imath}$ MV ; om. G.
${ }^{3}$ Pin. 16. 174 and 175.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xir. 6-xiv. i

fragrance of lilies. The fruit is in like manner attached to a single thick stalk, but in a cluster: as it becomes quite ripe, ${ }^{1}$ it turns black, but when unripe it is like unripe grapes; in size the berry is a little larger than the seed of a vetch; the juice is like wine in appearance, and in it men bathe ${ }^{2}$ their hands and heads when they are being initiated into the nysteries. The secds inside the berry are like sesame.
${ }^{3}$ The willow also grows by the water, and there are many kinds. There is that which is called the black willow ${ }^{t}$ because its bark is black and red, and that which is called the white ${ }^{4}$ from the colour of its bark. The black kind has boughs which are fairer and more serviceable for basketwork, while those of the white are more brittle. ${ }^{5}$ There is a form both of the black and of the white which is small and does not grow to a height,-just as there are dwarf forms of other trees, such as prickly cedar and palm. The people of Arcadia call the tree ${ }^{6}$ not 'willow' but helike: they believe, as was said, ${ }^{7}$ that it bears fruitful seed.
Of elm, poplars, alder, [semyda, bladder-semnx].
XIV. ${ }^{s}$ Of the elm there are two kinds, of which one is called the 'mountain elm,' the other simply the 'elm': the difference is that the latter is shrubbier, while the mountain elm grows more vigorously. The leaf is undivided and slightly jagged, longer than that of the pear, but rough
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { cf. 5. 5. } 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

rather than smooth. The tree is large, being both tall and wide-spreading. It is not common about Ida, but rare, and likes wet ground. The wood is yellow strong fibrous and tough ${ }^{1}$; for it is all heart. Men use it for expensive doors ${ }^{2}$ : it is casy to cut when it is green, but difficult when it is dry. The tree is thought to bear no fruit, but in the 'wallets' ${ }^{3}$ it produces its gum and certain creatures like gnats; and it has in autumu its peculiar 'winter-buds' 4 which are numerous sinall and black, but these have not been observed at other seasons.

The abele and the black poplar have each but a single kind : both are of erect growth, but the black poplar is much taller and of more open growth, and is smoother, while the shape of its leaves is similar to those of the other. The wood also of both, when cut, is much the same in whiteness. Neither of these trees appears to have fruit or flower. ${ }^{5}$

The aspen is a tree rescmbling the abele both in size and in having whitish branches, but the leaf is ivy-like: while however it is otherwise without angles, its one angular ${ }^{6}$ projection is long and narrows to a sharp point: in colour the upper and under sides are much alike. The leaf is attached to a long thin stalk: wherefore the leaf is not set straight, but has a droop.i The bark of the abele is rougher and more scaly, like that of the wild pear, and it bears no fruit.

The alder also has but one form: in growth it is
${ }^{4}$ кá $\chi \rho u s$, here probably a gall, mistaken for winter-bul.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{cf}$. . however, 3. 3. 4; 4. 10. 2, where T. seems to follow a different authority.
${ }^{6}$ Supply $\gamma \omega \nu$ à from à $\gamma \omega \omega t o \nu$.


## THEOPHRASTUS


 ṕáß







 oủ́év.







 ס́́v $\delta \rho \omega \nu$.]







[^152]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xiv. 3-xv. 1

also erect, and it has soft wood and a soft heart-wood, so that the slender boughs are hollow throughout. The leaf is like that of the pear, but larger and more fibrous. It has rough bark, which on the inner side is red: wherefore it is used for dyeing hides. It has shallow roots $\ldots{ }^{1}$ the flower is as large as that of the bay. It grows in wet places ${ }^{2}$ and nowhere else.

The semyda ${ }^{3}$ has a leaf like that of the tree called the 'Persian nut'(walnut), but it is rather narrower: the bark is variegated and the wood light: it is only of use for making walking-sticks and for no other purpose.

The bladder-senma ${ }^{4}$ has a leaf near that of the willow, but is many-branched and has much foliage; and the tree altogether is a large one. The fruit is in a pod, as in leguminous plants: the pods in fact are broad rather than narrow, and the seed in them is comparatively small, and is moderately hard, but not so very hard. For its size the tree does not bear much fruit. It is uncommon to have the fruit in a pod; in fact there are few such trees.

## Of filbert, terelinth, box, krataigos.

XY. The filbert is also naturally a wild tree, in that its fruit is little, if at all, inferior to that of the tree in cultivation, that it can stand winter, that it grows commonly on the mountains, and that it bears abundance of fruit in mountain regions ${ }^{5}$; also because it does not make a trunk, but is shrubby with
${ }^{1}$ Sch. remarles that the rescription of кодvtéa is out of place: cf. 3. 17. 2. WT. thinks the whole section spurious. The autitheses in the latter part suggest a different context, in which rodvtée was rlescribed by comparison with some other tree.

5 ópelous conj. W.; фoprîs Ald.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 رакраîs dè каi таХєíals évíals．－oủ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$
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 каì ка入óv．őpos 才áp тí фпбьข єìval тá $\mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau о \nu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { cf. C. P. 2. 12. 6. }{ }^{2} \text { cf. Geop. 10. } 68 . \\
& { }^{3} \text { 入eiol conj. W.; } \pi \lambda \text { є́à UMVAld. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xv. i-3

unbranched stems without knots; though some of these are long and stout. Nevertheless it also submits to cultivation. The cultivated form differs in producing better fruit and larger leaves; in both forms the leaf has a jagged edge: the leaf of the alder most closely resembles it, but is broader, and the tree itself is bigger. ${ }^{1}$ The filbert is always more fruitful if it has its slender boughs cut off. ${ }^{2}$ There are two kinds of each sort; some have a round, others an oblong nut; that of the cultivated tree is paler, and it fruits best in damp places. The wild tree becomes cultivated by being transplanted. Its bark is smooth, ${ }^{3}$ consisting of one layer, thin glossy and with peculiar white blotches on it. The wood is extremely tough, so that men make baskets even of the quite thin twigs, having stripped them of their bark, and of the stout ones when they have whittled them. Also it has a small amount of yellow heart-wood, which makes ${ }^{4}$ the branches hollow. Peculiar to these trees is the matter of the catkin, as we mentioned. ${ }^{5}$
${ }^{6}$ The terebinth has a ' male' and a 'female' form. The 'male' is barren, which is why it is called 'male'; the fruit of one of the 'female' forms is red from the first and as large as an unripe ${ }^{7}$ lentil ; the other produces a green fruit which subsequently turns red, and, ripening at the same time as the grapes, becomes eventually black and is as large as a bean, but resinous and somewhat aromatic. About Ida and in Macedonia the tree is low shrubby and twisted, but in the Syrian Damascus, where it abounds, it is tall and handsome; indeed they say

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { 令 Ald. H. ; in W. with U. cf. 3. 13. } 4 . \\
& { }^{5} \text { 3. 7. 3. }{ }^{6} \text { Plin. 13. } 54 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xv. 3-5

that there is a certain hill which is covered with terebinths, though nothing else grows on it. It has tough wood and strong roots which run deep, and the tree as a whole is impossible to destroy. The flower is like that of the olive, but red in colour. The leaf is made up of a number of leaflets, ${ }^{1}$ like bay leaves, attached in pairs to a single leaf-stalk. So far it resembles the leaf of the sorb; there is also the extra leaflet at the tip: but the leaf is more angular than that of the sorb, and the edge resembles more the leaf of the bay; the leaf is glossy all over, ${ }^{2}$ as is the fruit. It bears also some hollow bag-like ${ }^{3}$ growths, like the elm, in which are found little creatures like gnats; and resinous sticky matter is found also in these bags; but the resin is gathered from the wood and not from these. The fruit does not discharge much resin, but it clings to the hands, and, if it is not washed after gathering, it all sticks together; if it is washed, the part which is white and unripe floats, ${ }^{4}$ but the black part sinks.

The box is not a large tree, and it has a leaf like that of the myrtle. It grows in cold rough places; for of this character is Cytora, ${ }^{5}$ where it is most abundant. The Macedonian Olympus is also a cold region; ${ }^{6}$ for there too it grows, though not to a great size. It is largest and fairest in Corsica, ${ }^{7}$ where the tree grows taller and stouter than anywhere else; wherefore the honey there is not sweet, as it smells of the box.
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## THEOPHRASTUS
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 $\kappa \alpha т a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ \chi v \lambda \grave{\nu} \mu \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \varsigma^{\circ}$



XVI．＇O $\delta$ è $\pi \rho i ̂ \nu o s ~ \phi u ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ e ́ \chi є \iota ~ \delta \rho v \omega ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma, ~$






 фаб८．фє́рєє ठè тарà тท̀v ßá入аขоv каі ко́ккор


 тóv тє тท̂s i乡ias каi тòv тov̂ ن́申éapos．каi тท̀v
${ }^{1}$ Quoted by Athen．2． 34 ；cf．Plin．16．120；26． 99 ； 27． 62 and 63.
${ }^{2} \tau \in \tau \alpha \nu \dot{y}$ ：cf．3．11．1；3．12．5．Athen．，l．c．，has $\tau \in \tau \alpha-$ $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o \nu$ ．
${ }^{3}$ ย̇кєîvo Athen．l．c．；кảкєîvo Ald．
${ }^{4} \xi a \nu \theta \partial \nu$ before i $\sigma \chi \nu \rho \rho^{\prime} \nu$ Athen．1．c．

## ENQUIRY 1NTO PLANTS, III. xv. 6-xvi. I

${ }^{1}$ The krataigos is a very common tree; some call it kra!aigon. It has a smooth ${ }^{2}$ leaf like that of the medlar, but longer, and its breadth is greater than its length, while the edge is not jagged like that ${ }^{3}$ of the medlar. The tree does not grow very tall or thick; its wood is mottled strong and brown ${ }^{4}$; it has a smooth bark like that of the medlar; it has generally a single root, which runs deep. The fruit is round and as large as that of the wild olive ${ }^{5}$; as it ripens it turns brown and black; in taste and Havour it is like that of the medlar; wherefore this might seem to be a sort of wild form of that tree. ${ }^{6}$ There is only one form of it and it shews no variation.

Of certuin other oaks, arbutus, andrachne, wig-tree.
XVI. The kermes-oak ${ }^{7}$ has a leaf like that of the oak, but smallerand spinous, ${ }^{s}$ while its bark is smoother than that of the oak. The tree itself is large, like the oak, if it has space and root-room; the wood is close and strong; it roots fairly deep and it has many roots. The fruit is like an acorn, but the kermesoak's acorn is small; the new one overtakes that of last year, for it ripens late. ${ }^{9}$ Wherefore some say that it bears twice. Besides the acom it bears a kind of scarlet berry ${ }^{10}$; it also has oak-mistletoe ${ }^{11}$ and mistletoe; so that sometimes it happens that it has four fruits on it at once, two which are its own and two others, namely those of the oak-mistletoe ${ }^{11}$ and
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 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta$ рía $\nu$.
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 той трі́vov, бкдทро́тєроу ठє̀ каì тикขо́тєроу тйя









 трîvos. каì ì $\mu$ èv фє入入óסpus тoıaút $\eta \nu$ тıvà ê $\chi \in \iota$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xy. I-3

of the mistletoe. It produces the oals-mistletoe on the north side and the mistletoe on the south.

The Arcadians have a tree which they call smilax ${ }^{1}$ (holm-oak), which resembles the kermes-oak, but has not spinous leaves, its leaves being softer and longer ${ }^{2}$ and differing in several other ways. Nor is the wood hard and close like that of the kermesoak, but quite soft to work.

The tree which the Arcadians call 'cork-oak' ${ }^{3}$ (holm-oak) has this character:-to put it generally, it is between the kermes-oak and the oak; and some suppose it to be the 'female' kermes-oak; wherefore, where the kermes-oak does not grow, they use this tree for their carts and such-like purposes; for instance it is so used by the peoples of Lacedaemon and Elis. The Dorians also call the tree aria. ${ }^{4}$ Its wood is softer and less compact than that of the kermes-aak, but harder and closer than that of the oak. When it is barked, ${ }^{5}$ the colour of the wood is paler than that of the kermes-oak, but redder than that of the oak. The leaves resemble those of both trees, but they are somewhat large, if we consider the tree as a kermes-oak, and somewhat small if we regard it as an oak. The fruit is smaller in size than that of the kermes-oak, and equal to the smallest acorns; it is sweeter than that of the kermes-oak, bitterer than that of the oak. Some call the fruit of the kermesoak and of the aria 'mast,' ${ }^{6}$ keeping the name 'acorn' for the fruit of the oak. It has a core which is more obvious than in kermes-oak. Such is the character of the 'cork-oak.'
${ }^{4}$ Already described; cf. 3. 4. 2; 3. 17. 1.
${ }^{5}$ cf. Paus. Arcadia, 8. 12.
${ }^{6}$ ăки入ov: of. Hom. Od. 10. 242.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvi. 4-6

${ }^{1}$ The arbutus, which produces the edible fruit called memaikylon, is not a very large tree; its bark is thin and like that of the tamarisk, the leaf is between that of the kermes-oak and that of the bay. It blooms in the month Pyanepsion ${ }^{2}$; the flowers grow in clusters at the end of the boughs from a single attachment; in shape each of them is like an oblong myrtle flower and it is of about the same size ; it has no petals, but forms a cup like an empty eggshell, ${ }^{3}$ and the mouth is open: when the flower drops off, there is a hole ${ }^{4}$ also through the part by which it is attached, and the fallen flower is delicate and like a whorl on a spindle or a Doric karneios. ${ }^{5}$ The fruit takes a year to ripen, so that it comes to pass that this and the new flower are on the tree together.
${ }^{6}$ The andrachne has a leaf like that of the arbutus and is not a very large tree; the bark is smooth ${ }^{7}$ and cracked, ${ }^{8}$ the fruit is like that of the arbutus.

The leaf of the wig-tree ${ }^{9}$ is also like that of the last named tree, but it is a small tree. Peculiar to it is the fact that the fruit passes into down ${ }^{10}$ : we have not heard of such a thing in any other tree. These trees are found in a good many positions and regions.
${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13.120.
7 तeîo conj. Soch.; $\lambda \in u r \dot{\partial} \nu$ UAld. In Pletho's excerpt the passage has $\lambda \epsilon i o \nu$, and Plin., l.c., evidently read $\lambda \in i o v$.
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{v i ́ \mu} \dot{\mu}$ vov. cf. 1. 5. 2; 9. 4. 3.
${ }^{\circ}$ Plin. 13. 121. кожкиу́éas conj. Sch. after Plin. l.c., cf.
 $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.
 6. 8.4.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvir. i-z

Of cork-oak, kolutea, koloitia, and of certuin other trees peculiar to particular localities.
XVII. ${ }^{1}$ Some however are more local, such as the cork-oak: this occurs in Tyrrhenia ${ }^{2}$; it is a tree with a distinct trunk and few branches, and is fairly tall and of vigorous growth. The wood is strong, the bark very thick and cracked, like that of the Aleppo pine, save that the cracks are larger. The leaf is like that of the manna-ash, thick and somewhat oblong. The tree is not evergreen but deciduous. It has always ${ }^{3}$ an acorn-like ${ }^{4}$ fruit like that of the aria ${ }^{5}$ (holm-oak). They strip off the bark, ${ }^{6}$ and they say that it should all be removed, ${ }^{7}$ otherwise the tree deteriorates: it is renewed again in about three years.

The kolutea ${ }^{8}$ too is a local tree, occurring in the Lipari islands. It is a tree of good size, and bears its fruit, which is as large as a lentil, in pods; this fattens sheep wonderfully. It grows from seed, and also grows very well from sheep-droppings. The time for sowing it is the setting of Arcturus; and one should first soak the seed and sow it when it is already sprouting in the water. It has a leaf like telis ${ }^{9}$ (fenugreek). At first it grows for about three years with a single stem, and in this period men cut their walking-sticks from it; for it seems that it makes excellent ones. And, if the top is cut off during this period, it dies, for it makes no sideshoots. After this period it divides, and in the fourth year develops into a tree.
${ }^{6} c f .1 .5 .2$; 4. 15. 1; Plin. 17. 234.

${ }^{8}$ cf. 1. 11. 2; 3. 17. 3.
${ }^{9}$ тй $\lambda \in \iota$ conj. R. Const. from G , faeno gracco ; тíגєו UMV; тú $\lambda \eta$ Ald.
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${ }^{1}$ кодоเтíav（？кодоเтє́av）U．cf．1．11．2；3．17．2．Which－ ever spelling is correct should prolably be adopted in all three places．$\quad 2 \mathrm{cf.3.11.3}$ ．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvir. 3-5

The tree found about Mount Ida, called koloitia, ${ }^{1}$ is a distinct kind and is shrubby and branching with many boughs; but it is rather rare. It has a leaf like that of the 'broad-leaved' bay, ${ }^{2}$ but rounder and larger, so that it looks like that of the elm, but it is more oblong: the colour on both sides is green, but the base is whitish; in this part it is very fibrous, because of its fine fibres which spring partly from the midrib, ${ }^{3}$ partly between the ribs ${ }^{4}$ (so to call them) which run out from the midrib. The bark is not smooth but like that of the vine; the wood is hard and close, the roots are shallow slender and spreading, (though sometimes they are compact), and they are very yellow. They say that this shrub has no fruit nor flower, but has its knobby winter-bud and its 'eyes'; these grow alongside of the leaves, and are very smooth glossy and white, and in shape are like a winter-bud. When the tree is cut or burnt down, it grows from the side and springs up again.

There are also three trees peculiar to Mount Ida, the tree called Alexandrian laurel, a sort of fig, and a 'vine' (currant grape). The peculiarity of the laurel is that it bears fruit on its leaves, like the 'prickly myrtle' (butcher's broom) : both have their fruit on the midrib of the leaf.

The 'fig' ${ }^{5}$ is shrubby and not tall, but so thick that the stem is a cubit in circumference. The wood is twisted and tough; below it is smooth and unbranched, above it has thick foliage : the colour both


${ }^{4} \pi \lambda \in \nu \rho o \epsilon \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ : $\pi \lambda \in \cup \rho \rho \in \iota \delta \bar{\omega} s$ conj. St.
${ }^{5}$ See Index. Plin. 15. 68; cf. Athen. 3. 11.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \iota \delta$ ès $\mu \iota \kappa$ ро́ข.






 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha i$ ṕá $\mu \nu$ ои каì тадıoúpov каi оїбоv [каì oїтоv] каì คீои̂ каì кıттои каì ßáтоv каі̀ є́тє́рюע $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvir. $5^{-x+m i n . ~ i ~}$

of leaf and bark is a dull green, the shape of the leaf is like that of the lime; it is soft and broad, and in size it also corresponds; the flower is like that of the medlar, and the tree blooms at the same time as that tree. The fruit, which they call a 'fig, is red, and as large as an olive, but it is rounder and is like the medlar in taste; the roots are thick like those of the cultivated fig, and tough. The tree does not rot, and it has a solid heart, instead of ordinary heart-wood.

The 'vine' (currant grape) grows about the place called Phalakrai in the district of Ida; it is shrubby with small twigs; the branches are about a cubit long, and attached to them at the side are black berries, which are the size of a bean and sweet; inside they have a sort of soft stone ${ }^{1}$; the leaf is round undivided and small.

> Of the differences in rarious shrubs-buckthorn, withy, Christ's thorn, bramble, sumach, ivy, smilax, [spindle-tree].
XVIII. Most other mountains too have certain peculiar products, whether trees shrubs or other woody plants. However we have several times remarked as to such peculiarities that they occur in all regions. Moreover the variation ${ }^{2}$ between things of the same kind which we find in trees obtains also among shrubs and most other things, as has been said: for instance, we find it in buckthorn Christ's thorn withy ${ }^{3}$ sumach ivy bramble and many others.
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${ }^{1}$ cf．1．9．4；3．18．12；C．P．1．10． 7.
${ }^{2}$ Some words are missing，which described various forms of $\pi a \lambda i ́ o u p o s$, alluded to in $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ тâ̂ra（Sch．）．cf．4．3．3， where an African $\pi \alpha \lambda$ ioupos is described．
 UMV．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvili. 2-4

${ }^{1}$ Thus of buckthom there is the black and the white form, and there is difference in the fruit, though both bear thoms.

Of the withy there is a black and a white form; the flower and fruit of each respectively correspond in colour to the name; but some specimens are, as it were, intermediate, the flower being purplish, and neither wine-coloured nor whitish as in the others. The leaves in the white kind are also slenderer and smoother, as also are the branches.

There is variation also in the Christ's thorn . . . ${ }^{2}$ all these forms are fruit-bearing. Christ's thorn has its fruit in a sort of pod, resembling a leaf, ${ }^{3}$ which contains three or four seeds. Doctors bruise ${ }^{4}$ them and use them against coughs ; for they have a certain viscous and oily character, like linseed. The shrub grows in wet and dry places alike, like the bramble. ${ }^{5}$ But it is deciduous, and not evergreen like buckthorn.

Of the bramble again there are several kinds, shewing very great variation; one is erect and tall, another runs along the ground and from the first bends downwards, and, when it touches the earth, it roots again; this some call the 'ground bramble.' The 'dog's bramble ' (wild rose) has a reddish fruit, like that of the pomegranate ${ }^{6}$; and, like the pomegranate, it is intermediate between a shrub and a tree; but the leaf is spinous. ${ }^{7}$

${ }^{5}$ oủx . . . $\pi$ ápujpov probably a gloss, W.
${ }^{6}$ póaıs UMV (?) Ald.; pofaîs conj. Sch. from Plin. 16. 180. Athen. (2.82) cites the passage with mapar. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ poia. The Schol. on Theocr. 5. 92 seems to have traces of both readings.

7 d.кavө̄̄ठ̄s conj. Sch. from Schol. on Theocr. (see last note), which quotes the passage with $\dot{a} \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$; $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \delta \in s$ UAld.; so also Athen. l.c. Plin. (24. 121) seems to have read ixעôठєs (vestigio hominis simile).

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvir. 5-6

${ }^{1}$ Of the sumach they recognise a 'male' and a 'female' form, the former being barren, the latter fruit-bearing. The branches are not lofty nor stout, the leaf is like that of the elm, but small more oblong and hairy. On the young shoots the leaves grow in pairs at equal distances apart, corresponding to each other on the two sides, so that they are in regular rows. ${ }^{2}$ Tanners use this tree for dyeing white leather. The flower is white and grows in clusters; the general form of it, with branchlets, is like that of the grape-bunch; when the flowering is over, the fruit reddens like the grape, and the appearance of it is like small lentils set close together; the form of these too is clustering. ${ }^{3}$ The fruit contains the drug called by the same name, ${ }^{4}$ which is a bony substance; it is often still found even when the fruit has been put through a sieve. The root is shallow and single, so that these trees are easily bent right over, ${ }^{5}$ root and all. The wood has heart-wood, and it readily perishes and gets worm-eaten. ${ }^{6}$ The tree occurs in all regions, but flourishes most in clayey soils.
${ }^{7}$ The ivy also has many forms; one kind grows on the ground, another grows tall, and of the tallgrowing ivies there are several kinds. However the three most important seem to be the white the black and the helix. And of each of these there are several forms. Of the 'white' one is white only in its fruit, another in its leaves also. Again to take only white-fruited sorts, one of these has its fruit well formed close and compact like a ball; and this
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xviil. 6-8

kind some call horymbias, but the Athenians call it the 'Acharnian' ivy. Another kind is smaller and loose in growth like the black ivy. ${ }^{1}$ There are also variations in the black kind, but they are not so well marked.
${ }^{2}$ The helix presents the greatest differences ${ }^{3}$; the principal difference is in the leaves, ${ }^{4}$ which are small angular and of more graceful proportions, while those of the ivy proper are rounder and simple; there is also difference in the length of the twigs, and further in the fact that this tree is barren. For, ${ }^{5}$ as to the view that the helix by natural development turns into the ivy, ${ }^{6}$ some insist ${ }^{7}$ that this is not so, the only true ivy according to these being that which was ivy from the first ${ }^{8}$; (whereas if, as some say, the helix. invariably ${ }^{9}$ turns into ivy, the difference would be merely one of age and condition, and not of kind, like the difference between the cultivated and the wild pear). However the leaf even of the fullgrown helix is very different from that of the ivy, and it happens but rarely and in a few specimens that in this plant a change in the leaf occurs as it grows older, as it does in the abele and the castor-oil plant. ${ }^{10}{ }^{11}$ There are several forms of the helix, of which the three most conspicuous and important are the green 'herbaceous' kind (which is the commonest), the white, and the variegated, which some call the 'Thracian' helix. Each of these appears to

8 i.e. and heli.e being a distinct plant which is always barren.
${ }^{9} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ conj. Sch.; $\pi \hat{\alpha} s$ Ald.
${ }^{10} \mathrm{Sc}$. as well as in ivy; cf. 1. 10. 1, where this change is said to be characteristic of these three trees. (The rendering attempted of this obscure section is mainly from W.'s note.)
${ }^{11}$ Plin. 16. 148 foll.
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present variations; of the green one form is slenderer and has more regular ${ }^{1}$ and also closer leaves, the other has all these characteristics in a less degree. Of the variegated kind again one sort has a larger, one a smaller leaf, and the variegation is variable. In like manner the various forms of the white helix differ in size and colour. The 'herbaceous' kind is the most vigorous and covers most space. They say that the form which is supposed to turn into ivy is clearly marked not only by its leaves, because they are larger and broader, but also by its shoots; for these are straight from the first, and this form does not bend over ${ }^{2}$ like the other; also because the shoots are slenderer and larger, while those of the ivy-like ${ }^{3}$ form are shorter and stouter. ${ }^{4}$ The ivy too, when it begins to seed, has its shoots upwardgrowing and erect.

All ivies have numerous close roots, which are tangled together woody and stout, and do not run very deep; but this is specially true of the black kind and of the roughest and wildest forms of the white. Wherefore it is mischievous to plant this against any tree; for it destroys and starves any tree by withdrawing the moisture. This form also more than the others grows stout and becomes treelike, and in fact becomes itself an independent ivy tree, though in general it likes and seeks to be ${ }^{5}$ against another tree, and is, as it were, parasitic. ${ }^{6}$ ${ }^{7}$ Moreover from the first it has also this natural
${ }^{5}$ eilual conj. W.; aiel UMI ; àel Ald.
${ }^{6}$ i.e. depends on another tree; not, of course, in the

 алдб́каидог, 7. 8. 1; С.P. 2. 18. 2.
${ }^{7}$ Plin. 16. 152.
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characteristic, that it regularly puts forth roots from the shoots between the leaves, by means of which it gets a hold of trees and walls, as if these roots were made by nature on purpose. Wherefore also by withdrawing and drinking up the moisture it starves its host, while, if it is cut off below, it is able to survive and live. There are also other not inconsiderable differences in the fruit; both in the white and in the black kind it is in some cases rather sweet, in others extremely bitter; in proof whereof birds eat one but not the other. Such are the facts about ivy.

The smilax ${ }^{1}$ is parasitic, ${ }^{2}$ but its stem ${ }^{3}$ is thorny and has, as it were, straight thorns; the leaf is ivylike small and without angles, and makes a callus ${ }^{4}$ at the junction with the stalk. A peculiarity of it is its conspicuous ${ }^{5}$ slender midrib, so to call it, which divides it in two; also the fact that the thread-like branchings ${ }^{6}$ do not start from this, as in other leaves, but are carried in circles round it, starting from the junction of the leaflet with the leaf. And at the joints of the stem ${ }^{7}$ and the spaces between the leaves there grows from the same stalk as the leaves a fine spiral tendril. ${ }^{s}$ The flower is white and fragrant like a lily. ${ }^{9}$ The fruit
deictic, referring to an actual specimen shewn in lecture? cf. also 4. 7. l.
${ }_{6} \delta \iota a \lambda n \psi \in t s$ Ald.; $\delta \iota a \lambda$ é $\psi \in t s$ UMIV. A mistake probably due to $\delta$ a $\alpha \lambda \in\{\psi \in$ is below, where it is right. $\delta$ rá $\lambda \eta \psi$ ts is the Aristutelian word for a 'division.'

8 This must be the meaning of tounus here, qualified by €̇ $\lambda ı \kappa \tau$ ós ; but elsewhere it=catkin. cf.3.5.5.
${ }^{9}$ 入elpivov conj. R. Const. from Plin. l.c. olente litium; ク̆pıขóv UAld.
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is like the strylhonos ${ }^{1}$ and the melothron (bryony), and most of all like the berry which is called the 'wild grape' (bryony). The clusters hang down as in the ivy, but the regular setting ${ }^{2}$ of the berries resembles the grape-cluster more closely; for the stalks which bear the berries start from a single point. The fruit is red, having generally two stones, the larger ones three and the smaller one; the stone is very hard and in colour black outside. A peculiarity of the clusters is that they make a row ${ }^{3}$ along the sides of the stalk, and the longest cluster is at the end of the stalk, as in the buckthorn and the bramble. It is clear that the fruit is produced both at the end and at the sides.
${ }^{4}$ The tree called the spindle-tree ${ }^{5}$ grows, among other places, in Lesbos, on the mountain called Ordynnos. ${ }^{6}$ It is as large as the pomegranate and has a leaf like that of that tree, but larger than that of the periwinkle, ${ }^{7}$ and soft, like the pomegranate leaf. It begins to shoot about the month Poseideon, ${ }^{8}$ and flowers in the spring; the flower in colour is like the gilliflower, but it has a horrible smell, like shed blood. ${ }^{9}$ The fruit, with its case, is like the pod of sesame ${ }^{10}$; inside it is hard, but it splits easily according to its four divisions. This tree, if eaten
 rected) ; тараөрvरみiऽovaı M.
${ }^{4}$ This section down to the word $\dot{\alpha} \nu o ́ \chi \omega$ is clearly ont of place: $\epsilon \dot{u} \dot{b} v \nu u o s$ was not one of the plants proposed for discussion 3. 18. 1. It should come somewhere anong the descriptions of trees characteristic of special localities.

$$
{ }_{5}^{5} \text { Plin. 13. } 118 . \quad{ }^{6} \text { cf. Plin. 5, } 140 .
$$

7 This irrelevant comparison probably indicates confusion in the text, as is shewn also by Pletho's excerpt of part of this section: see Sch.
${ }^{8}$ January. $\quad{ }^{9}$ фóvò: cf. 6.4.6. ${ }^{10}$ cf. 8.5.2.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, III. xvir. 13

by sheep, is fatal ${ }^{1}$ to them, both the leaf and the fruit, and it is especially fatal to goats unless they are purged by it; and the purging is effected by diarrhoea. ${ }^{2}$ So we have spoken of trees and shrubs; in what follows we must speak of the plants which remain.
${ }^{1}$ In Pletho's excerpt (see above) this is said of periwinkle.
${ }^{2}$ i.e. and not by vomiting.

## BOOK IV

## $\Delta$
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## BOOK IV

## Of the Trees and Plants spectal to particular Districts and Posttions.

## Of the importance of position and climate.

I. Tire differences between trees of the same kind have already been considered. Now all grow fairer and are more vigorous in their proper positions ; for wild, no less than cultivated trees, have each their own positions: some love wet and marshy ground, as black poplar abele willow, and in general those that grow by rivers; some love exposed ${ }^{1}$ and sunny positions; some prefer a shady place. The fir is fairest and tallest in a sumy position, and does not grow at all in a shady one; the silver-fir on the contrary is fairest in a shady place, and not so vigorous in a sunny one.

Thus there is in Arcadia near the place called Krane a low-lying district sheltered from wind, into which they say that the sun never strikes; and in this district the silver-firs excel greatly in height and stoutness, though they have not such close grain nor such comely wood, but quite the reverse,-like the fir when it grows in a shady place. Wherefore men do not use these for expensive work, such as doors or other choice articles, but rather for ship-building and house-building. For excellent
${ }^{1}$ єivacteis should mean 'sheltered,' but cannot in this context, nor in C.P. 1. 13. 11 and 12: the word seems to have been confused with єйбкотоs.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. i. 2-4

rafters beams and yard-arms ${ }^{1}$ are made from these, and also masts of great length which are not however equally strong ; while masts made of trees grown in a sunny place are necessarily ${ }^{2}$ short but of closer grain and stronger than the others.

Yew pados and joint-fir rejoice exceedingly in shade. On mountain tops and in cold positions odorous cedar grows even to a height, while silver-fir and Phoenician cedar grow, but not to a height,for instance on the top of Mount Cyllene ; and holly also grows in high and very wintry positions. These trees then we may reckon as cold-loving; all others, one may say in general, prefer a sunny position. However this too depends partly on the soil appropriate to each tree; thus they say that in Crete on the mountains of Ida and on those called the White Mountains the cypress is found on the peaks whence the snow never disappears; for this is the principal tree both in the island generally and in the mountains.

Again, as has been said ${ }^{3}$ already, both of wild and of cultivated trees some belong more to the mountains, some to the plains. And on the mountains themselves in proportion to the height some grow fairer ${ }^{4}$ and more vigorous in the lower regions, some about the peaks. However it is true of all trees anywhere that with a north aspect the wood is closer and more compact ${ }^{5}$ and better generally; and, generally speaking, more trees grow in positions facing the north. Again trees which are close
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together grow and increase more in height, and so become unbranched straight and erect, and the best oar-spars ${ }^{1}$ are made from these, while those that grow far apart ${ }^{2}$ are of greater bulk and denser habit ${ }^{3}$; wherefore they grow less straight and with more branches, and in general have harder wood and a closer grain.

Such trees exhibit nearly the same differences, whether the position be shady or sumny, windless or windy; for trees growing in a sunny or windy position are more branched shorter and less straight. Further that each tree secks an appropriate position and climate is plain from the fact that some districts bear some trees but not others; (the latter do not grow there of their own accord, nor can they easily be made to grow), and that, even if they obtain a hold, they do not bear fruit-as was said ${ }^{4}$ of the date-palm the sycamore and others; for there are many trees which in many places either do not grow at all, or, ${ }^{5}$ if they do, do not thrive nor bear fruit, but are in general of inferior quality. And perhaps we should discuss this matter, so far as our enquiries go.

## Of the trees special to llgypt, and of the carob.

II. ${ }^{6}$ Thus in Egypt there are a number of trees which are peculiar ${ }^{7}$ to that country, the sycamore the tree called persen the balanos the acacia and some others.

Now the sycamore to a certain extent resembles the tree which bears that name ${ }^{8}$ in our country; its

[^170]
## THEOPHRASTUS











 $\rho \in \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda \alpha$ фи́єтаь каi ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ каі є́кк





 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \lambda i ́ \mu \nu a s ~ \epsilon u ̀ \theta ̀ ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau а р \iota \chi є u ́ o v \sigma \iota . ~$



 таútas тàs íóóтŋтаs.
3






${ }^{1}$ 1. 1. 7; cf. 1. 142.
${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 1. 17. 9; Diose. 1. 127; Athen. 2. 36. This 292

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. II. I-3

leaf is similar, its size, and its general appearance; but it bears its fruit in a quite peculiar manner, as was said at the very outset ${ }^{1}$; it is borne not on the shoots or branches, but on the stem; in size it is as large as a fig, which it resembles also in appearance, but in flavour and sweetness it is like the 'immature figs,' except that it is much sweeter and contains absolutely no seeds, and it is produced in large numbers. It cannot ripen unless it is scraped; but they scrape it with iron 'claws' ${ }^{2}$; the fruits thus scraped ripen in four days. If these are removed, others and others again grow from exactly the same point, and this some say occurs three times over, others say it can happen more times than that. Again the tree is very full of sap, and its wood is useful for many purposes. There is another peculiar property which it appears to possess; when it is cut, it is at first green, but it dries in deep water ${ }^{3}$; they put it at once in a hole or in pools and so season it; and it becomes dry by being soaked in the deep water, and when it is completely dry, it is fetched up and floats and is then thought to be duly seasoned; for it is now light and porous. Such are the peculiarities of the sycamore.

Somewhat similar appears to be the character of the tree which in Crete is called the 'Cyprian fig' ${ }^{\prime}$ (sycamore). For this also bears its fruit on the stem and on the thickest branches; but in this case there is a small leafless shoot, like a root, to which the fruit is attached. The stem is large and like the
scraping was the prophet Amos' occupation: cf. Amos 7. 14. comm.

${ }^{4}$ See Index. cf. Athen. 3. 11 ; Plin. 13. 58; Diosc. 1. 127. 3.
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abele, but the leaf is like that of the elm. It ripens its fruit four times a year, having also ${ }^{1}$ four periods of growth; but it ripens no fruit unless the 'fig' is split and the juice let out. The sweet taste resembles that of the fig, and the inside of the fruit is like that of wild figs: it is as large as a plum.
${ }^{2}$ (Like this too is the tree which the Ionians call carob; for this too bears most of its fruit on the stem, though it bears a little also on the branches, as we said. ${ }^{3}$ The fruit is in a pod; some call it the 'Egyptian fig'--erroneously ; for it does not occur at all in Egypt, but in Syria and Ionia and also in Cnidos and Rhodes. It is evergreen and has a whitish flower and is somewhat acrid; it does not attain to a great height, and it sends out side-shoots entirely from its lower parts, while it withers above. It has on it at the same time both last year's fruit and the new fruit; for if the one is removed after the rising of the dog-star, immediately the other is seen swelling up; for there swells ${ }^{4}$ up as it were another similar cluster. This then increases and flowers about the rising of Arcturus and the equinox; and thenceforward it ${ }^{5}$ persists through the winter to the rising of the dog-star. The likeness then consists in the fact that these trees too bear fruit on their stems, and the differences between them and the sycamore are as has been said.)
${ }^{6}$ In Egypt there is another tree called the persea, which in appearance is large and fair, and it most resembles the pear in leaves flowers branches and general form, but it is evergreen, while the other is
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 то̀ кокки́ $\mu \eta \lambda$ ор，$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ еै $\lambda a \tau \tau о \nu$ то $\lambda$ ù каi $\mu а \lambda а \kappa \omega ́$－
 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \epsilon u ̈ \pi \epsilon \pi \tau о \nu \quad ~ o u ̉ \delta \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ่ \nu o \chi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi o \lambda u ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma-$


 ढ́छ ồ каi тà ảyá̀ $\mu a \tau a$ каì тà к入ıvía каì




 ठè ả入入à тарєбтра $\mu \mu \in ́ \nu о \nu . ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \hat{v}$ ठè тоîs


 $\kappa а \pi \pi a ́ p ı o s . ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ i \sigma \chi \cup \rho o ̀ \nu ~ к а i ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ~ т \epsilon ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. II. 5-7

deciduous. It bears abundant fruit and at every season, for the new fruit always overtakes that of last year. It ripens its fruit at the season of the etesian winds: the other fruit they gather somewhat unripe and store ${ }^{1}$ it. In size it is as large as a pear, but in shape it is oblong, almond-shaped, and its colour is grass-green. It has inside a stone like the plum, but much smaller and softer; the flesh is sweet and luscious and easily digested; for it does no hurt if one eats it in quantity. The tree has good roots as to length thickness and number. Moreover its wood is strong and fair in appearance, black like the nettle-tree: out of it men make their images beds tables and other such things.
${ }^{2}$ The balanos gets its name from its fruit ${ }^{3}$; its leaf is like that of the myrtle ${ }^{4}$ but it is longer. The tree is of a good stoutness ${ }^{5}$ and stature, but not of a good shape, being crooked. The perfumers use the husks of the fruit, which they bruise; for this is fragrant, though the fruit itself is useless. In size and appearance it is like the fruit of the caper; the wood is strong and useful for shipbuilding and other purposes.
${ }^{6}$ The tree called the doum-palm is like the datepalm ; the resemblance is in the stem and the leaves, but it differs in that the date-palm is a tree with a single undivided stem, while the other, as it increases, splits and becomes forked, ${ }^{7}$ and then each of the two
${ }^{2}$ Plin. 13. 61.
3 i.e. it is like an acorn (Bd́入avos).
${ }^{4}$ д $\mu \mathrm{p} \rho \mathrm{i}$ inns MVPAld.; $\mu \nu \rho \mathrm{f} k \eta \mathrm{U}$ U.

${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13.62.
${ }^{7} \mathrm{cf} .2 .6$. 9 , where the same tree is evidently indicated. ठírpouv conj. Salm., Scal., etc.; ăkpov UAld. H.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \kappa a i ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ s . ~ \chi \rho \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ фú $\lambda \lambda \omega$,

 $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \kappa a \grave{\chi} \chi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \cdot \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ रà $\rho$ Єै $\chi \in \iota \sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ \nu$



 є̀ $\xi$ ổ toùs крíкous topvєúovat toùs єis toùs





 тoùs tódas.












 $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v o u s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ' \xi ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̂ v, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \phi а р \mu a-~$

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. ir. 7-8

branches forks again: moreover the twigs are very short and not numerous. They use the leaf, like the palm-leaf, for plaiting. It has a peculiar fruit, very different from that of the date-palm in size form and taste; for in size it is nearly big enough to fill the hand, but it is round rather than long ; the colour is yellowish, the flavour sweet and palatable. It does not grow bunched together, like the fruit of the datepalm, but each fruit grows separately; it has a large and very hard stone, out of which they turn the rings for embroidered bed-hangings. ${ }^{1}$ The wood is very different to that of the date-palm; whereas the latter is of loose texture fibrous and porous, ${ }^{2}$ that of the doum-palm is close heavy and fleshy, and when split is exceedingly compact and hard. The Persians ${ }^{3}$ used to esteem it highly and made the feet of their couches out of it.
${ }^{4}$ The akantha (acacia) is so called because the whole tree is spinous (akanthodes) except the stem; for it has spines on the branches shoots and leaves. It is of large stature, since lengths of timber for roofing of twelve cubits are cut from it. There are two kinds, the white and the black; the white is weak and easily decays, the black is stronger and less liable to decay; wherefore they use it in shipbuilding for the ribs. ${ }^{5}$ The tree is not very erect in growth. The fruit is in a pod, like that of leguminous plants, and the natives use it for tanning hides instead of gall. ${ }^{6}$ The flower is very beautiful in appearance, so that they make garlands of it, and it has medicinal
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$\kappa \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \varsigma, \delta i$ ̀̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { каi } \sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ o i ~ i a t p o i ́ . ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota ~\end{gathered}$








 $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \epsilon \grave{\nu} \theta a ́ \delta \epsilon, \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa а \kappa \omega \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ठià тò



 $\mu \in \sigma \pi i ́ \lambda o \iota s$, каì тò $\mu \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda$ ríбıov $\pi \lambda \eta े \nu$

 ì $\lambda i ́ o u ~ т \rho о т a ̀ s ~ \chi є є \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a ́ s \cdot ~ a ̉ є i ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i \nu . ~ . ~$


 тгдá $\theta a s$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS，IV．ir．8－it

properties，wherefore physicians gather it．${ }^{1}$ Gum is also produced from it，which flows both when the tree is wounded and also of its own accord without any incision ${ }^{2}$ being made．When the tree is cut down，after the third year it immediately shoots up again；it is a common tree，and there is a great wood of it in the Thebaid，where grow the oak，the persea in great abundance，${ }^{3}$ and the olive．
${ }^{4}$ For the olive also grows in that district，though it is not watered by the river，being more than 300 furlongs distant from it，but by brooks；for there are many springs．The oil produced is not inferior to that of our country，except that it has a less pleasing smell，${ }^{5}$ because it has not a sufficient natural supply of salt． 6 The wood of the tree is hard in character，and，when split，is like in colour ${ }^{7}$ to that of the nettle－tree．
${ }^{8}$ There is another tree，the（Egyptian）plum （sebesten），which is of great stature，and the character of its fruit ${ }^{9}$ is like the medlar（which it resembles in size），except that it has a round stone． It begins to flower in the month Pyanepsion，${ }^{10}$ and ripens its fruit about the winter solstice，and it is evergreen．${ }^{11}$ The inhabitants of the Thebaid，because of the abundance of the tree，dry the fruit；they take out the stones，bruise it，and make cakes of it．

There is a peculiar bush ${ }^{12}$ which grows about Memphis，whose peculiarity does not lie in its leaves
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 aữov̂, каi тò фún入ov тарóнoıov таîs $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho-$






 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \nu \delta \delta \rho \omega \nu$.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a ~ к а i ̀ ~ т о i ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ์ к є \sigma \iota ~ к а i ~ т о i ̂ s ~ \pi a ́ \chi є \sigma \iota \nu . ~ є ̇ \nu ~$
 тò $\pi a ́ \chi o s, ~ o ̀ ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̄ s ~ a ้ \nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta u ́ v a \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \epsilon p \iota \lambda a \mu \beta a ́-~$







 фє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon \ddot{v} о \sigma \mu \circ \nu$. Єै $\sigma \tau \iota$ ठè тồ $\lambda \omega \tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o ̂ ~ \mu \grave{v} \nu$
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shoots and general form, but in the strange property ${ }^{1}$ which belongs to it. Its appearance is spinous and the leaf is like ferms, but, when one touches the twigs, they say that the leaves as it were wither up ${ }^{2}$ and collapse and then after a time come to life again and flourish. Such are the most conspicuous things peculiar to the country, to speak only of trees or shrubs. For we will speak later of the things which grow in the river and the marshes, when we come to speak of the other water plants.
${ }^{3}$ All the trees of this kind in that country are large, both in height and stoutness; thus at Memphis there is said to be a tree of such girth that three men cannot embrace it. The wood too, when split, is good, being of extremely close grain and in colour like the nettle-tree.

## Of the trees and shrubs special to Libya.

III. ${ }^{4}$ In Libya the lotos is most abundant and fairest; so also is the Christ's thorn, and in some parts, such as the Nasamonian district and near the temple of Zeus Ammon, the date-palm. In the Cyrenaica the cypress grows and the olives are fairest and the oil most abundant. Most special of all to this district is the silphium, and the land also bears abundant fragrant saffron-crocus. As to the lotosthe whole tree is peculiar, of good stature, as tall as a pear-tree, or nearly so; the leaf is divided and like that of the kermes-oak, and the wood is black. There are several sorts, which differ in their fruits; the fruit
${ }^{3}$ This section is evidently out of place; its probable place is at the end of $\S 10$, so that the description will belong to the 'Egyptian plum.'
${ }^{+}$See Index. Plin. 13. 104-106.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ тàs $\chi \rho \circ \iota a ́ \varsigma^{\circ} \phi \cup \cup \in \tau a \iota ~ \delta \varepsilon ́, ~ к а Ө a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau a ̀ ~$




 aย่тô̂.











3 'O $\delta$ è $\pi a \lambda$ íoupos $\theta a \mu \nu \omega \delta$ '́ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о s ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \lambda \omega \tau o v . ~ . ~$





 caì tò̀ oivov $\dot{\eta} \delta i ́ \omega$ moleîv.
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is as large as a bean, and in ripening like grapes it changes its colour : it grows, like myrtle-berries, close together on the shoots; to eat, that which grows among the people called the Lotus-eaters ${ }^{1}$ is sweet pleasant and harmless, and even good for the stomach; but that which has no stone is pleasanter (for there is also such a sort), and they also make wine from it.

The tree is abundant and produces much fruit; thus the army of Ophellas, ${ }^{2}$ when it was marching on Carthage, was fed, they say, on this alone for several days, when the provisions ran short. It is abundant also in the island called the island of the Lotus-eaters ; ${ }^{3}$ this lies off the mainland at no great distance : it grows however in no less quantity, ${ }^{4}$ but even more abundantly ${ }^{5}$ on the mainland; for, as has been said, ${ }^{6}$ this tree is common in Libya generally as well as the Christ's thorn; for in the islands called Euesperides i they use these trees as fucl. However this lotos ${ }^{8}$ differs from that found in the land of the Lotus-eaters.
${ }^{9}$ The (Egyptian) 'Christ's thorm’ is more shrubby than the lotos; it has a leaf like the tree of the same name of our country, but the fruit is different; for it is not flat, but round and red, and in size as large as the fruit of the prickly cedar or a little larger; it has a stone which is not eaten with the fruit, as in the case of the pomegranate, but the fruit is sweet, and, if one pours wine over it, they say that it becomes sweeter and that it makes the wine sweeter.

```
\({ }^{5} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} 0 \nu \mathrm{U}\); ? \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \ell \omega \nu\) with MV.
\({ }^{6}\) 4. 3. 1. \({ }^{7}\) cf. Hdt. 4. 191.
\({ }^{8}\) cf. Helt. 2. 90.
\({ }^{9}\) See Index. Plin. I3. 111.
```


## THEOPHRASTUS

4 д́ndou Өaみみás cival
 $\kappa а \rho \pi \grave{\nu} \nu \mu$ н́ya тò ка́pvov é $\chi \in \iota \nu$ ．тò $\delta^{\prime}$ ėктòs oủ



 карто̀̀ тò̀ év тoîs ムштофáyots，छú入ov ठè


 ท̀тtov каì єis $\grave{\epsilon} \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma i ́ \mu \eta \nu . ~ \epsilon i s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau a ̀ ~$










 $\delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{o} \kappa a \grave{~} \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \pi о \tau \epsilon \grave{\omega} \rho u ́ \chi \theta \eta$ т $\eta \lambda \iota \kappa a \hat{้} \tau a$
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${ }^{1}$ Some say that the lotos ${ }^{2}$ is shrubby and much branched, though it has a stout ${ }^{3}$ stem; and that the stone in the fruit is large, while the outside is not fleshy but somewhat leathery; and that to eat it is not so much sweet as palatable; and that the wine which they make out of it does not keep more than two or three days, after which it gets sour; and so that the fruit ${ }^{4}$ found in the Lotus-eaters' country is sweeter, while the wood in the Cyrenaica is better; and that the country of the Lotus-eaters is hotter; and that the root is much blacker than the wood, but of less close grain, and of use for fewer purposes; for they use it only for dagger handles and tessellated work, ${ }^{5}$ while the wood is used for pipes and many other things.

In the part of Libya where no rain falls they say that, besides many other trees, there grow tall and fine date-palms; however they add that, where the date-palm is found, the soil ${ }^{6}$ is salt and contains water, and that at no great depth, not more than three fathoms. They say also that the water is in some places quite sweet, but in others quite close by it is brackish; that where however other things grow, the soil is dry and waterless; and that in places even the wells are a hundred fathoms deep, so that they draw water by means of a windlass worked by beasts. Wherefore it is wonderful how at any time digging to such depths was carried out. Such, they say, is the special character of the water supply which feeds the date-palms in the district also of the temple of Zeus Ammon. Further it is said that in the land where no rain falls thyme ${ }^{7}$ is ${ }^{5}$ є̇лию
${ }^{6}$ cf. Hdt. 3. 183.
${ }^{7}$ Өú $\mu \nu \nu$ mBas. H.; $\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu o \nu$ UMVAld. cf. 6. 2. 3.

## THEOPHRASTUS

є่ขтаи̂Өа, каі̀ ттஸ̂ка каі борка́סа каі бтроиӨòv
 єi є́ктотí̧є $\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ סúvaтаı $\mu а к р а ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ т а \chi \grave{~} \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma є \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota), ~$
 каì тà $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ خ े ~ \tau \epsilon \tau a ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi о \tau i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~$ $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a$ тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ఢ'ि $\omega \nu$, oiov ő $\phi \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\sigma a v \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha i$ т $\omega \nu$ тоьov́т $\omega \nu$, фаขєрòv öт८ äтота.









 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a$ каі iठı́ттата. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \sigma ı \lambda \phi i ́ o u ~}$

 тvүХável. $\tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \phi є ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a i ~ \chi \omega ̂ \rho a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~$
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abundant, and that there are various other peculiar plants there, and that there are found the hare ${ }^{1}$ gazelle ostrich and other animals. However it is uncertain whether these do not migrate in order to find drink somewhere, (for by reason of their fleetness they are able to appear at a distant place in a short space of time), especially if they can go for several days without drinking, even as these animals, when domesticated, are only given drink every third or fourth day. While as to other aminals, such as snakes lizards and the like, it is plain that they go without drink. And we are told that according to the Libyans, these animals eat the wood-louse, which is of the same kind that is found also in our country, being black, with many feet, and rolling itself into a ball ; this, they say, is extremely common and is juicy by nature.

They say also that dew always falls abundantly in the land in which no rain falls, so that it is plain that the date-palın, as well as anything else which grows in waterless places, is kept alive by the moisture which rises from the ground, and also by the dew. For the latter is sufficient, considering ${ }^{2}$ the size of such trees and their natural character, which is dry and formed of dry components. And trees of that character are most abundant in, and most specially belong to such country. The character of the silphium we must discuss later.

## Of the trees and herbs special to Asia.

IV. In different parts of Asia also there are special trees, for the soil of the various regions produces some but not others. ${ }^{3}$ Thus they say that
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 144.

## THEOPHRASTUS

oủ фúovaıv oîov кıттòv kaì è $\lambda a ́ a \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota ~$








 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ В $a \beta v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a$ фитєúшу $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ к \iota \varsigma ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho а \gamma-~$

 тои̂тo $\mu$ c̀v oû̀ oủ $\delta \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau a l ~ \eta ̀ ~ \chi \omega ́ p a ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ T \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~$
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \nu \rho a \nu \cdot \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau a \hat{u} \tau a ~ \pi o \nu o \hat{\sigma \iota \nu ~ o i ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \nu}$




 тò $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu$ тò $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ ทै то̀ Пєрбько̀ ка入ои́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu$.


 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~ i \sigma \chi u \rho a ́ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̂ \lambda o \nu ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \theta i ́ є \tau a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu, ~}$
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ivy and olive ${ }^{1}$ do not grow in Asia in the parts of Syria which are five days journey from the sea; but that in India ivy ${ }^{2}$ appears on the mountain called Meros, whence, according to the tale, Dionysus came. Wherefore it is said ${ }^{3}$ that Alexander, when he came back from an expedition, ${ }^{4}$ was crowned with ivy, ${ }^{5}$ himself and his army. But elsewhere in Asia it is said to grow only in Media, for that country seems in a way to surround and join on to the Euxine Sea. ${ }^{6}$ However, ${ }^{7}$ when Harpalus took great pains over and over again to plant it in the gardens of Babylon, and made a special point of it, he failed: since it could not live like the other things introduced from Hellas. The country then does not ${ }^{8}$ admit this plant on account of the climate, and it grudgingly admits the box and the lime; for even these give much trouble to those engaged in the gardens. It also produces some peculiar trees and shrubs. And in general the lands of the East and South appear to have peculiar plants, as they have peculiar animals ; for instance, Media and Persia have, among many others, that which is called the 'Median' or 'Persian apple ' (citron). ${ }^{9}$ This tree ${ }^{10}$ has a leaf like to and almost identical with that of the andrachne, but it has thorns like those of the pear ${ }^{11}$ or white-thorn, which however are smooth and very sharp and strong. The 'apple' is not
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 єis íдátıa тє $\hat{\eta}$ тò $\mu \eta \hat{\lambda о \nu ~ a ̈ к о т а ~ \delta \iota а т \eta \rho \epsilon i ̂ . ~ \chi \rho \eta ́-~}$









入акò̀ каì eैфuסpov каi oủ 入íav $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \tau o ́ v . ~ \phi i \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~$






 каі тท̀ $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta i ́ a \nu$ є̇ $\sigma$ тív.




 тоьô̂б८้ $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \delta \rho и ́ ф а к т о \nu ~ к и ́ к \lambda \omega ~ \pi \epsilon \rho і ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta є ́ \nu-~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. 2-4

eaten, but it is very fragrant, as also is the leaf of the tree. And if the 'apple' is placed among clothes, it keeps them from being moth-caten. It is also uscful when one ${ }^{1}$ has drunk deadly poison; for being given in wine it upsets the stomach and brings up the poison; also for producing sweetness of breath; ${ }^{2}$ for, if one boils the imer part of the 'apple' in a sauce, or squeezes it into the mouth in some other medium, and then inhales it, it makes the breath sweet. The seed is taken from the fruit and sown in spring in carefully tilled beds, and is then watered every fourth or fifth day. And, when it is growing vigorously, ${ }^{3}$ it is transplanted, also in spring, to a soft well-watered place, where the soil is not too fine; for such places it loves. And it bears its 'apples' at all seasons; for when some have been gathered, the flower of others is on the tree and it is ripening others. Of the flowers, as we have said, ${ }^{4}$ those which have, as it were, a distaff ${ }^{5}$ projecting in the middle are fertile, while those that have it not are infertile. It is also sown, like date-palms, in pots ${ }^{6}$ with a hole in them. This tree, as has been said, grows in Persia and Media.
${ }^{7}$ The Indian land has its so-called 'fig-tree' (banyan), which drops its roots from its branches every year, as has been said above ${ }^{8}$; and it drops them, not from the new branches, but from those of last year or even from older ones; these take hold of the earth and make, as it were, a fence about the tree, so that it becomes like a tent, in
${ }^{4}$ 1. 13. 4. ${ }^{5}$ i.e. the pistil.
${ }^{6}$ Plin. 12. 16, fictilibus in vasis, dato per cavernas radicilus spiramento: the ohject, as Plin. explains, was to export it for medical use.
${ }^{7}$ Plin. 12. 22 and $23 . \quad 8$ 1.7.3.
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 $\kappa \alpha i \mu \eta \dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \chi$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$.


 ঠıт $\eta \chi v a i ̂ o \nu$.



 каi ét ét

1 ô̂ conj. W.; aîs UMVAld.
 omitting ral.
 Salm. cf. Plin. l.c.; Strabo 15. 1. 21.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. 4-5

which ${ }^{1}$ men sometimes even live. The roots as they grow are easily distinguished from the branches, being whiter hairy crooked and leafless. ${ }^{2}$ The foliage above is also abundant, and the whole tree is round and exceedingly large. They say that it extends its shade for as much as two furlongs; and the thickness of the stem is in some instances more than sixty ${ }^{3}$ paces, while many specimens are as much as forty ${ }^{3}$ paces through. The leaf is quite as large as a shield, ${ }^{4}$ but the fruit is very small, ${ }^{5}$ only as large as a chick-pea, and it resembles a fig. And this is why the Greeks ${ }^{6}$ named this tree a 'fig-tree.' The fruit is curiously scanty, not only relatively to the size of the tree, but absolutely. The tree also grows near the river Akesines. ${ }^{7}$

There is also another tree ${ }^{8}$ which is very large and has wonderfully sweet and large fruit; it is used for food by the sages of India who wear no clothes.

There is another tree ${ }^{9}$ whose leaf is oblong in shape, like the feathers of the ostrich; this they fasten on to their helmets, and it is about two cubits long.

There is also another ${ }^{10}$ whose fruit is long and not straight, but crooked, and it is sweet to the taste. This causes griping in the stomach and dysentery; wherefore Alexander ordered that it should not be eaten. There is also another ${ }^{11}$ whose fruit is like the fruit of the cornelian cherry.
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 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\lambda i ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.



 єنٌ̉ $\theta a \mu \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon \varsigma, \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ о́ ки́тьбоร.


 картòv סıáфopov. ö $\mu о \iota o \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau а i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \mu v \gamma \delta a \lambda a i ̂ s . ~$


 $\kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda u \phi o s ~ o v ~ т \rho a \chi u ́, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \tau о \mu i ́ a ~ \kappa a i ~ \eta ं \delta o \nu \hat{\eta}$ $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \mu \nu \gamma \delta a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. $\delta$ i'ô каi $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тоѝs є̇кєє̂ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.





${ }^{1}$ Plin. 12. 25.
${ }^{2}$ See Index. Plin. 12. 17-19.
${ }^{3}$ Pistachio-nut. See Index App. (\%). Plin. 12. 25. Nic. Ther. 894.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. 5-8

There are also many more ${ }^{1}$ which are different to those found among the Hellenes, but they have no names. There is nothing surprising in the fact that these trees have so special a character; indeed, as some say, there is hardly a single tree or shrub or herbaceous plant, except quite a few, like those in Hellas.

The ebony ${ }^{2}$ is also peculiar to this country; of this there are two kinds, one with good handsome wood, the other inferior. The better sort is rare, but the inferior one is common. It does not acquire its good colour by being kept, but it is natural to it from the first. The tree is bushy, like laburnum.

Some say that a 'terebinth' ${ }^{3}$ grows there also, others that it is a tree like the terebinth; this in leaf twigs and all other respects resembles that tree, but the fruit is different, being like almonds. In fact they say that this sort of terebinth grows also in Bactria and bears nuts only as big as almonds, inasmuch as they are not large for the size of the tree ${ }^{4}$; and they closely resemble almonds in appearance, except that the shell is not rough; and in palatableness and sweetness they are superior to almonds; wherefore the people of the country use them in preference to almonds.
${ }^{5}$ The trees from which they make their clothes have a leaf like the mulberry, but the whole tree resembles the wild rose. They plant them in the plains in rows, wherefore, when seen from a distance, they look like vines. Some parts also have many

[^184]
## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$. $\chi \in \delta р о т о \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ o ̋ \mu o \imath a ~ т a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi и р о i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ т а i ̂ s ~$
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 II $\lambda \epsilon \iota a ́ \delta o s ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$.



 фи́бוע $̈ \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi i ̀ ~ к о т i ́ \nu o v ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda a ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ~ к а i ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. S-it

date-palms. So much for what come under the heading of 'trees.'

These lands bear also peculiar grains, some like those of leguminous plants, some like wheat and barley. For the chicli-pea lentil and other such plants found in our country do not occur ; but there are others, so that they make similar mashes, and one cannot, they say, tell the difference, unless one has been told. They have however barley wheat ${ }^{1}$ and another kind of wild barler," which makes swect bread and good porridge. When the horses ${ }^{3}$ ate this, at first it proved fatal to them, but by degrees they became accustomed to it mixed with bran and took no hurt.

But above all they sow the cereal called rice, of which they make their mash. This is like rice-wheat, and when bruised makes a sort of porridge, which is easily digested; in its appearance as it grows it is like darnel, and for most of its time of growth it is ${ }^{4}$ in water; however it shoots ${ }^{5}$ up not into an ear, but as it were into a plume, ${ }^{6}$ like the millet and Italian millet. There was another plant ${ }^{7}$ which the Hellenes ${ }^{8}$ called lentil; this is like in appearance to 'ox-horn' (fenugreek), but it is reaped about the setting of the Pleiad.

Moreover this country shews differences in that part of it bears certain things which another part does not; thus the mountain country has the vine and olive and the other fruit-trees; but the olive is barren, ${ }^{9}$ and in its character it is as it were almost between a wild and a cultivated olive, and so it

${ }^{7}$ Phaseolus Miungo; see Index App. (8).
${ }^{8}$ i.e. of Alexander's expedition. ${ }^{9}$ Plin. 12. 14.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. $1 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{I} 3$

is also in its general appearance, ${ }^{1}$ and the leaf is broader than that of the one and narrower than that of the other. So much for the Indian land.

In the comntry called Aria there is a 'thom' 2 on which is found a gum resembling myrrh ${ }^{3}$ in appearance and smell, and this drops when the sun shines on it. There are also many other plants besides those of our land, both in the country and in its rivers. In other parts there is a white 'thorn' ${ }^{4}$ which branches in three, of which they make batons and sticks; its wood is sappy and of loose texture, and they call it the thorn 'of Herakles.'

There is another shrub ${ }^{5}$ as large as a cabbage, whose leaf is like that of the bay in size and shape. And if any animal should eat this, it is certain to die of it. Wherefore, wherever there were horses, ${ }^{6}$ they kept them under control.

In Gedrosia they say that there grows one tree ${ }^{7}$ with a leaf like that of the bay, of which if the beasts or anything else ate, they very shortly died with the same convulsive symptoms as in epilepsy.

And they say that another tree ${ }^{8}$ there is a sort of 'thorn' (spurge), and that this has no leaf and grows from a single root; and on each of its branches it has a very sharp spine, and if these are broken or bruised a quantity of juice flows out, which blinds animals or


* See Index.
${ }_{5}$ Asafoetirta; see Index App. (10). Plin. 12. 33.
${ }^{6}$ i.e. in Alexander's expedition. Probably a verb, such as $\dot{\omega} \sigma \phi$ рaivovio, has dropped out after $\% \pi \pi \frac{1}{}$ (Sch.). Odore equos invitans Plin. l.c.
${ }_{7}$ Nerium odorum; see Index App. (11). cf.4.4. 13 ; Strabo 15. 2. 7 ; Plin. l.c.
${ }^{8}$ Plin. l.c.; Arrian, Anab. 6. 22. 7.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ v $\lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ eैvıa à тoùs 廿vхpoùs $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ то́тоия, каӨámєр кєıтайрıг
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[^187]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. iv. $\mathrm{r}_{3}-\mathrm{v} .2$

even a man, if any drops of it should fall on him. Also they say that in some parts grows a herb under which very small snakes lie coiled up, and that, if anyone treads on these and is bitten, he dies. They also say that, if anyone should eat of unripe dates, he chokes to death, and that this fact was not discovered at first. Now it may be that animals and plants have such properties elsewhere also.

Among the plants that grow in Arabia Syria and India the aromatic plants are somewhat exceptional and distinct from the plants of other lands; for instance, frankincense myrrh cassia balsam of Mecca cinnamon and all other such plants, about which we have spoken at greater length elsewhere. So in the parts towards the east and south there are these special plants and many others besides.

## Of the plants special to northern regions.

V. In the northern regions it is not so, for nothing worthy of record is mentioned except the ordinary trees which love the cold and are found also in our country, as fir oak silver-fir box chestnut lime, as well as other similar trees. There is hardly any other ${ }^{1}$ besides these; but of shrubs there are some which for choice ${ }^{2}$ seek cold regions, as centaury and wormwood, and further those that have medicinal properties in their roots and juices, such as hellebore squirting cucumber scammony, and nearly all those whose roots are gathered. ${ }^{3}$

Some of these grow in Pontus and Thrace, some
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 őрך каі̀ тà Фрúүıа.





 そóvт $\kappa \nu$ каi тávта $\mu \eta \chi а \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ т $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ i \in \rho о-~$

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a \ell$ каi таעтобатн́татаı каі хрךбтаi.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. v. 2-3

about Oeta Parnassus Pelion Ossa and Telethrion, ${ }^{1}$ and in these parts some say that there is great aboundance; so also is there in Arcadia and Laconia, for these districts too produce medicinal plants. But of the aromatic plants none grows in these lands, except the iris ${ }^{2}$ in Illyria on the shores of the Adriatic; for here it is excellent and far superior to that which grows elsewhere ; but in hot places and those which face the south the fragrant plants grow, as if by contrast to the medicinal plants. And the warm places have also the cypress in greater abundance; for instance, Crete Lycia Rhodes, while the prickly cedar grows in the Thracian and the Phrygian mountains.

Of cultivated plants they say that those least able to thrive in cold regions are the bay and myrtle, especially the myrtle, and they give for proof ${ }^{3}$ that on Mount Olympus the bay is abundant, but the myrtle does not occur at all. In Pontus about Panticapaeum neither grows, though they are anxious to grow them and take special pains ${ }^{4}$ to do so for religious purposes. But there are many well grown fig-trees and pomegranates, which are given shelter; pears and apples are abundant in a great variety of forms and are excellent. These are springfruiting trees, except that they may fruit later here than elsewhere. Of wild trees there are oak elm manna-ash and the like (while there is no fir silverfir nor Aleppo pine, nor indeed any resinous tree). But the wood of such trees ${ }^{5}$ in this country is damp and much inferior to that of Sinope, so that they do not much use it except for outdoor purposes. These
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 137.
${ }^{4}$ Plin., l.c., says that Mithridates male this attempt.
${ }^{5}$ i.e. oak, etc.
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are the trees of Pontus, or at least of certain districts of that country.

In the land of Propontis myrtle and bay are found in many places on the mountains. Perhaps however some trees should be put down as special to particular places. For each district, as has been said, has different trees, differing not only in that the same trees occur but of variable quality, but also as to producing or not producing some particular tree. For instance, Tmolus and the Mysian Olympus have the hazel and chestnut ${ }^{1}$ in abundance, and also the vine apple and pomegranate; while Mount Ida has some of these not at all and others only in small quantity; and in Macedonia and on the Pierian Olympus some of these occur, but not others; and in Euboea and Magnesia the sweet chestnut ${ }^{1}$ is common, but none of the others is found; nor yet on Pelion or the other mountains of that region.

Again it is only a narrow extent of country which produces wood fit for shipbuilding at all, namely in Europe the Macedonian region, and certain parts ${ }^{2}$ of Thrace and Italy; in Asia Cilicia Sinope and Amisus, and also the Mysian Olympus, and Mount Ida; but in these parts it is not abundant. For Syria has Syrian cedar, and they use this for their galleys.

The like is true of trees which love water and the riverside; in the Adriatic region they say that the plane is not found, except near the Shrine of Diomedes, ${ }^{3}$ and that it is scarce throughout Italy ${ }^{4}$; yet there are many large rivers in both countries, in spite of which the localities do not seem to
${ }^{3}$ On one of the islands of Diomedes, off the coast of Apulia; now called Isole di Tremiti. cf. Plin. 12. 6.
${ }^{4}$ cf. 2.8.1 n.
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 є́тє $\rho a$ סè $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \zeta \omega$ ס́́v $\delta \rho a$.
 $\mu$ èv каi коьvóтата $\pi \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ то́ тє фи̂коs каì тò
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. v. 6-vi. 2

produce this tree. At any rate those which King Dionysius the Elder planted at Rhegium in the park, and which are now in the grounds of the wrestling school and are thought much of, ${ }^{1}$ have not been able to attain any size.

Some of these regions however have the plane in abundance, and others the elm and willow, others the tamarisk, such as the district of Mount Haemus. Wherefore such trees we must, as was said, take to be peculiar to their districts, whether they are wild or cultivated. However it might well be that the country should be able to produce some of these trees, if they were carefully cultivated: this we do in fact find to be the case with some plants, as with some animals.

## Of the aquatic plants of the Mediterranean.

VI. However the greatest difference in the natural character itself of trees and of tree-like plants generally we must take to be that mentioned already, namely, that of plants, as of animals, some belong to the earth, some to water. Not only in swamps, lakes and rivers, but even in the sea there are some tree-like growths, and in the ocean there are even trees. In our own sea all the things that grow are small, and hardly any of them rise above the surface ${ }^{2}$; but in the ocean we find the same kinds rising above the surface, and also other larger trees.

Those found in our own waters are as follows: most conspicuous of those which are of general occurrence are seaweed ${ }^{3}$ oyster-green and the like; most obvious of those peculiar to certain parts are the ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 13. 135.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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sea-plants called 'fir' 'fig' 'oak' 'vine' 'palm.' 1 Of these some are found close to land, others in the deep sea, others equally in both positions. And some have many forms, as seaweed, some but one. Thus of seaweed there is the broad-leaved kind, riband-like ${ }^{2}$ and green in colour, which some call 'green-weed' and others 'girdle-weed.' This has a root which on the outside is shaggy, but the inner part is made of several coats, and it is fairly long and stout, like kromyogeteion (a kind of onion).
${ }^{3}$ Another kind has hair-like leaves like fennel, and is not green but pale yellow; nor has it a stalk, but it is, as it were, erect in itself; this grows on oyster-shells and stones, not, like the other, attached to the bottom; but both are plants of the shore, and the hair-leaved kind grows close to land, and sometimes is merely washed over by the sea ${ }^{4}$; while the other is found further out.

Again in the ocean about the pillars of Heracles there is a kind ${ }^{5}$ of marvellous size, they say, which is larger, about a palmsbreadth. ${ }^{6}$ This is carried into the inner sea along with the current from the outer sea, and they call it 'sea-leek' (riband-weed); and in this sea in some parts it grows higher than a man's waist. It is said to be annual and to come up at the end of spring, and to be at its best in summer, and to wither in autumn, while in winter it perishes and is thrown up on shore. Also, they say, all the other plants of the sea become weaker and feebler in winter. These then are, one may say, the
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sea-plants which are found near the shore. But the 'seaweed of occan,' which is dived for by the sponge-fishers, belongs to the open sea.
${ }^{1}$ In Crete there is an abundant and luxuriant growth ${ }^{2}$ on the rocks close to land, with which they dye not only their ribbons, but also wool and clothes. And, as long as the dye is fresh, the colour is far more beautiful than the purple dye ; it occurs on the north coast in greater abundance and fairer, as do the sponges and other such things.
${ }^{3}$ There is another kind like dog's-tooth grass; the leaf is very like, the root is jointed and long, and grows out sideways, like that of that plant; it has also a reedy stalk like the same plant, and in size it is much smaller than ordinary seaweed.
${ }^{4}$ Another kind is the oyster-green, which has a leaf green in colour, but broad and not unlike lettuce leaves; but it is more wrinkled ${ }^{5}$ and as it were crumpled. It has no stalk, but from a single starting-point grow many of the kind, and again from another starting-point. These things grow on stones close to land and on oyster-shells. These are about all the smaller kinds.
${ }^{6}$ The 'sea-oak' and 'sea-fir' both belong to the shore; they grow on stones and oyster-shells, having no roots, but being attached to them like limpets. ${ }^{7}$ Both have more or less fleshy leaves; but the leaf of the 'fir' grows much longer and stouter, and is ${ }^{\text {s }}$
${ }^{4}$ Plin. 13. 137; 27. 56 ; Bpúov conj. Sical. from G and Plin. l.c.; Bótpuov UAld.H.
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not unlike the pods of pulses, but is hollow inside and contains nothing in the 'pods.' 1 That of the 'oak' is slender and more like the tamarisk; the colour of both is purplish. The whole shape of the 'fir' is erect, both as to the stem and the branches, but that of the 'oak' is less straight and the plant is broader. Both are found both with many stems and with one, ${ }^{2}$ but the 'fir' is more apt to have a single stem. The branchlike outgrowths in the 'fir' are long straight and spreading, while in the 'oak' they are shorter less straight and closer. The whole size of either is about a cubit or rather more, but in general that of the 'fir' is the longer. The 'oak' is useful to women for dyeing wool. To the branches are attached certain creatures with shells, and below they are also found attached to the stem itself, which in some cases they completely cover; ${ }^{3}$ and among these are found millepedes and other such creatures, including the one which resembles a cuttlefish.

These plants occur close to land and are easy to observe ; but some report ${ }^{4}$ that there is another 'sea oak' which even bears fruit and has a useful 'acorn,' and that the sponge fishers ${ }^{5}$ and divers told them that there were other large kinds.
${ }^{6}$ The 'sea-vine' grows under both conditions, both close to land and in the deep sea; but the deep sea form has larger leaves branches and fruit.
${ }^{7}$ The 'sea-fig' is leafless and not of large size, and the colour of the bark is red.
 text uncertain: the next clause has no connecting particle.

+ Plin. 13. 137.
5 akiveous, a rox nihili: perhaps conceals a proper name,

${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13. $138 .{ }^{7}$ Plin. l.c.
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 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \grave{a} \rho \sigma \pi о \gamma \gamma ı a ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~ a i ́ ~ a ̀ \pi \lambda v \sigma i ́ a \iota ~ к а \lambda о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu а \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$


 à $\pi$ о入ı $\theta$ oú $\mu \in \nu a$ таv̂та，oîov $\theta \hat{v} \mu a \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta a \phi \nu о є \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$


${ }^{1}$ wá $\omega \omega \theta \in \nu$. ，à $\pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o u g a t$ probably beyond certain re－ storation：I have added кal before $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \theta \theta \nu$（from（i），altered $\kappa \nu \kappa \lambda \omega \theta \notin \nu \nu$ to кúкл $\omega$ ，put a stop before каi кส́т $\omega \theta \in \nu$ ，and restored àma入入áтточбaı（Ald．H．）．2 cf．6．4．S：7．8．3．
${ }^{3} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \alpha$ ，i．e．towards the gromal．cf．Diosc． 3. 68 and 69，where Plin．（ 27.13 ）renders（ $\phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ）ย̇толєрьклâtaı ad terram infracta．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vi. ro-vir. i

The 'sea-palm' is a deep-sea plant, but with a very short stem, and the branches which spring from it are almost straight; and these under water are not set all round the stem, like the twigs which grow from the branches, but extend, as it were, quite flat in one direction, and are uniform; though occasionally they are irregular. ${ }^{1}$ The character of these branches or outgrowths to some extent resembles the leares of thistle-like spinous plants, such as the sow-thistles ${ }^{2}$ and the like, except that they are straight and not bent over ${ }^{3}$ like these, and have their leaves eaten away by the brine; in the fact that the central stalk ${ }^{4}$ at least runs through the whole, they resemble these, and so does the general appearance. The colour both of the branches and of the stalks and of the plant as a whole is a deep red or scarlet.

Such are the plants found in this sea. For sponges and what are called aplysiai ${ }^{5}$ and such-like growths are of a different character.

Of the aquatic plents of the 'outer sea' (i.e. Allantic, Persion Gulf, etç.).
VII. In the outer sea near the pillars of Heracles grows the 'sea-leek,' as has been said ${ }^{6}$; also the well known ${ }^{7}$ plants which turn to stone, as thyma, the plants like the bay and others. And in the sea called the Red Sea ${ }^{8}$ a little above Coptos ${ }^{9}$

1 i.e. midrib.
${ }^{5}$ Some kind of sponge. à $\pi \lambda$ víau conj. R. Const.; $\pi \lambda \hat{u} \sigma t a t$ UAld.; $\pi \lambda$ voial M ; $\pi \lambda$ ouvíal V . ${ }^{6}$ 4.6. 4.
${ }^{7}$ т $\alpha \hat{1} \tau \alpha: c f .3 .7 .3$; 3. 18. 11.
${ }^{8}$ Plin. 13. 139.
${ }^{9}$ Kóntou conj. Scal.; кб́тоu MIV; кб́入ляч UAld.; Cupto Ge and Plin. l.c.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vii. r-3

in Arabia there grows on the land no tree except that called the 'thirsty' acacia, and even this is scarce by reason of the heat and the lack of water; for it never rains except at intervals of four or five years, and then the rain comes down heavily and is soon over.
${ }^{1}$ But there are plants in the sea, which they call 'bay' and 'olive' (white mangrove ${ }^{2}$ ). In foliage the 'bay' is like the aria (holm-oak), the 'olive' like the real olive. ${ }^{3}$ The latter has a fruit like olives, and it also discharges a gum, ${ }^{4}$ from which the physicians ${ }^{4}$ compound a drug ${ }^{5}$ for stauching blood, which is extremely effective. And when there is more rain than usual, mushrooms grow in a certain place close to the sea, which are turned to stone by the sun. The sea is full of beasts, and produces sharks ${ }^{6}$ in great numbers, so that diving is impossible.

In the gulf called 'the Gulf of the Heroes,' ${ }^{7}$ to which the Egyptians go down, there grow a 'bay,' an 'olive,' and a 'thyme'; these however are not green, but like stones so far as they project above the sea, but in leaves and shoots they are like their green namesakes. In the 'thyme' the colour of the flower is also conspicuous, looking as though the flower had not yet completely developed. These treelike growths are about three cubits in height.
${ }^{s}$ Now some, referring to the occasion when there was an expedition of those returning from India sent out by Alexander, report that the plants which grow in the sea, so long as they are kept damp, have a colour
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## THEOPHRASTUS
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 àторрєєì.
 $\phi \cup \lambda \lambda a ~ \mu \grave{v} \nu$ тòv ठѐ картò̀ öभoוov é $\chi \in \ell \nu$ тоîs $\theta$ е́ $\rho \mu о г$.

Пєрì $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma i ́ \delta a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ к а т a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu K a \rho \mu a v i ́ a \nu, ~}^{\text {K }}$




## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vı. 3-5

like sea-weeds, but that when they are taken out and put in the sun, they shortly become like salt. They also say that rushes of stone grow close to the sea, which none could distinguish at sight from real rushes. They also report a more marvellous thing than this; they say that there are certain tree-like growths which in colour resemble an ox-horn, but whose branches are rough, and red at the tip; these break if they are doubled up, and some of them, if they are cast on a fire, become red-hot like iron, but recover when they cool and assume their original colour.
${ }^{1}$ On the islands which get covered by the tide they say that great trees ${ }^{2}$ grow, as big as planes or the tallest poplars, and that it came to pass that, when the tide ${ }^{3}$ came up, while the other things were entirely buried, the branches of the biggest trees projected and they fastened the stern cables to them, and then, when the tide ebbed again, fastened them to the roots. And that the tree has a leaf like that of the bay, and a flower like gilliflowers in colour and smell, and a fruit the size of that of the olive, which is also very fragrant. And that it does not shed its leaves, and that the Hower and the fruit form together in autumn and are shed in spring.
${ }^{4}$ Also they say there are plants which actually grow in the sea, which are evergreen and have a fruit like lupins.
${ }^{5}$ In Persia in the Carmanian district, where the tide is felt, there are trees ${ }^{6}$ of fair size like the andrachne in shape and in leaves; and they bear much fruit like
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${ }^{1}$ Plin. l.c. Sicco litore radicibus nuelis polyporzm modo complexae steriles arenas aspectantur: he appears to have had a fuller text.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vir. 5-7

in colour to almonds on the outside, but the inside is coiled up as though the kernels were all united. ${ }^{1}$ These trees are all eaten away up to the middle by the sea and are held up by their roots, so that they look like a cuttle-fish. For one may see this at ebb-tide. And there is no rain at all in the district, but certain channels are left, along which they sail, and which are part of the sea. Which, some think, makes it plain that the trees derive nourishment from the sea and not from fresh water, except what they draw up with their roots from the land. And it is reasonable to suppose that this too is brackish; for the roots do not run to any depth. In general they say that the trees which grow in the sea and those which grow on the land and are overtaken by the tide are of the same kind, and that those which grow in the sea are small and look like seaweed, while those that grow ${ }^{2}$ on land are large and green and have a fragrant flower and a fruit like a lupin.

In the island of Tylos, ${ }^{3}$ which is situated in the Arabian gulf, they say that on the east side there is such a number of trees when the tide goes out that they make a regular fence. All these are in size as large as a fig-tree, the flower is exceedingly fragrant, and the fruit, which is not edible, is like in appearance to the lupin. They say that the island also produces the 'wool-bearing' tree (cotton-plant) in abundance. This has a leaf like that of the vine, but small, and bears no fruit; but the vessel in which the 'wool' is contained is as large as a spring apple,
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vil. 7-vin. i

and closed, but when it is ripe, it unfolds and puts forth ${ }^{1}$ the 'wool,' of which they weave their fabrics, some of which are cheap and some very expensive.

This tree is also found, as was said, ${ }^{2}$ in India as well as in Arabia. They say that there are other trees ${ }^{3}$ with a Hower like the gilliflower, but scentless ${ }^{4}$ and in size ${ }^{5}$ four times as large as that flower. And that there is another tree ${ }^{6}$ with many leaves ${ }^{\text {F }}$ like the rose, and that this closes at night, but opens at sumrise, and by noon is completely unfolded; and at evening again it closes by degrees and remains shut at night, and the natives say that it goes to sleep. Also that there are date-palms on the island and vines and other fruit-trees, including evergreen ${ }^{s}$ figs. Also that there is water from heaven, but that they do not use it for the fruits, but that there are many springs on the island, from which they water everything, and that this is more beneficial ${ }^{9}$ to the corn and the trees. Wherefore, even when it rains, they let this water over the fields, ${ }^{10}$ as though they were washing away the rain water. Such are the trees as so far observed which grow in the outer sea.

Of the plants of rivers, marshes, and lalies, especially in Eyypt.
VIII. Next we must speak of plants which live in rivers marshes and lakes. Of these there are three classes, trees, plants of 'herbaceous' 11 character, and

[^202]
## THEOPHRASTUS




 $\pi о \tau а \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau o ́ т \omega \nu$.







$\Sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu$ ' $\delta \in$ каі таи̂та коьvà та́vт $\omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тота-







 єìvar трía таиิтa, ó tє та́тироs каi тò кадоú$\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ бápı каi трі́тоע ò $\mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota о \nu$ ка入ойбь.




 pi弓as єis тòv $\pi \eta \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \theta \iota \in i \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma \kappa \alpha i$ тvкvás,

${ }^{1} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ үоиิv к.т. $\lambda .:$ text probably defective; what follows appears to relate to $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi o \iota \omega \delta \eta$.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. viil. i-3

plants growing in clumps. By 'herbaceous' I mean here such plants as the marsh celery and the like; by 'plants growing in clumps' I mean reeds galingale phleo rush sedge-which are common to almost all rivers and such situations.

And in some such places are found brambles Christ's thorn and other trees, such as willow abele plane. Some of these are water plants to the extent of being submerged, while some project a little from the water; of some again the roots and a small part of the stem are under water, but the rest of the body is altogether above it. This is the case with willow alder plane lime, and all water-loving trees.

These too are common to almost all rivers, for they grow even in the Nile. However the plane is not abundant by rivers, while the abele is even more scarce, and the manna-ash and ash are commonest. At any rate of those ${ }^{1}$ that grow in Egypt the list is too long to enumerate separately; however, to speak generally, they are all edible and have sweet flavours. But they differ in sweetness, and we may distinguish also three as the most useful for food, namely the papyrus, the plant called sari, and the plant which they call mnasion.
${ }^{2}$ The papyrus does not grow in deep water, but only in a depth of about two cubits, and sometimes shallower. The thickness of the root is that of the wrist of a stalwart man, and the length above four cubits ${ }^{3}$; it grows above the ground itself, throwing down slender matted roots into the mud, and producing above the stalks which give it its name 'papyrus' ; these are three-cornered and about ten
${ }^{2}$ Plin. 13. 71-73.
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 $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi є \tau a \iota \chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha a \nu \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ т $\eta \nu \in i \varsigma ~ т \rho о ф \dot{\nu} \nu$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. viri. $3^{-6}$

cubits ${ }^{1}$ long, having a plume which is useless and weak, and no fruit whatever; and these stalks the plant sends up at many points. They use the roots instead of wood, not only for burning, but also for making a great variety of articles; for the wood is abundant and good. The 'papyrus' itself ${ }^{2}$ is useful for many purposes; for they make boats from it, and from the rind they weare sails mats a kind of raiment coverlets ropes and many other things. Most familiar to foreigners are the papyrus-rolls made of it ; but above all the plant also is of very great use in the way of food. ${ }^{3}$ For all the natives chew the papyrus both raw boiled and roasted; they swallow the juice and spit out the quid. Such is the papyrus and such its uses. It grows also in Syria about the lake in which grows also sweetflag ; and Antigonus made of it the cables for his ships.
${ }^{4}$ The sari grows in the water in marshes and plains, when the river has left them; it has a hard twisted root, and from it grow what they call the saria ${ }^{5}$; these are about two cubits long and as thick as a man's thumb; this stalk too is threecornered, like the papyrus, and has similar foliage. This also they chew, spitting out the quid; and smiths use the root, for it makes excellent charcoal, because the wood is hard.

Mnasion is herbaceous, so that it has no use except for food.
${ }^{1}$ Sérca $\pi \dot{n} \chi \in t s: ~ \tau \in \tau p a \pi n ̃ \chi \in i s ~ M S S$. The two numbers seem to have changed places (Bartels ap. Sch.). of. Plin. l.c.
${ }^{2}$ i.e. the stalk.
${ }^{3}$ cf. Diod. 1. 80. ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 13. 128.
${ }^{5}$ i.e. stalks, like those of the papyrus.

## THEOPHRASTUS

Kaì тà $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ү $\lambda \cup \kappa и ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau a ~ \tau a \hat{\tau \tau a ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota . ~}$




 тà үvעаıкєía aùtề каi т pòs тà ката́үцата.










 трıáкоута. тò $\delta$ è ar $\nu \theta$ os $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \eta ́ \kappa \omega \nu о \varsigma$,






${ }^{1}$ Ottelia alismoeides. See Index App. (18).
${ }^{2}$ т $\alpha i \bar{\tau} \alpha \ldots \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ conj. W. after Sch.; I have also transposed the two sentences, after Sch. The whole passage in [] (which is omitted by G) is apparently either au interpolation




## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vim. 6-7

Such are the plants which excel in sweetness of taste. There is also another plant ${ }^{1}$ which grows in the marshes and lakes, but which does not take hold of the ground; in character it is like a lily, but it is more leafy, and has its leaves opposite to one another, as it were in a double row; the colour is a deep green. Physicians use it for the complaints of women and for fractures.

Now these plants grow in the river, unless the stream has thrown them up on land; it sometimes happens that they are borne down the stream, and that then other plants grow from them. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{3}$ But the 'Egyptian bean' grows in the marshes and lakes; the length of its stalk at longest is four cubits, it is as thick as a man's finger, and resembles a pliant ${ }^{4}$ reed without joints. Inside it has tubes which run distinct from one another right through, like a honey-comb: on this is set the 'head,' which is like a round wasps' nest, and in each of the cells is a 'bean,' which slightly projects from it; at most there are thirty of these. The flower is twice as large as a poppy's, and the colour is like a rose, of a deep shade; the 'head' is above the water. Large leaves grow at the side of each plant, equal ${ }^{5}$ in size to a Thessalian hat ${ }^{6}$; these have a stalk exactly like that ${ }^{7}$ of the plant. If one of the 'beans' is crushed, you find the bitter substance coiled up, of which the
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 18. 121 and 122.
${ }^{4}$ ma入aк@ Ald.H.G Plin. l.c. Athen. 3. 2 cites the passage with $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \bar{\varphi}$.
${ }^{5}$ Y $\sigma \alpha$ conj. W. ; каl Ald.
${ }^{6} \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ conj. Sch. from Diosc. 2. $100 ; \pi i \lambda \omega$ Ald.H.; oi $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \sigma o \iota$ are mentioned below (§9) without explanation. The comparison is omitted by G and Plin. l.c.

7 i.e. that which carries the $\kappa \omega \delta \dot{\sim}{ }^{\circ}$.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vim. 7-9

pilos ${ }^{2}$ is made. So much for the fruit. The root is thicker than the thickest reed, and is made up of distinct tubes, like the stalk. "They eat it both raw boiled and roasted, and the people of the marshes make this their food. It mostly grows of its own accord; however they also sow ${ }^{3}$ it in the mud, having first well mixed the seed with chaff, so that it may be carried down and remain in the ground without being rotted; and so they prepare the 'bean' fields, and if the plant once takes hold it is permanent. For the root is strong and not unlike that of reeds, except that it is prickly on the surface. Wherefore the crocodile avoids it, lest he may strike his eye on it, since he has not sharp sight. This plant also grows in Syria and in parts of Cilicia, but these countries cannot ripen it; also about Torone in Chalcidice in a certain lake of small size; and this lake ripens it perfectly and matures its fruit.
${ }^{4}$ The plant called the lotos (Nile water-lily) grows chiefly in the plains when the land is inundated. The character of the stalk of this plant is like that of the 'Egyptian bean,' and so are the 'hat-like' leaves, ${ }^{5}$ except that they are smaller and slenderer. And the fruit ${ }^{6}$ grows on the stalk in the same way as that of the 'bean.' The flower is white, resembling in the narrowness of its petals those of the lily, ${ }^{7}$ but there are many petals growing close one upon another. When the sun sets, these close ${ }^{s}$ and cover up the 'head,' but with sunrise they open and
${ }^{5}$ cf. 4. 8. 7.
${ }^{6}$ карлìs conj. W.; $\lambda \omega \tau$ is MSS. Possibly the fruit was specially called $\lambda \omega \tau$ ós.
${ }_{7}$ cf. Hdt. 2. 92 ; Diosc. 4. 113.
8 бún, $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \nu ́ \in i$ conj. St.; $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \nu \in \iota$ MV; $\sigma \nu \mu \mu v ́ \eta ~ U ; ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu u ́ \eta ~$ (omitting кal) Ald.H.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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 11 єîval tò v̈ $\delta \omega \rho$. tàs $\delta$ è kwठ́vas taútas oi Aiqú-













${ }^{1}$ cf. Diosc. l.c. ${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 2. 19. 1; Plin. 13. 109.
${ }^{3}$ ó ôias conj. W. from Plin. l.c.; ? ỏ olias ẅpas.
${ }^{4}<\hat{\partial} \nu>$ add. W.
${ }^{5}$ кé $\lambda \cup \emptyset о$ i.e. fruit: картóv i.e. seeds.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. vin. 9-12

appear above the water. This the plant does until the 'head' is matured and the flowers have fallen off. ${ }^{1}$ The size of the 'head' is that of the largest poppy, and it has grooves all round it in the same way as the poppy, but the fruit is set closer in these. This is like millet. ${ }^{2}$ In the Euphrates they say that the 'head' and the flowers sink and go under water in the evening ${ }^{3}$ till midnight, and sink to a considerable depth; for one can not even reach them by plunging one's hand in; and that after this, when dawn comes round, they rise and go on rising towards day-break, being ${ }^{4}$ visible above the water when the sun appears; and that then the plant opens its flower, and, after it is open, it still rises; and that it is a considerable part which projects above the water. These 'heads' the Egyptians heap together and leave to decay, and, when the 'pod's has decayed, they wash the 'head ' in the river and take out the 'fruit,' ${ }^{5}$ and, having dried and pounded ${ }^{6}$ it, they make loaves of it, which they use for food. The root of the lotos is called korsion, ${ }^{7}$ and it is round and about the size of a quince; it is enclosed in a black 'bark,' like the shell of a chestnut. The inside is white; but when it is boiled or roasted, it becomes of the colour of the yolk of an egg and is sweet to taste. The root is also eaten raw, though it is best when boiled in water or roasted. ${ }^{8}$ Such are the plants found in water.

In sandy places which are not ${ }^{9}$ far from the river

## ${ }^{6}$ тtioavtes : cf. Hdt. 2. $92 .{ }^{7}$ cf. Strabo 17. 2. 4.





${ }_{9}$ où was apparently not in Pliny's text ; (21.88.)

## THEOPHRASTUS
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. viir. iz-ix. i

there grows under ground the thing called matinathalle ${ }^{1}$; this is round in shape and as large as a medlar, but has no stone and no bark. It sends out leaves like those of galingale. These the people of the country collect and boil in beer made from barley, and they become extremely sweet, and all men use them as sweetmeats.

All the things that grow in such places may be eaten by oxen and sheep, but there is one kind of plant? which grows in the lakes and marshes which is specially good for food: they graze their cattle on it when it is green, and also dry it and give it in the winter to the oxen after their work; and these keep in good condition when they have no other ${ }^{3}$ kind of food.

There is also another plant ${ }^{4}$ which comes up of its own accord among the corn ; this, when the harvest is cleared, they crush slightly ${ }^{5}$ and lay during the winter on ${ }^{6}$ moist ground; when it shoots, they cut and dry it and give this also to the cattle and horses and beasts of burden with the fruit which forms on it. The fruit in size is as large as sesame, but round and green in colour, and exceedingly good. Such one might take to be specially remarkable plants of Egypt.
IX. Every river seems to bear some peculiar plant, just as does each part of the dry land. ${ }^{7}$ For not even the water-chestnut grows in all rivers nor everywhere, but only in marshy rivers, and only in those whose depth is not more or not much more than five cubits,
${ }^{4}$ Corchorus trilocularis. See Index App. (20).
${ }^{5}$ (ix seems to have rear útootioavces (leviter pinsentes); ímoлт $\dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~W}$. with Ald.H.
${ }^{6}$ eis conj. W.; $\begin{aligned} & \text { ǹे } \\ & \text { Ald. }\end{aligned}$
${ }^{7}$ Plin. 21. 98 ; Diosc. 4. 15.

## THEOPHRASTUS



 каі кри́ттоута тòv т $\quad i ́ \beta o \lambda o \nu$, ó סè tрíßoдos aủtòs
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 $\pi \lambda \in i ̂ \sigma \tau a \quad \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a$ тà ठè каì тарад入áтто⿱та，
 $\tau \omega \pi \rho \circ i o \hat{u} \sigma \iota \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i ̂ a ~ \mu \kappa \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \mu \pi a \nu$

 $\kappa а v \lambda о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho а \beta \lambda \lambda а \sigma \tau \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \cdot$ каi үà $\rho$

 ä̀入a катà $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a \beta \lambda c i \sigma \tau \eta \mu a ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$





 3 фaбì סè oi $\mu$ èv єîval є́т
 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ єỉvat тô̂ кav入ô̂．тои̂тo $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ov̂̀ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon-$


 коьขòv ка入ápov каì ä入入шข．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. IX. I-3

as the Strymon. (In rivers of such a depth grow also reeds and other plants.) No part of it projects from the water except just the leaves; these float as it were and conceal the 'chestnut,' which is itself under water and bends down towards the bottom. The leaf is broad, like that of the elm, and has a very long stalk. The stem is thickest at the top, whence spring the leaves and the fruit; below it gets thimner down to the root. It has springing from it hair-like growths, most of which are parallel to each other, but some are irregular; below, starting from the root, they are large, but, as one gets higher up the plant, they become smaller, so that those at the top are quite small and there is a great contrast between the root and the top where the fruit grows. The plant also has on the same stalk several sidegrowths; of these there are three or four, and the largest is always that which is nearer to the root, the next largest is the one next above it, and so on in proportion : this sidegrowth is like another stalk, but slenderer than the original one, though like that it has leaves and fruit. The fruit is black and extremely hard. The size and character of the root are matter for further enquiry. Such is the character of this plant. It grows from the fruit which falls, and begins to grow in spring. Some say that it is annual, others that the root persists for a time, and that from it grows the new stalk. This then is matter for enquiry. However quite peculiar to this plant is the hair-like character of the growths which spring from the stalk; for these are neither leaves nor stalk; though reeds and other things have also sidegrowths.

## THEOPHRASTUS


 катà тov̀s тótous, oiov $\epsilon i$ тà $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ én $\epsilon \iota a$ тà $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$ סıaıpєî̀ סè каi $\pi o i ̂ a ~ \tau a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v a$ т то́тєроע.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. x. $1-2$

Of the plants peculiar to the lake of Orhomenos (Lake Copaïs), especially its reeds; and of reeds in general.
X. Plants peculiar to particular places must be considered separately, while a general account may be given of those which are generally distributed. ${ }^{1}$ But even the latter must be classified according to locality; thus some belong to marshes, others to lakes, others to rivers, or again others may be common to all kinds of locality : we must also distinguish which occur alike ${ }^{2}$ in wet and in dry ground, and which only in wet ground, marking these off in a general way from those mentioned above as being most impartial. ${ }^{3}$

Now in the lake near Orchomenos grow the following trees and woody plants: willow goat-willow water-lily reeds (both that used for making pipes and the other kind) galingale phleos bulrush; and also 'moon-flower' duckweed and the plant called marestail : as for the plant called water-chickweed the greater part of it grows under water. ${ }^{4}$

Now of these most are familiar: the goat-willow water-lily 'moon-flower' duckweed and marestail probably grow also elsewhere, but are called by different names. Of these we must speak. The goat-willow is of shrubby habit and like the chastetree: its leaf resembles that leaf in shape, but it is soft like that of the apple, ${ }^{5}$ and downy. The bloom ${ }^{6}$ is like that of the abele, but smaller, and it bears no fruit. It grows chiefly on the floating islands; (for here too there are floating islands, as in the marshes
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## THEOPHRASTUS


 тоьоิтор.














 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ каì $\sigma \nu \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa а ́ \rho \pi \iota о \nu, к а т а к \lambda i ́ \nu \epsilon-$ $\sigma \theta a i ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ v ̌ i ́ \omega \rho ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v, ~ \tau e ́ \lambda o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ m \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ тò $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \grave{\nu}$ є̉к $\chi \in i \bar{\nu}$.

Kартофорєî̀ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda i ́ \mu \nu \eta$ тои̂то каi $\tau o ̀$



${ }^{1}$ er $\lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$. . . Ur $\delta \alpha \tau o s:$ sense doubtful. G. seems to render a different reading.
${ }^{2}$ ie. the flower-head, which, as well as the plant, was called $\sigma \delta \delta \eta$.
${ }^{3} \mu \eta \kappa \omega \nu$ can hardly be right: suspected by $H$.
${ }^{4} c f$. Athens. 14. 64.
${ }^{5}$ ic. petals.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. x. 2-4

of Egypt, in Thesprotia, and in other lakes). When it grows under water, it is smaller. ${ }^{1}$ Such is the goat-willow.

The water-lily ${ }^{2}$ is in shape like the poppy. ${ }^{3}$ For the top of it has this character, being shaped like the pomegranate flower, ${ }^{4}$ but it is longer in proportion to the size of the plant. Its size in fact as a whole is that of an apple; but it is not bare, having round it white membranes, ${ }^{5}$ and attached to these on the outside are grass-green 'leaves,' ${ }^{6}$ like those of roses when they are still in bud, and of these there are four ; when it is opened it shews its seeds, which are red; in shape however they are not like pomegranate ${ }^{7}$ seeds, but round small and not much longer than millet seeds; the taste is insipid, like that of wheat-grains. It ripens in summer and has a long stalk. The flower is like a rose-bud, but larger, almost twice as large. Now this and the leaf float on the water; but later, when the bloom is over and the fruit-case ${ }^{8}$ has formed, they say that it sinks deeper into the water, and finally reaches the bottom and sheds its fruit.

Of the plants of the lake they say that water-lily sedge and phleos bear fruit, and that that of the sedge is black, and in size like that of the water-lily. The fruit of phleos is what is called the 'plume,' ${ }^{9}$
${ }_{6}^{6}$ i.e. sepals.
${ }^{7}$ póaus conj. Bod. from Nic. Ther. 887 and Schol.; pit UMV Ald. H .
${ }^{8}$ жєрька́ртьov conj. W.; катака́ртıоข MSS. ката- probably due to катак $\lambda(\nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 suggests that further description of the fruit has dropped out, and that the clause $\ddagger$. .. kovias does not refer to the fruit.

## THEOPHRASTUS




 ă $\rho \rho \in \nu$ ả $\chi \rho \in i ̃ o \nu$.
 $\sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau$ ย́ov.









 àmò тồ $\pi \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ́ \delta o v s$ є́тє́pa $\lambda \in \pi \tau \grave{\eta}$ катà $\pi \lambda a ́ y เ o \nu$,
 каi ó $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \phi ’ ~ o ̂ ̉ ~ o ́ ~ к а \nu \lambda o ́ s . ~ a ̉ \phi i ́ n \sigma \iota ~ \delta e ́ ~ к а i ~$ $\epsilon i \varsigma \beta a ́ \theta o s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ т т о \nu ~ \rho ं i \zeta a s, ~ \delta i ’ ~ o ̂ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. x, $4^{-6}$

and it is used as a soap-powder. ${ }^{1}$ It is something like a cake, soft and reddish. Moreover the 'female' plant both of phleos and sedge is barren, but useful for basket-work," while the 'male' is useless.

Duckweed 'moon-flower' and marestail require further investigation.

Most peculiar of these plants is the bulrush, both in being leafless and in not having so many roots as the others; for the others tend downwards quite as much as upwards, and shew their strength in that direction; and especially is this true of galingale, and also of dog's-tooth grass; wherefore these plants too and all others like them are hard to destroy. The root of galingale exceeds all the others in the diversity of characters which it shews, in that part of it is stout and fleshy, part slender and woody. So also is this plant peculiar in its way of shooting and originating; for from the trunk-like stock ${ }^{3}$ grows another slender root ${ }^{4}$ sideways, and on this again forms the fleshy part which contains the shoot from which the stalk springs. ${ }^{5}$ In like manner it also sends out roots downwards; wherefore of all plants it is hardest to kill, and troublesome to get rid of.
(Dog's-tooth grass grows in almost the same way from the joints; for the roots are jointed, and from each joint it sends a shoot upwards and a root downwards. The growth of the spinous plant called corn-thistle ${ }^{6}$ is similar, but it is not reedy and its
${ }^{2}$ ef. Hdt. 3. $98 . \quad{ }^{3}$ i.e. rhizome.
${ }^{4}$ i.e. stolon ; cf. 1. 6. 8 .
${ }^{5}$ à $\phi$ ' oû $\delta$ kau入ós transposed by W.; in Ald. these words come before è $\nu$ ⿳े
 $\kappa \in d y \omega \theta$ os most edd.; G omits the word.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 єір $т т а$.







 тà $\mu$ ́́рך таиิта катє́ $\chi \in \iota \nu$.
 бíठך каi aủтخे каi тà фúд入а тоîs троßáтоוs, ó










 <каi тахи́тทть каi 入єтто́тךтє каi áбөєvєía.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. x. 6-xı. r

root is not jointed. We have enlarged on these matters ${ }^{1}$ because of the resemblance.)

The willow and the reed (not however the reed used for pipes) galingale bulrush phleos sedge grow both on land and in the water, water-lily only in the water. (As to bulrush indeed there is a difference of opinion.) However they say that those plants which grow in the water are always finer and larger than those that grow in both positions; also that some of these plants grow also on the floating islands, ${ }^{2}$ for instance galingale sedge and phleos; thus all parts of the lake contain these plants.

Of the plants of the lake the parts good for food are as follows: of the water-lily both the flower ${ }^{3}$ and the leaves are good for sheep, the young shoots for pigs, and the fruit for men. Of phleos galingale and sedge the part next the roots is tender, and is mostly eaten by children. The root of phleos is the only part which is edible by cattle. When there is a drought and there is no water from overhead, ${ }^{4}$ all the plants of the lake are dried up, but especially the reed; of this it remains to speak, since we have said almost enough about the rest.
XI. ${ }^{5}$ Of the reed there are said to be two kinds, the one used for making pipes and the other kind. For that of the latter there is only one kind, though individual plants differ in being strong and stout, ${ }^{6}$ or on the other hand slender and weak. The strong stout one they call the 'stake-reed,' the other the 'weaving reed.' The latter they say grows on the
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## THEOPHRASTUS









 $\nu \in a \tau \eta \rho i ́ \delta o s, ~ \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тוvés фаб८, кai тaútךv єîvaı



 тทข є̇тоíouv тò $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ̀ s ~ \grave{s}$ тá $\xi_{\imath \nu} \lambda a \mu \beta$ ávov-













${ }^{1}$ к ${ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \sigma \iota$ : lit ' bundles.'
$2 \delta v^{\prime}$ Єт $\eta$ conj. W.; $\delta \iota \in \tau \hat{\eta}$ UMVAld.
${ }^{3}$ в.c. 338.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xi. r-3

floating islands, the stout form in the 'reed-beds' ${ }^{1}$; this name they give to the places where there is a thick mass of reed with its roots entangled together. This occurs in any part of the lake where there is rich soil. It is said that the 'stake-reed' is also sometimes found in the same places as the reed used for pipes, in which places it is longer than the 'stakereed' found elsewhere, but gets worm-eaten. These then are the differences in reeds of which they tell.

As to the reed used for pipes, it is not true, as some say, that it only grows once in nine years and that this is its regular rule of growth; it grows in general whenever the lake is full : but, because in former days this was supposed to happen generally once in nine years, they made the growth of the reed to correspond, taking what was really an accident to be a regular principle. As a matter of fact it grows whenever after a rainy season the water remains in the lake for at least two years, ${ }^{2}$ and it is finer if the water remains longer; this is specially remembered to have happened in recent times at the time of the battle of Chaeronea. ${ }^{3}$ For before that period they told me that the lake was for several years deep ${ }^{4}$; and, at a time later than that, when there was a severe visitation of the plague, it filled up; but, as the water did not remain but failed in winter, the reed did not grow; for they say, apparently with good reason, that, when the lake is deep, the reed increases in height, and, persisting for the next year, matures its growth; and that the reed which thus matures is suitable for making a reed monthpiece, ${ }^{5}$ while that for which the water has not remained is
 Ald.
${ }^{5}$ See n. on $\tau \delta \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \S 4$.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 тоцаи́тทи.


 $\pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$. каì زàp тò фú̀ $\lambda$ on $\pi \lambda a \tau u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ er $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каі $\lambda є \cup к о ́ т є \rho о \nu ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \eta ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda с ́ т т \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$

 тıves $\gamma i v \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ т a ̀ ~ \zeta є u ́ \gamma \eta, ~ к а т о \rho \theta o v ̂ \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma a ~$ тарà тท̀ є́ $\rho \gamma a \sigma i ́ a \nu$.



 $\kappa а т а v \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \delta є i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma, ~ \sigma \cup \mu \mu v ́ є \iota \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ т o ̀ ~$




 трі́єขóv тє хри́бıцоข каі катаu入ท́бєшs ßрахєías
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xi. 3-5

suitable for making a 'cap.' 1 Such then, it is said, is ${ }^{2}$ the reed's way of growth.
${ }^{3}$ Also it is said to differ from other reeds, to speak generally, in a certain luxuriance of growth, being of a fuller and more fleshy character, and, one may say, 'female' in appearance. For it is said that even the leaf is broader and whiter, though the plume is smaller than that of other reeds, and some have no plume at all ; these they call ' eunuch-reeds.' From these they say that the best mouthpieces are made, though many are spoiled in the making.

Till the time of Antigenidas, before which men played the pipe in the simple style, they say that the proper season for cutting the reeds was the month Boëdromion ${ }^{4}$ about the rising of Arcturus; for, although the reed so cut did not become fit for use for many years after and needed a great deal of preliminary playing upon, yet the opening ${ }^{5}$ of the reed-tongues is well closed, which is a good thing for the purpose of accompaniment. ${ }^{6}$ But when a change was made to the more elaborate style of playing, the time of cutting the reeds was also altered; for in our own time they cut them in the months Skirrophorion ${ }^{7}$ or Hekatombaion ${ }^{8}$ about the solstice or a little earlier. ${ }^{9}$ And they say that the reed becomes fit for use in three years and needs but little preliminary playing upon, and that the reed-tongues
the body or 'lay' of the reed monthpiece: the instrument implied throughout is apparently one with a single vibrating 'tongue' (reed) like the modern clarinet.
 'for the concert-room'; quorl erat illis theatrorum moribus utilius Plin. l.c.
${ }^{7}$ June. 8 July.
${ }_{9}{ }_{\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho}$ conj. W.; $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon l$ UH.; $\dot{\omega} s \pi \epsilon \rho l$ MVAld.

## THEOPHRASTUS


 $\lambda o \hat{\sigma}$ 九. тô̂ $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ o ̂ ̂ v ~ \zeta \epsilon v \gamma i ́ t o v ~ t a u ́ t a s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ ढ̈рая т $\hat{\varsigma}$ то $\mu \hat{\eta}$.






 т̀̀ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \prime \kappa \eta ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \in \tau a \iota ~ \delta \iota \pi a \lambda a i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ è $\lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega$. ßé̀ $\lambda \tau \sigma \tau a \mu$ c̀v oûv єîval $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \sigma o \gamma o \nu a-$









 $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \in i ̂ \nu \cdot$ ì $\mu$ èv oû̀ Èpraбia тolaút $\eta$.
${ }^{1} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha:$ lit. 'convulsions'; i.e. the strong ribrations of a 'tongue,' the free end of which is kept away from the body or 'lay' of the mouthpiece. Siach a 'reed' would have the eflect of giving to the pipes a fuller and louder tone.
a i.e. so as to make a closed end.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. x. 5-7

have ample vibration, ${ }^{1}$ which is essential for those who play in the elaborate style. Such, they tell us, are the proper seasons for cutting the reed used for the reed mouthpiece.

The manufacture is carried out in the following manner. Having collected the reed-stems they lay them in the open air during the winter, leaving on the rind; in the spring they strip this off, and, having rubbed the reeds thoroughly, put them in the sun. Later on, in the summer, they cut the sections from knot to knot into lengths and again put them for some time in the open air. They leave the upper knot on this internodal section ${ }^{2}$; and the lengths thus obtained are not less than two palmsbreadths long. Now they say that for making mouthpieces the best lengths are those of the middle of the reed, whereas the lengths towards the upper growths make very soft mouthpieces and those next to the root very hard ones. They say too that the reed-tongues made out of the same length are of the same quality, while those made from different lengths are not; also that the one from the length next to the root forms a left-hand ${ }^{3}$ reed-tongue, and that from the length towards the upper growths a righthand ${ }^{3}$ reed-tongue. Moreover, when the length is slit, the opening of the reed-tongues in either case is made towards the point at which the reed was cut ${ }^{4}$; and, if the reed-tongues are made in any other manner, they are not quite of the same quality. Such then is the method of manufacture.
${ }^{3}$ i.e. the ribrating 'tongues' (reeds) for the left-hand and the right-hand pipe of the Double Pipe respectively.
${ }^{4}$ i.e. not at the closed end, but at the end which was 'lower' when the cane was growing : cf. §6, $\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \epsilon i \pi \pi o v \sigma t ~ \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ к.т. $\lambda$.

## THEOPHRASTUS

8 Фv́єтaı ठ̀̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau o s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi u ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{u} \mathrm{~K} \eta \phi \iota \sigma o \hat{v}$























 форàs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ i k a \nu \omega ̂ s ~ \epsilon i p \eta j \sigma \theta \omega . ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xi. 8-io

This reed grows in greatest abundance between the Kephisos and the Black River ${ }^{1}$; this district is called Pelekania, and in it are certain 'pots,' as they are called, which are deep holes in the marsh, ${ }^{2}$ and in these holes they say that it grows fairest ; it is also ${ }^{3}$ said to be found ${ }^{4}$ where the river called the 'Sheep River' comes down, which is a stream that flows from Lebadeia. But it appears to grow fairest of all near 'the Sharp Bend'; this place is the mouth of the Kephisos ; near it is a rich plain called Hippias. There is another region north of the Sharp Bend called Boedrias; and here too they say that the reed grows fine, and in general that it is fairest wherever there is a piece of land with deep rich alluvial soil, where also Kephisos mingles ${ }^{5}$ his waters with the soil, and where there is further a deep hole in the marsh ; for that about the Sharp Bend and Boedrias all these conditions are found. As proof that the Kephisos has a great effect in producing the reed of good quality they have the fact that, where the river called the 'Black River' flows into the marsh, though the marsh is there deep and the bottom of good alluvial soil, it either does not grow at all or at best but of poor quality. Let this suffice for an account of the growth and character of the reed used for pipes, of the manufacture, and of its distinctive features as compared with other reeds.

But these are not the only kinds of reed; there are several others ${ }^{6}$ with distinctive characters which are easily recognised; there is one that is of compact growth in flesh and has its joints close together;
 Ald., but $\kappa \alpha \theta^{2}$ òv.

${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 164-167; Diosc. 1. 85.

## THEOPHRASTUS

耳óvaбıv，ò ठè $\mu a \nu o ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma o \gamma o ́ v a t o s " ~ к а i ~ o ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~$



 то入úфu入入os，ó dè ó $\lambda \iota \gamma o ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda o s ~ \kappa a i ~ \mu о \nu o ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda o s . ~$


 кои






 $\theta$ ө́̀ $\eta$ т тьs $\theta є \rho \mu a \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$.

 à入入à каì Хроьą．тоькí入os үàp ó Дакшข८ко̀s
 $\kappa a ́ \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ үàp čvıoı $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \phi є ́ \rho о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi u ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，

 Sıaфорс́v，тò mo入úфu入доv каi maро́poıov é $\chi \in \iota \nu$


## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xı. 10--12

another that is of open growth, with few joints; there is the hollow reed called by some the 'tubereed,' ${ }^{1}$ inasmuch as it has hardly any wood or flesh; there is another which is solid and almost entirely filled with substance; there is another which is short, and another which is of strong growth tall and stout; there is one which is slender and has many leaves, another which has few leaves or only one. And in general there are many differences in natural character and in usefuhess, each kind being useful for some particular purpose.

Some distinguish the various kinds by different names; commonest perhaps is the pole-reed, which is said to be of very bushy habit, and to grow chiefly by rivers and lakes. And it is said that there is a wide difference in reeds in general between those that grow on dry land and those that grow in the water. Quite distinct again is the 'archer's' reed, which some call the 'Cretan': this has few joints and is fleshier than any of the others; it can also be most freely bent, and in general, when warmed, may be turned about as one pleases.

The various kinds have also, as was said, great differences in the leaves, not only in number and size, but also in colour. That called the 'Laconian' reed is parti-coloured. They also differ in the position and attachment of the leaves; some have most of their leaves low down, and the reed itself grows out of a sort of a bush. Indeed some say that this may be taken as the distinctive character of those which grow in lakes, namely, that these have many leaves, and that their foliage in a manner
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## THEOPHRASTUS

$\phi \lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega}$ каi Apv́оv каi ßoutó $\mu$ оv $\sigma \kappa є ́ \psi а \sigma \theta a \imath ~ \delta є ̀ ~$ ठєî тоข̂то.
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 тועळข єì $\epsilon \tau i ́ a s . .$.



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda о i$ каi oủ $\lambda о \chi \mu \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma^{\circ}$ тò $\delta$ è фú $\lambda \lambda$ оע oủ $\mu a \kappa$ -
 каi єủтаүєĭs, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ к о \nu \tau i ́ o \iota s ~ \chi р \eta ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota . ~ ф и ́ о \nu т а \iota ~$
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xi. i2-xif. i

resembles that of galingale phleos thryon ${ }^{1}$ and sedge; but this needs ${ }^{2}$ further enquiry.

There is also a kind of reed (bush-grass) which grows on land, and which is not erect, but sends out its stem over the ground, like the dog's-tooth grass, and so makes its growth. The 'male' reed is solid: some call it eiletias. . . . . ${ }^{3}$

The Indian reed (bamboo) is very distinct, and as it were a totally different kind; the 'male' is solid and the 'female' hollow (for in this kind too they distinguish a 'male' and a 'female' form); a number of reeds of this kind grow from one base and they do not form a bush; the leaf is not long, but resembles the willow leaf; these reeds are of great size and of good substance, so that they are used for javelins. They grow by the river Akesines. ${ }^{4}$ All reeds are tenacious of life, and, if cut or burnt down, grow up again more vigorously; also their roots are stout and numerous, so that the plant is hard to destroy. The root is jointed, like that of the dog'stooth grass, but this is not equally so in all kinds. However let this suffice for an account of reeds.

## Of rushes.

XII. It remains to speak of the rush, ${ }^{5}$ as though it belonged to this class of plants, inasmuch as we must reckon this also among water plants. Of this there are three kinds ${ }^{6}$ as some distinguish, the 'sharp' rush, which is barren and is called the ' male'; the 'fruiting' kind which we call the 'black-
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xir. r-3

head' because it has black fruit ; this is stouter and fleshier: and third the 'entire rush,' as it is called, which is distinguished by its size stoutness and fleshiness.

Now the 'black-head' grows by itself, but the 'sharp' rush and the 'entire' rush grow from the same stock, which seems extraordinary, and indeed it was strange to see it ${ }^{1}$ when the whole clump of rushes was brought before me; for from the same stock there were growing 'barren' rushes, which were the most numerous, and also a few 'fruiting' ones. This then is a matter for further enquiry. The 'fruiting' ${ }^{2}$ ones are in general scarcer, for ${ }^{3}$ the 'entire rush' is more useful for wicker-work because of its fleshiness and pliancy. The 'fruiting' rush in general produces a club-like ${ }^{t}$ head which swells straight from the wiry stem, and then bears egg-like bodies; for attached to a single wiry ${ }^{5}$ base it has its very spike-like ${ }^{6}$ branches all round it, and on the ends of these it has its round vessels borne laterally and gaping ${ }^{\top}$; in each of these is the small seed, which is pointed and black, and like that of the Michaelmas daisy, except that it is less solid. It has a long root, which is stouter than that of the ordinary rush; this withers every year, and then another strikes down again from the 'head's of the plant. And it is easy to observe that some of the roots as they are let down are withered, some green. The 'head' is like that of an onion or long onion,
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[^217]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xir. $3^{- \text {xirr. I }}$

being, as it were, made up of several united together ; it is broad, and underneath it has reddish scales. Now it is a peculiar fact about the roots of this plant that they wither every year and that the fresh growth of roots comes from the part of the plant which is above ground. Such is the character of rushes.

Bramble and Christ's thorn may be considered to some extent plants of the water or the waterside, as they are in some districts; but the distinclive characters of these plants are fairly clear, for we have spoken of both already. ${ }^{1}$

The floating islands of Orchomenos ${ }^{2}$ are of various sizes, the largest being about three furlongs in circumference. But in Egypt very large ones form, so that even a number of boars are found in them, and men go across to the islands to hunt them.

Let this account of water-plants suffice.

Of the length or shoriness of the life of plants, and the causes.
XIII. As to the comparative shortness of life of plants and trees of the water we may say thus much as a general account, that, like the water-animals, they are shorter-lived than those of the dry land. But we must enquire into the lives of those of the dry land severally. Now the woodmen say that the wild kinds are almost ${ }^{3}$ without exception longlived, and none of them is short-lived: so far they may be speaking the truth; all such plants do live far longer than others. However, just as in the case of cultivated plants, some are longer-lived than others,
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 $\kappa а р т а \cdot ~ \delta о к є i ̂ ~ \delta е ̀ ~ к а і ~ т а ̀ ~ т а ́ р и \delta р а ~ \beta р а \chi и \beta ь \omega ́ т є р а ~$

[^219] 384

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xim. $1-2$

and we must consider which these are. Cultivated plants plainly differ as to the length of their lives, but, to speak generally, wild plants are longer-lived than cultivated ones, both taken as classes, and also when one compares ${ }^{1}$ the wild and cultivated forms of particular plants : thus the wild olive pear and fig are longer-lived than the corresponding cultivated trees; for the wild forms of these are stronger and of closer growth, and they do not produce such welldeveloped fruit-pulp. ${ }^{2}$

To the long-lived character of some plants, both cultivated and wild, witness is borne also by the tales handed down in mythology, as of the olive at Athens, ${ }^{3}$ the palm in Delos, ${ }^{4}$ and the wild olive at Olympia, from which the wreaths for the games are made; or again of the Valonia oaks at Ilium, planted on the tomb of llos. Again some say that Agamemnon planted the plane at Delphi, and the one at Kaphyai ${ }^{5}$ in Arcadia. Now how this is may perhaps be another story, but anyhow it is plain that there is a great difference between trees in this respect; the kinds that have been mentioned, and many others besides, are long-lived, while the following are admittedly short-lived-pomegranate fig apple: and among apples the 'spring' sort and the 'sweet' apple are shorter-lived than the 'sour' apple, even as the 'stoneless' pomegranate is shorter-lived than the other kinds. Also some kinds of vine are shortlived, especially those which bear much fruit ; and it appears that trees which grow by water are shorter-
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${ }^{1}$ cf．C．P．2．11． 5.

 MV；каl єi ка入⿳亠二口s Ald．H．
${ }^{4}$ Sc．and then encourage new growth．

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xim. 2-4

lived than those which live in dry places : this is true of willow abele elder and black poplar.

Some trees, though they grow old and decay quickly, shoot up again from the same stock, ${ }^{1}$ as bay apple pomegranate and most of the waterloving trees. About these one might enquire whether one should call the new growth the same tree or a new one; to take a similar case, if, after cutting down the trunk, one should, as the husbandmen do, encourage ${ }^{2}$ the new shoots to grow again, or if ${ }^{3}$ one should cut the tree right down to the roots and burn the stump, ${ }^{4}$ (for these things are commonly done, and they also sometimes occur naturally); are we then here too, to call the new growth the same tree, or another one? In so far as it is always the parts of the tree which appear to alternate their periods of growth and decay and also the prunings which they themselves thus make, so far the new and the old growth might seem to be the same tree; for what difference can there be in the one as compared with the other? ${ }^{5}$ On the other hand, in so far as the trunk would seem to be above all the essential part of the tree, which gives it its special character, when this changes, one might suppose that the whole tree becomes something different-unless indeed one should lay down that to have the same starting-point constitutes identity; whereas it often ${ }^{6}$ happens that the roots too are different and undergo a change, since some decay and others grow afresh. ${ }^{7}$ For if it be true, as some assert, that the reason why the vine is the longest

5 i.e. how can the substitution of one set of 'parts' for another destroy the identity of the tree as a whole?
${ }^{6}$ тол入ג́кıs conj. Sch. from G; тол入à каl Ald.H.
7 And so the 'starting-point' too is not constant.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xim. 4-6

lived of trees, is that, instead of producing new roots, it always renews itself from the existing ones, ${ }^{1}$ such an illustration must surely lead to an absurd conclusion, ${ }^{2}$ unless ${ }^{3}$ we assume that the stock persists, as it must do, since it is, as it were, the fundamental and essential part of a tree. However it cannot matter much for our present purpose which account is the right one. Perhaps we may say that the longest-lived tree is that which in all ways is able to persist, ${ }^{4}$ as does the olive by its trunk, by its power of developing sidegrowth, and by the fact that its roots are so hard to destroy. It appears that the life of the individual olive (in regard to which one should make the trunk the essential part and standard ${ }^{5}$ in estimating the time), lasts for about two hundred years. ${ }^{6}$ But if it is true of the vine, as some say, that, if the roots are partly removed, the trunk is able to survive, and the whole character of the tree remains the same and produces like fruits for any period, however long, then the vine will be the longest-lived of all trees. They say that, when the vine seems to be deteriorating, this is what one should do:-one should encourage the growth of branches and gather the fruit that year; and after that one should dig on one side of the vine and prune away all the roots on that side, and then fill the hole with brushwood and heap up the soil. In that year, they say, the vine bears very badly, but better in the next, while in the
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third and fourth it becomes normal again and bears many fair clusters, so that it is quite as good as when it was in its prime. And when it goes off again, ${ }^{1}$ they say one should dig on the other side and apply the same treatment; and that so treated the tree lasts for ever ; and this should be done at intervals of about ten years. And this is why those who adopt this treatment never cut down the vine, but the same stems remain for many generations, so that even those who planted the trees cannot remember doing so. However perhaps one should enquire of those who have had experience before accepting this statement. These examples may serve for considering which trees are long-lived and which short-lived.

## Of diseases and injuries done by weather conditions.

XIV. ${ }^{2}$ As to diseases-they say that wild trees are not liable to diseases which destroy them, but that they get into poor condition, and that most obviously when they are smitten with hail when either they are about to bud or are just budding or are in bloom; also when either a cold or a hot wind comes at such seasons: but that from seasonable storms, even if they be violent, they take no hurt, ${ }^{3}$ but rather that it is good for them all to be exposed to weather: for, unless they are, they do not grow so well. Cultivated kinds however, they say, are subject to various diseases, some of which are, one may say, common to all or to most, while others are special to particular kinds. ${ }^{4}$ General diseases are those ${ }^{5}$ of being worm-eaten, of being sun-scorched, and rot. ${ }^{6}$ All trees, it may be said,
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 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i ้ ร \sigma \nu \kappa \eta ิ \nu$.
${ }^{1}{ }^{\circ} \pi \omega^{\prime} \delta \eta$ UMVAld. ; $\epsilon \dot{v} \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ H., evidently from Plin. 17. 221. cf. C.P. 5. 9. 4 and 5.
${ }^{2} \lambda o \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha:$ Plin. 17. 223, pretella. The $\bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ is an abortive bud, called in Italian novolo.

 aủ $\omega$ ตे Ald. which W. prints provisionally.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xiv. $2-4$

have worms, but some less, as fig and apple, some more, as pear. Speaking generally, those least liable to be worm-eaten are those which have a bitter acrid ${ }^{1}$ juice, and these are also less liable to sunscorch. Moreover this occurs more commonly in young trees than in those which have come to their strength, and most of all it occurs in the fig and the vine.

The olive, in addition to having worms (which destroy the fig too by breeding in it), produces also a 'knot' (which some call a fungus, others a barkblister ${ }^{2}$ ), and it resembles the effect of sun-scorch. ${ }^{3}$ Also sometimes young olives are destroyed by excessive fruitfulness. The fig is also liable to scab, and to snails which cling to it. However this does not happen to figs everywhere, but it appears that, as with animals, diseases are dependent on local conditions; for in some parts, as about Aineia, ${ }^{4}$ the figs do not get scab.

The fig is also often a victim to rot and to krados. It is called rot when the roots turn black, it is called krados when the branches do so; for some call the branches kradoi ${ }^{5}$ (instead of kladoi), whence the name is transferred to the disease. The wild fig does not suffer from lirados rot or scab, nor does it get so worm-eaten in its roots ${ }^{6}$ as the cultivated tree; indeed some wild figs do not even shed their early fruit-not even if they are grafted ${ }^{7}$ into a cultivated tree.
${ }^{4}$ cf. 5. 2. 1. ${ }^{5}$ Evidently a dialectic form.
${ }^{6}$ fi̧ais PAld.; бvкаis W. after conj. of Sch.
 Apparently the object of such grafting was the 'caprification' of the cultivated tree ( $c f .2 .8,3$ ); but grafting for this purpose does not seem to be mentioned elsewhere.
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Scab ${ }^{1}$ chiefly occurs when there is not much rain after the rising of the Pleiad; if rain is abundant, the scab is washed off, and at such times it comes to pass that both the spring and the winter figs drop off. Of the worms found in fig-trees some have their origin in the tree, some are produced in it by the creature called the 'homed worm'; but they all tum into the 'horned worm' ${ }^{2}$ and they make a shrill noise. The fig also becomes diseased if there is heavy rain; for then the parts towards the root and the root itself ${ }^{3}$ become, as it were, sodden, ${ }^{4}$ and this they call 'bark-shedding.' ${ }^{5}$ The vine suffers from over-luxuriance; this, as well as sun-scorch, specially happens to it either when the young shoots are cut by winds, or when it has suffered from bad cultivation, or, thirdly, when it has been pruned upwards. ${ }^{6}$

The vine becomes a 'shedder,' ${ }^{7}$ a condition which some call 'casting of the fruit,' if the tree is snowed upon at the time when the blossom falls, or else when it becomes over lusty; ${ }^{8}$ what happens is that the unripe grapes drop off, and those that remain on the tree are small. Some trees also contract disease from frost, for instance the vine ; for then the eyes of the vine that was pruned early become abortive; and this also happens from excessive heat, for the vine seeks regularity in these conditions too, as in its nourishment. And in general anything is dangerous which is contrary to the normal course of things.
and so there is less fruit: exact sense obscure; ? 'from below' (i.e. with the blade of the knife pointing upwards). cf. C.P. l.c.; Col. 4. 2t. 15 ; Plin. l.c., in suninum excisis.
${ }^{7}$ cf. C.P. 5. 9. 13.
${ }^{3}$ крєเтT $\theta \hat{\eta}$ : i.e. the growth is over-luxuriant. The word occurs elsewhere only in the parallel passage C.P. l.c., where occurs also the subst. креít $\tau \omega \sigma$, evidently a technical term.
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 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta^{2} \dot{\in} \nu \in \iota \alpha \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ Plin. 17. 227.

${ }^{3}$ тóvò conj. H. from G ; тóтò MVAld.
+This sentence is clearly ont of place: the plural $\tau$ oícov has nothine to refer to. cf.4.13. 2. It is represented however by Plin. l.c.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xiv. 7-8

${ }^{1}$ Moreover the wounds and blows inflicted by men who dig about the vines render them less able to bear the alternations of heat and cold; for then the tree is weak owing to the wounding and to the strain put upon it, and falls an easy prey ${ }^{2}$ to excess of heat and cold. Indeed, as some think, most diseases may be said to be due to a blow; for that even the diseases known as 'sun-scorch' and 'rot' occur because the roots have suffered in this way. ${ }^{3}$ In fact they think that there are only these two diseases; but there is not general agreement on this point.

The 'spring apple' and especially the sweet form of it, has the weakest constitution. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{5}$ Some mutilations however do not cause destruction of the whole ${ }^{6}$ tree, but only produce barrenness; for instance, if one takes away the top of the Aleppo pine or the date-palm, the tree in both cases appears to become barren, but not to be altogether destroyed.

There are also diseases of the fruits themselves, which occur if the winds and rains do not come in due season. For it comes to pass ${ }^{7}$ that sometimes trees, figs, for example, shed their fruit when rain does or does not come, and ${ }^{8}$ sometimes the fruit is spoilt by being rotted and so choked off, ${ }^{9}$ or again by being unduly dried up. It is worst of all for some trees, as olive and vine, if rain falls on them as they are dropping their blossom; ${ }^{10}$ for then the fruit, having no strength, drops also.
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${ }^{1}$ In Miletus the vines at the time of flowering are eaten by caterpillars, some of which devour the flowers, others, a different kind, the leaves; and they strip the tree; these appear if there is a south wind and sunny weather; if the heat overtakes them, the trees split.

About Taras ${ }^{2}$ the olives always shew much fruit, but most of it perishes at the time when the blossom falls. ${ }^{3}$ Such are the drawbacks special to particular regions.
${ }^{4}$ There is also another disease incident to the olive, which is called cobweb; ${ }^{5}$ for this forms ${ }^{6}$ on the tree and destroys the fruit. Certain liot ${ }^{7}$ winds also scorch both olive vine-cluster and other fruits. And the fruits of some get worm-eaten, ${ }^{8}$ as olive pear apple medlar pomegranate. Now the worm which infests the olive, if it appears below the skin, destroys the fruit; but if it devours the stone it is beneficial. And it is prevented from appearing under the skin if there is rain after ${ }^{9}$ the rising of Arcturus. Worms also occur in the fruit which ripens on the tree, and these are more harmful as affecting the yield of oil. Indeed these worms seem to be altogether rotten; wherefore they appear when there is a south wind and particularly in damp places. The knips ${ }^{10}$ also occurs in certain trees, as the oak and fig, and it appears that it forms from the moisture which collects under the bark, which is sweet to the taste. Worms also occur ${ }^{11}$ in some
${ }^{6}$ фи́є $\alpha a \iota$ Ald.; $\notin \mu \phi \dot{\prime} \in \tau a \iota$ conj. Sch. from C.P. l.c., but the text is perhaps defective.
${ }^{7}$ cf. C.P. 5. 10. $5 . \quad{ }^{8}$ cf. C.P. 5. 10. 1.

10 cf. 2. 8. 3.
${ }_{11}$ The subject of $\gamma^{i} \nu_{0 \nu \tau a}$ is probably $\sigma \kappa \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta \kappa \epsilon s$, not $\kappa \nu i \pi \pi \epsilon$.
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, IV. xiv. Io-12

pot-herbs, as also do caterpillars, though the origin of these is of course different.

Such are in general the diseases, and the plants in which they occur. Moreover ${ }^{1}$ there are certain affections due to season or situation ${ }^{2}$ which are likely to destroy the plant, but which one would not call diseases: I mean such affections as freezing ${ }^{3}$ and what some call 'scorching.' Also ${ }^{4}$ there are winds which blow in particular districts that are likely to destroy or scorch; for instance the 'Olympian' wind of Chalcis in Euboea, when it blows cold a little before or after the winter solstice; for this wind scorches up the trees and makes them more dry and withered than they would become from the sun's heat even in a long period; wherefore its effect is called 'scorching.' In old times it occurred very frequently, and it recurred with great violence in the time of Archippus, after an interval of forty years.
${ }^{5}$ The places which suffer most in this way are hollow places, valleys, the ground near rivers, and, in general, places which are least open to wind; the tree which suffers most is the fig, and next to that the olive. The wild olive, being stronger, suffered more than the cultivated tree, which was surprising. But the almonds were altogether unscathed, as also were the apples pears and pomegranates; wherefore this too was a surprising fact. The tree gets scorched by this wind right down to the trunk, and in general the upper are caught more and earlier than the lower parts. ${ }^{6}$ The effects are seen partly at the actual
${ }^{5}$ cf. C.P. 5. 12. 7 ; Plin. 17. 232 and 233.
 defective ; I have added $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ 光 $\nu \omega, \quad c f$, C.P. 5. 12. 5.
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time of budding, but in the olive, because it is evergreen, they do not appear till later; those trees therefore which have shed their leaves come to life again, but those that have not done so are completely destroyed. In some places trees have been known, after being thus scorched and after their leaves have withered, to shoot again without shedding their leaves, and the leaves have come to life again. Indeed in some places, as at Philippi, this happens several times.
${ }^{1}$ Trees which have been frost-bitten, ${ }^{2}$ when they are not completely destroyed, soon shoot again, so that the vine immediately bears fruit, for instance in Thessaly. In Pontus near Panticapaeum the frost-bite occurs in two ways, either just from cold, if the season is wintry, or from long ${ }^{3}$ spells of frost; in either case this generally occurs in the ${ }^{4}$ forty days after the winter solstice. The frosts occur in fine weather, but the cold spells, which cause the frost-bite, chiefly when in fine weather the 'flakes' 5 fall ; these are like filings, but broader, and can be seen as they fall, but when they have fallen, they disappear-though in Thrace they freeze solid.

Let this suffice for consideration of the diseases, their number and nature, including the fatal effects of excessive cold and heat or of cold or hot winds. And it may well be that certain of these also affect wild trees, producing entire destruction of the tree and still more that of the fruit. Indeed we see this actually happen; for wild trees also often fail to
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produce a good crop of fruit; but, I imagine, they have not been so well observed.

> Of the effects on trees of removing bark, hated, heart-llood, roots, etc.; of various causes of death.
XV. ${ }^{1}$ Next we must mention what trees perish when certain parts are removed. All perish alike, if the bark is stripped off all round; one may say that every tree, except the andrachne, ${ }^{2}$ perishes under these circumstances; and this tree does so also, if one does violence to the flesh, and so breaks off the new growth ${ }^{3}$ which is forming. However one should perhaps except the cork-oak; for this, they say, is all the stronger if its bark is stripped off, that is, the outer bark and also that which lies below it next the flesh-as with the andrachne. For the bark is also stripped from the bird-cherry the vine and the lime (and from this the ropes are made), and, among smaller plants, from the mallow ; but in these cases it is not the real nor the first bark which is taken, but that which grows above that, which even of its own accord sometimes falls off because fresh bark is forming underneath.
${ }^{4}$ In fact some trees, as andrachne and plane, have a bark which cracks. ${ }^{5}$ As some think, in many cases a new bark forms ${ }^{6}$ underneath, while the outer bark withers and cracks and in many cases falls off of its own accord; but the process is not so obvious as it is in the above mentioned cases. Wherefore, as they think, all trees are destroyed by stripping the bark, though the destruction is not in all cases equally
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rapid or complete. Some in fact, as fig lime and oak, survive for some time; indeed some say that these recover, and also the elm and date-palm, and that the bark even of the lime almost entirely closes up again, while in other trees it forms as it were a callus and ${ }^{1}$ acquires a peculiar new character. Men try to help the tree by plastering it with mud and tying pieces of bark reeds or something of the kind about it, so that it may not take cold nor become dried up. And they say that the bark has been known to grow again; ${ }^{2}$ for instance that that of the fig-trees at the Trachinian Heraclea did so. However this does not only depend on the quality of the soil and on the climate; the other circumstances which ensue must also be favourable; for, if great cold or heat ensues, the tree perishes at once. The season also makes a difference. For if one strips the bark of a silver-fir or fir at the time when the buds are shooting during Thargelion or Skirrophorion, ${ }^{3}$ at which season it is separable, the tree dies at once. If it is done however in winter, the tree holds out longer ; and this is especially true of the strongest trees, such as kermes-oak and oak; these it takes longer to kill. However the piece stripped off must be of a certain breadth to cause the death of the tree, especially in the case of the strongest trees; for, if one does it only a little, it is not surprising that the tree should not be killed; though some indeed say that, if it is done at all, ${ }^{4}$ the tree certainly dies; this however is probably true only of the weaker kinds. For some, they say, if they are in bad barren
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soil, die even if the bark is not stripped all round. This then, as has been said, is a universal cause of death.
XVI. ${ }^{1}$ The process which is called topping of trees is fatal only to fir silver-fir Aleppo pine ${ }^{2}$ and datepalm, though some add prickly cedar and cypress. These, if they are stripped of their foliage at the top ${ }^{3}$ and the crown is cut off, perish wholly and do not shoot again, as is the case with some, if not with all, if they are burnt. But all other trees shoot again after being lopped, and some, such as the olive, ${ }^{4}$ become all the fairer. However most trees perish if the stem is split; ${ }^{5}$ for no tree seems able to stand this, except vine fig pomegranate and apple; and some perish even if they are wounded severely and deeply. Some however take no harm ${ }^{6}$ from this, as the fir when it is cut for tar, and those trees from which the resins are collected, as silver-fir and terebinth ; though these trees are in fact then deeply wounded and mangled. Indeed they actually become fruitful ${ }^{7}$ instead of barren, or are made to bear plentifully instead of scantily.

Some trees again submit to being hewn both when they are standing and when they have been blown down, so that they rise up again and live and shoot, for instance the willow and the plane. ${ }^{8}$ This was known to happen in Antandros and at Philippi ; a plane in Antandros having fallen and had its boughs lopped off and the axe applied to its trunk, grew again in the night when thus relieved of the weight, and the bark grew about it again. It happened that it had been hewn two thirds of the way round; it
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was a large tree, more than ten cubits high, and of such girth that four men could not easily have encircled it. The willow at Philippi which grew again had had its branches lopped off, but the trunk had not been hewn. A certain seer persuaded the people to offer sacrifice and take care of the tree, since what had occurred was a good omen. Also at Stageiva an abele in the school gardens which had fallen got up again.

Hardly any tree is destroyed by taking out the core; a proof of which is the fact that many large trees are hollow. The people of Arcadia say that the tree under these circumstances lives for a time, ${ }^{1}$ but that, if the tree is entirely deprived of its core, fir or silver-fir or any other tree perishes.

All trees alike are destroyed when the roots are cut off, whether all or most of them, if those removed are the largest and the most essential to life. Such then are the causes of death which come from the removal of a part of the tree.

On the other hand the destruction which oil ${ }^{2}$ causes is due rather to a kind of addition than to removal ; for oil is hostile to all trees, and ${ }^{3}$ so men pour it ${ }^{4}$ over what remains of the roots. However oil is more potent with young trees which are just growing; for then they are weaker; wherefore men do not allow them to be touched at that time.
${ }^{5}$ Again trees may destroy one another, by robbing them of nourishment and hindering them in other ways. Again an overgrowth of ivy ${ }^{6}$ is dangerous, ${ }^{7}$ and so is tree-medick, for this destroys almost any-
${ }^{4}$ i.c. to complete the destruction of a tree. cf. Plut, Quae.st. Conv. 2. 6. 2.
${ }_{5}$ Plin. 17. 239 and 240. ${ }^{6}$ cf. C.P. 5. 15. 4.
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${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 2. 18. 4. ó $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \partial s \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ conj. Dalec. from G; $\delta \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ß $\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \delta{ }^{\prime}$ Ald. H.
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thing. But halimon is more potent even than this, for it destroys tree-medick.

Again some things, though they do not cause death, enfeeble the tree as to the production of flavours and scents; thus cabbage and sweet hay have this effect on the vine. For they say that the vine scents the cabbage and is infected ${ }^{1}$ by it. Wherefore the vine-shoot, ${ }^{2}$ whenerer it comes near this plant, turns back and looks away, ${ }^{3}$ as though the smell were hostile to it. Indeed Androkydes ${ }^{4}$ used this fact as an example to demonstrate the use of cabbage against wine, to expel the fumes of drunkenness; for, ${ }^{5}$ said he, even when it is alive, the vine avoids the smell. It is now clear from what has been said how the death of a tree may be caused, how many are the causes of death, and in what several ways they operate.
 recedere Plin. l.c.; ėk $\chi$ đopeì conj. W.
${ }^{4}$ A medical man who preached temperance to Alexander; cf. Plin. 14. 58; 17. 240.
${ }^{5}$ रàp $\delta \grave{\eta}$ кal conj. Dalec. from $G$; $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath ̂}$ кal Ald.
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## Of the Timber of rarious Trees and its Uses.

I. In like manner we must endeavour to speak of timber, saying of what nature is that of each tree, what is the right season for cutting it, which kinds are hard or easy to work, and anything else that belongs to such an enquiry.

## Of the seasons of cutting.

${ }^{1}$ Now these are the right seasons for cutting timber:-for 'round' timber and that whose bark is to be stripped the time is when the tree is coming into leaf. For then the bark is easily stripped (which process they call 'peeling' ${ }^{2}$ ) because of the moisture which forms beneath it. At a later time it is hard to strip, ${ }^{3}$ and the timber obtained is black and uncomely. However square logs can be cut after the time of peeling, since trimming with the axe removes the uncomeliness. In general any wood is at the best season as to strength when it has not merely ceased coming into leaf, but has even ripened its fruit; however on account of the bark-stripping it comes to pass that 'round' timber is in season ${ }^{4}$ when it is cut before it is ripe, so that, as it happens, the seasons are here reversed. Moreover the wood
${ }^{+}$i.e. in practice the timber is cut before the ideally proper time.

## THEOPHRASTUS
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of the silver-fir is of a better colour at the time ${ }^{1}$ of the first peeling.

But since they strip the bark of ${ }^{2}$ hardly any trees except silver-fir fir and pine, these trees are cut in the spring; for then is the time of coming into leaf. Other trees are cut sometimes after wheat-harvest, sometimes after the vintage and the rising of Arcturus, as aricu (holm-oak) elm maple manna-ash sygia beech lime Valonia oak, ${ }^{3}$ and in general all those whose timber is for underground use. ${ }^{4}$ The oak is cut latest of all, in early winter at the end of autumn. ${ }^{5}$ If it is cut at the time of peeling, it rots almost more quickly than at any other time, whether it has the bark on or not. This is especially so if it is cut during the first peeling, less so during the second, and least during the third. What is cut after the ripening of the fruit remains untouched by worms, even if it has not peeled : however worms get in under the bark and mark the surface of the stem, and such marked pieces of wood some use as seals. ${ }^{6}$ Oak-wood if cut in the right season does not rot and is remarkably free from worms, and its texture is hard and close like horn; for it is like the heart of a tree throughout, except that that of the kind called sea-bark oak is even at that time of poor quality. ${ }^{7}$

Again, if the trees are cut at the time of coming into leaf, the result is the opposite of that which follows when they are cut after fruiting: for in the former case the trunks dry up and the trees do not sprout into leaf, ${ }^{8}$ whereas after the time of fruiting they sprout at the sides. At this season however
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they are harder to cut because the wood is tongher. It is also recommended to do the cutting when the moon has set, since then the wood is harder and less likely to rot. But, since the times when the fruit ripens are different for different trees, it is clear that the right moment for cutting also differs, being later for those ${ }^{1}$ trees which fruit later. Wherefore some try to define the time for the cutting of each tree ; for instance for fir and silverfir the time is, they say, when they begin to peel ${ }^{2}$ : for beech lime maple and sygia in autumn; for oak, ${ }^{3}$ as has been said, when autumn is past. Some however say that the fir is ripe for cutting in spring, when it has on it the thing called 'catkin,' 4 and the pine when its 'cluster's is in bloom. Thus they distinguish which trees are ripe for cutting at various times; however it is clear that in all cases the wood is better when the tree is in its prime than when it is quite young or has grown old, the wood of quite young trees being too succulent, and that of old ones too full of mineral matter.

## Of the wood of silver-fir and fir.

Silver-fir and fir are the most useful trees and in the greatest variety of ways, and their ${ }^{6}$ timber is the fairest and largest. Yet they differ from one another in many respects; the fir is fleshier and has few fibres, while the silver-fir has many fibres and is not fleshy, so that in respect of each component it is the reverse of the other, having stout fibres ${ }^{7}$ but soft

```
4}cf.1.1. 2 n.; 3. 5. 5.
5}i.e. the male inflorescence
\mp@subsup{}{}{6}\tau\alphav̂\tau\alpha conj. Sch. from G; aủtà Ald.H.
7 cf. 3. 9. 7; Plin. 16. }184
```
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flesh of open texture. Wherefore the timber of the one is heary, of the other light, the one ${ }^{1}$ being resinous, the other without resin; wherefore also it is whiter: Moreover the fir has more branches, but those of the silver-fir are much tougher, or rather they are tougher than those of any other tree ; "the branches of both however are of close texture, horny, ${ }^{3}$ and in colour brown and like resin-glutted wood. ${ }^{4}$ When the branches of either tree are cut, sap streams from them for a considerable time, but especially from those of the silver-fir. Moreover the wood of the silver-fir has many layers, like an onion : ${ }^{5}$ there is always another beneath that which is visible, and the wood is composed of such layers throughout. Wherefore, when men are shaving this wood to make oars, ${ }^{6}$ they endeavour to take off the several coats one by one evenly : for, if they do this, they get a strong spar, while if they do the work irregularly and do not strip ${ }^{7}$ off the coats evenly, they get a weak one ; for the process in this case is hacking instead of stripping. The silver-fir also gives timber of the greatest lengths and of the straightest growth; wherefore yard-arms ${ }^{8}$ and masts are made from it. Also the vessels ${ }^{3}$ and fibre are more clearly ${ }^{10}$ seen in it than in any other tree. At first ${ }^{11}$ it grows in height only, until it has reached ${ }^{12}$ the sunshine ; and so far there is no branch nor sidegrowth nor density of habit; but after that the tree proceeds to increase in bulk ${ }^{13}$ and density of habit, as ${ }^{14}$ the outgrowing branches and sidegrowths develop.


${ }^{13}$ cf. 4. 1. 4.
${ }^{14}$ Lit. 'this being the effect of the outgrowth.' $\pi \dot{\alpha} \chi 0{ }^{\circ}$ o乇́t $\omega$ s Ald.; $\pi a ́ \chi o s, ~ ঠ ̃ \tau a \nu ~ c o n j . ~ W . ~$
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 фúбє८ тà छú入a таûta трòs $\delta$ Ł̀ тàs ঠıaбтрофàs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \tau \alpha$ ．
11 பıaфорàs dè é $\chi o v \sigma \iota$ тoîs ф入oıoîs，каӨ＇às

${ }^{1}$ Plin．l．c．
${ }^{2}$ The meaning of＇four－cleft＇etc．seems to be this：
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. I. 9 it

These are the characteristics peculiar to the silverfir. Others it shares with the fir and the other trees of this class. ${ }^{1}$ For instance, sometimes a tree is 'four-cleft,' sometimes 'two-cleft'; it is called 'fourcleft' when on either side of the heart-wood there are two distinct and diverse lines of fissure: in that case the blows of the axe follow these lines in cases where the hewing is stopped short on either side of the heart-wood. ${ }^{2}$ For the nature of the lines of fissure compels the hewing to take this course. Silver-firs or firs thus formed are said to be 'four-cleft.' And these are also the fairest trees for carpentry, their wood being the closest and possessing the aigis. ${ }^{3}$ Those which are 'two-cleft' have one single line of fissure on either side of the heart-wood, and the lines of fissure do not correspond to each other, so that the hewing also is performed by cuts which follow the two lines of fissure, so as to reach the two sides of the heart-wood at different angles. Now such wood, they say, is the softest, but the worst for carpentry, as it warps most easily. Those trees which have only a single ${ }^{4}$ continuous line of fissure are said to be 'one-cleft,' though here too the cutting is done from either side of the heart-wood: and such wood has, they say, an open ${ }^{5}$ texture, and yet ${ }^{6}$ it is not at all apt to warp.
${ }^{7}$ There are also differences in the bark, by observation of which they can tell at once what the
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 таи̂та סià тò трòs тѝ $\chi \rho \in i ́ a \nu ~ o u ́ \tau \omega ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$




 єīтєi้ข．

 тєктоעєкウ̀ хрєíav тîs єis тìv＇E入入áठa тара－
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timber of the tree is like as it stands. ${ }^{1}$ For if the timber has straight and not crooked lines of fissure, the bark also is smooti and regular, while if the timber has the opposite character, the bark is rough and twisted; and so too is it with other points. However few trees are 'four-cleft,' and most of those which are not are 'one-cleft.' All wood, as was said before, which grows in a position facing north, is bigger, more erect, of straighter grain, tougher, and in general fairer and more abundant. Moreover of an individual tree the wood on the northward side is closer and more vigorous. But if a tree stands sideways to the north ${ }^{2}$ with a draught round it, the north wind by degrees twists and contorts ${ }^{3}$ it, so that its core becomes twisted instead of running straight. The timber of such a tree while still in one piece is strong, but, when cut, it is weak, because the grain slants across the several pieces. Carpenters call such wood 'short lengths,' because they thus cut it up for use. Again in general wood which comes from a moist, sheltered, shady or confined position is inferior both for carpentry and for fuel. Such are the differences, generally ${ }^{4}$ speaking, between trees of the same kind as they are affected by situation.

## Of the effects on timber of climate.

II. ${ }^{5}$ Someindeed make adistinction betweenregions and say that the best of the timber which comes into Hellas for the carpenter's purposes is the Macedonian, for it is smooth and of straight grain, and it contains resin: second best is that from Pontus, third that
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 ＇Аркабикิя бкєттє́од．

 Sè үívєтаь тà какотрофŋӨє́vта каi グтоь $\chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\nu}$









 фаעєроí．








${ }^{1}$ A river which flows into the Propontis on the Asiatic side．
${ }^{2}$ Near Mlount Oeta．Aivavıkíy conj．Palm．from Plin． l．c．；aiavเкうे $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald． H ．
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## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. in. $1-3$

from the Rhyndakos, ${ }^{1}$ fourth that of the comntry of the Ainianes, ${ }^{2}$ worst is that of Parnassus and that of Euboea, for it is full of knots and rough and quickly rots. As to Arcadian timber the case is doubtful.

## Of knots and 'coiling' in timber.

The strongest wood is that which is without knots and smooth, and it is also the fairest in appearance. ${ }^{3}$ Wood becomes knotiy when it has been ill nourished and has suffered severely whether from winter or some such cause; for in general a knotty habit is supposed to indicate lack of nourishment. When however, after being ill nourished, the tree recovers and becomes vigorous, the result is that the knots are absorbed ${ }^{4}$ by the growth which now covers them ; for the tree, being now well fed and growing vigorously, recovers, and often the wood is smooth outside, though when split it is seen to have knots. And this is why they examine the core of wood that has been split; for, if this contains knots, the outward ${ }^{5}$ parts will also be knotty, and these knots are harder to deal with than the outer ones, and are easily recognised.

6'Coiling' of the wood is also due to winter or ill nourishment. Wood is said to 'coil' when there is in it closer twisting ${ }^{7}$ than usual, made up of an unusual number of rings: this is not quite like a knot, nor is it like the ordinary curling of the wood, which runs right through it and is uniform. 'Coiling ' is much more troublesome and difficult to deal with than knots; it seems to correspond to the so-called

[^246]
## THEOPHRASTUS


 $\alpha i \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \kappa \alpha i \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$













 коועà $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \nu \omega \nu$.




 $\pi$ ávт $\omega \nu \lambda є \kappa \tau \in ́ \sigma \nu$.

[^247]430

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. II. 3-III. I

'centres' which occur in marbles. That ${ }^{1}$ vigorous growth covers ${ }^{2}$ up the knots is plain from simple observation of the fact and also from other similar instances. ${ }^{3}$ For often some part of the tree itself is absorbed by the rest of the tree which has grown into it; and again, if one makes a hole in a tree and puts ${ }^{4}$ a stone into it or some other such thing, it becomes buried, being completely enveloped by the wood which grows all round it: this happened with the wild olive in the market-place at Megara; there was an oracle that, if this were cut open, the city would be taken and plundered, which came to pass when Demetrius took it. ${ }^{5}$ For, when this tree was split open, there were found greaves and certain other things ${ }^{6}$ of Attic workmanship hanging there, the hole ${ }^{7}$ in the tree having been made at the place where the things were originally hung on it as offerings. Of this tree a small part still exists, and in many other places further instances have occurred. Moreover, as has been said, such occurrences happen also with various other trees.

## Of difjerences in the texture of different woods.

III. ${ }^{8}$ Corresponding to the individual characters of the several trees we have the following kinds of differences in the wood:-it differs in closeness, heaviness, hardness or their opposites, and in other similar ways; and these differences are common to cultivated and wild trees. So that we may speak of all trees without distinction.
${ }^{7}$ Ép $\gamma a \sigma$ íus крє $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тồ rotivou ô̂ I conj. from G and Plin. l.c. (certain restoration perhaps impossible) ; кєр $\mu \eta \sigma \tau \iota$ ö
 $\mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$; St. suggested $\kappa \rho \epsilon \mu a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\partial} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ as words of the original text, $\quad{ }^{8}$ Plin. 16. 204-207.

## THEOPHRASTUS







 tîvar．

Mé $\lambda a \nu$ ठè $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ к а i ~ \pi и к \nu o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \rho-~$ $\mu i \nu \theta o u$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ，үov̂̀ ミupíal $\mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \phi а \sigma \iota \nu ~$





 $\mu \in \lambda(i ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ．


 aưтov̂ кaì к $\lambda$ ívas каi סí申povs каì тà ä̀ $\lambda \lambda a$ тà
 каì ка入óфv入入ov єî̀aı ö $\mu$ oьov тaîs ảmious．
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Box and ebony seem to have the closest and heaviest wood; for their wood does not even float on water. This applies to the box-tree as a whole, and to the core of the ebony, which contains the black pigment. ${ }^{1}$ The nettle-tree also is very close and heavy, and so is the core of the oak, which is called 'heart of oak,' and to a still greater degree this is true of the core of laburnum ${ }^{2}$; for this seems to resemble the ebony.

The wood of the terebinth is also very black and close-grained; at least in Syria ${ }^{3}$ they say that it is blacker than ebony, that in fact they use it for making their dagger handles; and by means of the lathechisel they also make of it 'Theriklean' cups, ${ }^{4}$ so that no one could ${ }^{5}$ distinguish these from cups made of pottery; for this purpose they use, it is said, the heart-wood, but the wood has to be oiled, for then it becomes comelier and blacker.

There is also, they say, another tree ${ }^{6}$ which, as well as the black colour, has a sort of reddish variegation, so that it looks like variegated ebony, and of it are made beds and couches and other things of superior quality. This tree is very large and has handsome leaves and is like the pear.

These trees then, as well as the black colour, have close wood; so also have maple sygia and in general all those that are of compact growth ; so also have the olive and the wild olive, but their wood is brittle. ${ }^{7}$ Of wild trees which are used for rooftimbers the wood of the silver-fir is the least comcolour to the cups made by Therikles, a famous Corinthian potter ; see reff. to comedy in LS. s.v.

${ }^{6}$ Sissoo wood. See Index App. (21).
${ }^{7}$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha \hat{\nu} \rho \alpha$ conj. Sch.; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \hat{l} \alpha \tilde{\rho} \rho \alpha$ MVAld.

## THEOPHRASTUS

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ тà äктєขa каì тà бúкıva каì $\tau \grave{a} \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \mu \eta \lambda \in ́ a s ~ \kappa a i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \delta a ́ \phi \nu \eta ร . ~ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta-$ ро́тата סè тà סрúìa каì тà そúyıva каì тà
 т $\eta \nu \nu$ т $\rho \dot{\pi} \pi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mu a \lambda a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s ~ \chi a ́ p \iota \nu . ~ \mu a \lambda a \kappa a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\sigma a \rho \kappa \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ фìдvра．ठокєî $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi $\theta \in \rho-$
 à $\mu \beta \lambda u ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{a} \sigma \iota \delta \eta ́ p \iota a \cdot \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho \beta a \phi \eta ̀ \nu$ ảvínбı $\delta \iota a ̀$ т $̀ \nu$ Өєр $\mu$ о́т $\eta \tau \alpha$ ．
$\Theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ̀ \nu$ ঠ̀̀ каi кıттòs каì $\delta a ́ \phi \nu \eta$ каї ö $\lambda \omega \varsigma$



 биции́єє 才àp $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \tau a \cdot$ коифо́тєроע סє̀ тò тîS


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ a \varsigma . ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta ́ \in ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \tau о \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ỏpӘòv



 $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu o u s{ }^{\circ}$ є́à̀ yàp oủtol $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \sigma \iota$ ，кaì ai $\theta$ úpal $\mu \in ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \rho a \beta \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \imath ́, ~ \delta \iota a \sigma т \rho є ́ \phi о \nu т а \iota . ~$
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pact, and among others that of the elder fig apple and bay. The hardest woods are those of the oak sygia and aria (holm-oak); in fact men wet ${ }^{1}$ these to soften them for boring holes. In general, woods which are of open porous texture are soft, and of those of fleshy texture the softest is the lime. The last-named seems also to be the hottest; the proof of which is that it blunts iron tools more than any other; for they lose their edge ${ }^{2}$ by reason of its heat.

Ivy and bay are also hot woods, and so in general are those used for making fire-sticks; and Menestor ${ }^{3}$ adds the wood of the mulberry. ${ }^{4}$ The coldest woods are those which grow in water and are of succulent character. The wood again of willow and vine is tough; wherefore men make their shields of these woods; for they close up again after a blow; but that of the willow is lighter, since it is of less compact texture; wherefore they use this for choice. The wood of the plane is fairly tough, but it is moister in character, as also is that of the elm. A proof of this is that, if it is set upright ${ }^{5}$ after being cut, it discharges much water: ${ }^{6}$ The wood of the mulberry is at once of close grain and tough.
${ }^{7}$ The wood of the elm is the least likely to warp; wherefore they make the 'hinges's of doors out of elm wood; for, if these hold, the doors also keep in place; otherwise they get wrenched out of place. They make the 'hinges' by putting wood from the root above ${ }^{9}$ and wood 'from the foliage' below, ${ }^{9}$ thus

| ${ }^{3}$ cf. 1. 2. 3 n . \& Plin. 16.209. |
| :---: |
|  |
| cf. 5. 1. 6. ${ }^{\text {F Plin. 16.210. }}$ |
| Sc. an arrangement of cylindrical pivot and socket. |
| i.e. as socket and pivot respectively ; cf. 5. 5. 4. |

## THEOPHRASTUS




 ทิข ท̀ фopá.

Tàs ס̀̀ $\theta u ́ p a s ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon u ̉ \theta u ̀ s ~ \sigma u \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o v ̂ \sigma ı \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~$




 є้ข七кцоข о̋ขта.
'O ठє̀ фоîvı\} кои̂фоs каi єvैєрүоs каi $\mu a \lambda a \kappa o ́ s, ~$








Tò Sè Өúov, oi סє̀ Өv́av кадоv̄бı, тар" "А $\mu \mu \omega \nu i ́$
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reversing the natural position: (by wood 'from the foliage' joiners mean the upper wood). For, when these are fitted the one into the other, each counteracts ${ }^{1}$ the other, as they naturally tend in opposite directions: whereas, if the wood were set ${ }^{2}$ as it grows, ${ }^{3}$ all the parts ${ }^{4}$ would give where the strain came. ${ }^{5}$
(They do not finish off the doors at once; but, when they have put them together, stand them up, and then finish them off the next year, or sometimes the next year but one, ${ }^{6}$ if they are doing specially good work. For in summer, as the wood dries, the work comes apart, but it closes in winter. The reason is that the open Heshy texture of the wood of the silver-fir ${ }^{\text {' }}$ drinks in the air, which is full of moisture.)
${ }^{\text {s Palm-wood is light easily worked and soft like }}$ cork-oak, hut is superior to that wood, as it is tough, while the other is brittle. Wherefore men now make their images of palm-wood and have given up the wood of cork-oak. However the fibres do not run throughout the wood, nor do they run to a good length, nor are they all set symmetrically, but run in every direction. The wood dries while it is being planed and sawn.
${ }^{9}$ Thyon (thyine wood), which some call thya, grows near the temple of Zeus Ammon and in the district of Cyrene. In appearance the tree is like the cypress alike in its branches, its leaves, its stem, and its fruit; or rather it is like a wild cypress. ${ }^{10}$ There
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 $\dot{a} \pi o \delta \eta \lambda о \hat{\imath}$.
IV. Bapéa $\delta e ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ к о и ̂ \phi a ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \tau к \nu o ́-~$ тทть каі $\mu а \nu о ́ т \eta т \iota ~ к а і ̀ ~ ข ́ \gamma \rho о ́ т \eta т \iota ~ к а і ̈ ~ \xi \eta р о ́ т \eta т \iota ~ к а \grave{~}$ т̣̂̂ $\gamma \lambda о \iota \omega$ б́бє каi $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о ́ т \eta \tau \iota ~ к а і ~ \mu а \lambda а к о ́ т \eta т \iota ~$




 ßари́тєра каi то̀ ő $\lambda о \nu$ í $\sigma \chi$ ро́тєра, каӨáтєр каi
 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о ́ т \epsilon \rho а ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \kappa а \rho \pi i ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{a} ~ \chi \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota \kappa а \rho \pi о т \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu . ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu i ́ ~ \pi о и ~ к а \rho \pi \iota \mu \dot{т \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~}$


 $\kappa \alpha i$ бтєрєढ́тєра८ каi $\mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठє̀ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ әл $\mu$ є́ $\rho \omega \nu$.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. iII. 7-IV. I

is abundance of it where now the city stands, and men can still recall that some of the roofs in ancient times were made of it. For the wood is absolutely proof against decay, and the root is of very compact texture, and they make of it the most valuable articles. Images are carved from these woods, prickly cedar cypress nettle-tree box, and the small ones also from the roots of the olive, which are unbreakable and of a more or less uniformly Aleshy character. The above facts illustrate certain special features of position, natural character and use.

Of differences in timher as to hurdness and heaviness.
IV. Difference in weight is clearly to be determined by closeness or openness of texture, dampness or dryness, degree of glutinousness, hardness or softness. Now some woods are both hard and heavy, as box and oak, while those that are brittle and hardest owing to their dryness, are not heavy. ${ }^{1}$ All wood of wild trees, as we have said before, is closer harder heavier, and in general stronger than that of the cultivated forms, and there is the same difference between the wood of 'male' and of 'female' trees, and in general between trees which bear no fruit and those which have fruit, and between those which bear inferior fruit and those whose fruit is better ; on the other hand occasionally the 'male' tree is the more fruitful, for instance, it is said, the cypress the cornelian cherry and others. However of vines it is clear that those which bear less fruit have also more frequent knots and are more solid, ${ }^{2}$ and so too with apples and other cultivated trees.
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2 'А $\quad$ бam






 $\mu \in \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{a}$ кvтарíттıva каi тà $\theta v \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v к а ́-~$

 $\mu \dot{\text { '́ }} \alpha \nu, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \lambda \omega \tau$ ós.



 رoùs каì єis тàs $\lambda i ́ \mu \nu a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ т о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma о и ̆ \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

 ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \mu \eta$.


 $\pi \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta \nu$ є่ $\lambda a ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ن́тì̀ $\tau \epsilon \rho \eta \delta_{o ́ v o s ~}^{\text {é } \sigma \theta i \in \sigma \theta a l . ~}$


${ }_{1}^{1}$ Plin. 16. 213.
 . . . є̌кєєцто Ald. H.; P has є̌кєєто.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. iv. $2-4$

## Of differences in the keeping quality of timber.

${ }^{1}$ Naturally proof against decay are cypress prickly cedar ebony nettle-tree box olive wild olive resinous fir aria (holm-oak) oak sweet chestnut. Of these the wood of the cypress seems to last longest ; at least the cypress-wood at Ephesus, of which the doors of the modern temple were made, lay stored up ${ }^{2}$ for four generations. And this is the only wood which talses a fine polish, wherefore they make of it valuable articles. Of the others the least liable to decay after the wood of the cypress and thyine-wood is, they say, that of the mulberry, which is also strong and easily worked: when it becomes old, this wood turns black like that of the nettle-tree.
${ }^{3}$ Again whether a given wood is not liable to decay may depend on the purpose to which it is put and the conditions to which it is subjected: thus the elm does not decay if exposed to the air, nor the oak if it is buried or soaked in water ; for it appears to be entirely proof against decay: wherefore they build vessels of it for use on rivers and on lakes, but in seawater it rots, though other woods last all the better; which is natural, as they become seasoned with the brine.
${ }^{4}$ The beech also seems to be proof against decay in water and to be improved by being soaked. The sweet chestnut under like treatment is also proof against decay. They say that the wood of the fir is more liable to be eaten by the teredon than that of the silver-fir; for that the latter is dry, while the fir has a sweet taste, and that this is more so, the more the wood is soaked with resin ${ }^{5}$; they go on to

$$
{ }^{3} \text { Plin. 16. 218. }{ }_{5} c f, 3.9 .4 .
$$

## THEOPHRASTUS
















 $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta р и ̀ ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ т \iota \tau \rho a ̂ \nu a \iota, ~ к а \theta a ́ т т є \rho ~$
 $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ є̇v т $\hat{\omega}$ v̋ $\delta a \tau \iota$.

 Өроv. то́тє үàp тàs үєфúpas тоוov̂עтєs є̉入aтívas $\kappa \alpha i$, ӧтаע є่таขаßаívך тò v̋ $\delta \omega \rho$, ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ каi ă $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$

 $\pi \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ оя.
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say that all woods are eaten by the teredon except the olive, wild or cultivated, and that these woods escape because of their bitter taste. ${ }^{1}$ Now woods which decay in sea-water are eaten by the teredon, those which decay on land by the stolex and thrips; for the teredon does not occur except in the sea. It is a creature small in size, but has a large head and teeth; the thrips resembles the skolex, and these creatures gradually bore through ${ }^{2}$ timber. The harm that these do is easy to remedy; for, if the wood is smeared with pitch, it does not let in water when it is dragged down into the sea; but the harm done by the teredon cannot be undone. Of the slonlekes which occur in wood some come from the decay of the wood itself, some from other skolekes which engender therein. For these produce their young in timber, as the worm called the 'horned worm' ${ }^{3}$ does in trees, having bored and scooped out a sort of mouse-hole ${ }^{4}$ by turning round and round. But it avoids wood which has a strong smell or is bitter or hard, such as boxwood, since it is unable to bore through it. They say too that the wood of the silver-fir, if barked just before the time of budding, remains in water without decaying, and that this was clearly seen at Pheneos in Arcadia, when their plain was turned into a lake since the outlet was blocked up. ${ }^{5}$ For at that time they made ${ }^{6}$ their bridges of this wood, and, as the water rose, they placed more and more atop of them, and, when the water burst its way through and disappeared, all the wood was found to be undecayed. This fact then became known by means of an accident.

[^254]
## THEOPHRASTUS








 $\kappa \alpha \lambda a ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \epsilon \in \chi o v ́ \sigma a s \dot{o} \mu o i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ тои̂ тírpıos $\delta \in ́ \rho \mu a \tau \iota \cdot \beta a \rho u ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o v ~$


8 Kai тò тท̂s $\mu v \rho i ́ \kappa \eta \varsigma ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \xi v ่ \lambda o \nu ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~$








 р́єттє ка́ть.


${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 221; cf. 4. 7. 7.
2 Teak. See Index App. (22).
${ }^{3}$. Calamander-wood. See Index App. (23).

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. iv. 7-r. i

${ }^{1}$ In the island of Tylos off the Arabian coast they say that there is a kind of wood ${ }^{2}$ of which they build their ships, and that in sea-water this is almost proof against decay ; for it lasts more than 200 years if it is kept under water, while, if it is kept out of water, it decays sooner, though not for some time. They also tell of another strange thing, though it has nothing to do with the question of decay: they say that there is a certain tree, ${ }^{3}$ of which they cut their staves, and that these are very handsome, having a variegated appearance like the tiger's skin; and that this wood is exceedingly heavy, yet when one throws it down on hard ground ${ }^{4}$ it breaks in pieces like pottery.

Moreover, the wood of the tamarisk ${ }^{5}$ is not weak there, as it is in our country, but is as strong as kermes-oak or any other strong wood. Now this illustrates also the difference in properties caused by country and climate. Moreover when wood, such as that of oak or fir, is soaked in brine-not all being soaked at the same depth in the sea, but some of it close to shore, some rather further out, and some at a still greater depth-in all cases the parts of the tree nearest the root (whichever tree it is) sink quicker under water, and even if they Hoat, have a greater tendency to sink.

> Which kinds of rood are ensy and which hard to worl: Of the core and its effects.
V. Some wood is easy to work, some difficult. Those woods which are soft are easy, and especially
${ }^{4} \pi \rho \grave{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho$. тómov can hardly le sound : ?' on something harder than itself.'
${ }^{5}$ See Index, $\mu$ vpík (2). ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 186.

## THEOPHRASTUS

$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma т a ~ ф i ́ \lambda v \rho a \cdot ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \epsilon \rho \gamma а ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к а і ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma к \lambda \eta \rho व ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~$


 тò $\mu а \lambda а \kappa \omega ́ т є \rho о \nu ~ т о \hat{v}$ бк入протє́คоv крє̂̂ттоע.





 тоѝs тívaкая ả хра́боs.














${ }^{1} 5.3 .3$.
${ }^{2} \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \alpha ̀$ conj. Sch. from G (?) ; таи̃ $\tau \alpha \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.H.

4 Er $\lambda \alpha ́ \alpha \nu$ conj. Seal. from G ; ẻ $\lambda a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ Ald.H.
5 i.e. and this happens less in woods which have little core.
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that of the lime; those are difficult which are hard and have many knots and a compact and twisted grain. The most difficult woods are those of aria (holm-oak) and oak, and the knotty parts of the fir and silver-fir. The softer part of any given tree is always better than the harder, since it is fleshier : and carpenters can thus at once mark the parts suitable for planks. Inferior iron tools can cut hard wood better than soft: for on soft wood tools lose their edge, as was said ${ }^{1}$ in speaking of the lime, while hard woods ${ }^{2}$ actually sharpen it: wherefore cobblers make their strops of wild pear.

Carpenters say that all woods have ${ }^{3}$ a core, but that it is most plainly seen in the silver-fir, in which one can detect a sort of bark-like character in the rings. In olive box and such woods this is not so obvious; wherefore they say that box and olive ${ }^{4}$ lack this tendency; for that these woods are less apt to 'draw' than any others. 'Drawing' is the closing in of the wood as the core is disturbed. ${ }^{5}$ For since the core remains alive, it appears, for a long time, it is always removed from any article whatever made of this wood, ${ }^{6}$ but especially from doors, ${ }^{7}$ so that they may not warp ${ }^{8}$ : and that is why the wood is split. ${ }^{9}$

It might seem strange that in 'round' 10 timber the core does no harm and so is left undisturbed, while in wood whose texture has been interfered with, ${ }^{11}$ unless it is taken out altogether, it causes
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 тоע 犭áp，каi тoùs ä入入ovs ảvà 入óyov．ơтаע oûv 4 $\sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon i ̄ \tau a \iota \tau a ̀ ~ \xi \eta \rho o ́ \tau a \tau a$ ．$\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \rho a$



 тò $a, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta \gamma ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{u} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 oỉov $\pi v$ v́





${ }_{1}$ And so cause no trouble．
${ }^{2}$ cf．5．1．6．$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ fous conj．Sch．from $G$ ；$\not \subset \lambda \lambda$ ous Ald．H．
${ }^{3}$ Text prohably defective ；？insert $\epsilon \xi \eta p \in \dot{\theta} \eta$ after $\xi \eta \rho \delta \nu$.
${ }^{4}$ The figure wonld seem to be
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disturbance and warping: it were rather to be expected that it would die ${ }^{1}$ when exposed. Yet it is a fact that masts and yard-arms are useless, if it has been removed from the wood of which they are made. This is however an accidental exception, because the wood in question has several coats, ${ }^{2}$ of which the strongest and also thinnest is the outermost, since this is the driest, while the other coats are strong and thin in proportion to their nearness to the outermost. If therefore the wood be split, the driest parts are necessarily stripped off. Whether however in the other case the object of removing the core is to secure dryness is matter for enquiry. ${ }^{3}$ However, when the core 'draws,' it iwists the wood, whether it has been split or sawn, if the sawing is improperly performed : the saw-cut should be made straight and not slantwise. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, if the core be represented by the line $A$, the cut must be made along the line $B D$, and not along the line $B C$ : for in that case, they say, the core will be destroyed, while, if cut in the other way, it will live. For this reason men think that every wood has a core: for it is clear that those which do not seem to possess one nevertheless have it, as box nettle-tree kermes-oak: a proof of this is the fact that men make of these woods the pivots ${ }^{5}$ of expensive doors, and accordingly ${ }^{6}$ the headcraftsmen specify that wood with a core shall not ${ }^{\text { }}$ be used. This is also a proof that any core 'draws,' even those of the hardest woods, which some call the heart. In ahnost every wood, even
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 тò ávà $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \pi о \lambda u ́ ~ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta р о т a ́ т \eta ~ \delta є ́, ~ о ̋ т \iota ~ к а і ~$
 oi ápұıтє́ктоעєs биүүрáфоעтає тараıрєî тà трòs
 тоу каі $\mu а \lambda а к ю ́ т а т о \nu . ~$
 $\kappa а т a ̀ ~ т o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma о \nu ~ \pi \rho i ́ \zeta о v \sigma i ~ \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa \eta \tau a ̀ ~ \delta є ́, ~ o ̈ \sigma \omega \nu ~$



 $\kappa а i ~ т a ̀ ~ \sigma т р о \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda a ~ ¢ ீ \eta ́ \gamma \nu v т а и ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon ~} \pi о \lambda u ̀$

 סє̀ $\lambda \omega \tau i ́ v o l s ~ к а i ~ т о \imath ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ o i ̂ s ~ є i s ~ т о и ̀ s ~ \sigma т \rho o ́-~$ фєуүаs храิעтає тро̀s то̀ $\mu \eta े ~ \rho ீ \eta ́ \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta o ́ \lambda \beta \iota \tau о \nu ~$

 $\mu \eta ́ т \rho a$ тоьаи́т $\eta \nu$ é $\chi \in \iota ~ \delta v ́ \nu a \mu \iota \nu . ~$
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in that of the silver-fir, the core is the hardest part, ${ }^{1}$ and the part which has the least fibrous texture :-it is least fibrous because the fibres are far apart and there is a good deal of fleshy matter between them, while it is the hardest part because the fibres and the fleshy substance are the hardest parts. Wherefore the headcraftsmen specify that the core and the parts next it are to be removed, that they may secure the closest and softest part of the wood.

Timber is cither 'cleft,' 'hewn,' or 'round' : it is called 'cleft,' when in making division they saw it down the middle, 'hewn' when they hew off' the outer parts, while 'round ' clearly signifies wood which has not been touched at all. Of these, 'cleft' wood ${ }^{3}$ is not at all liable to split, because the core when exposed dries and dies: but 'hewn' and 'round' wood are apt to split, and especially 'round' wood, because the core is included in it: no kind of timber indeed is altogether incapable of splitting. The wood of the nettle-tree and other kinds which are used for making pivots for doors are smeared ${ }^{4}$ with cow-dung to prevent their splitting: the object being that the moisture due to the core may be gradually dried up ${ }^{5}$ and evaporated. Such are the natural properties of the core.

## Which woods can lest support weight.

VI. ${ }^{6}$ For bearing weight silver-fir and fir are strong woods, when set slantwise ${ }^{7}$ : for they do not give like
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 тои́т $\omega \nu$ тоьoиิ $\sigma \iota$.


1 i.e. the strut becomes concave or convex respectively. cf. Xen. Cyr. 7. 5. 11.

2 i.e. it cannot be used as a strut, or it would 'buckle,' though it will stand a vertical strain.
${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 225.
${ }^{4}$ cf.C.Р.5.17.3. єi日иторйтата: єv่日vторíav.

## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. vi. I-3

oak and other woods which contain mineral matter, but make good resistance. A proof of this is that they never split like olive and oak, but decay first or fail in some other way. Palm-wood is also strong, for it bends the opposite way to other woods: they bend downwards, palm-wood upwards. ${ }^{1}$ It is said that fir and silver-fir also have an upward thrust. As to the sweet chestnut, which grows tall and is used for roofing, it is said that when it is about to split, it makes a noise, so that men are forewarned: this occurred once at Antandros at the baths, and all those present rushed out. Fig-wood is also strong, but only when set upright. ${ }^{2}$

Of the woods best suited for the carpenter's rarious purpoxes.
${ }^{3}$ The wood of the silver-fir may be called the strongest of all. But for the carpenter's purposes fir best takes glue because of its open texture and the straightness of its pores ${ }^{4}$; for they say that it never by any chance comes apart when it is glued. Alatemus ${ }^{5}$ is the easiest wood for turning, and its whiteness is like that of the holly. Of the rest lime is the easiest, the whole tree, as was said, being easy to work because of the softness of the wood. In general those woods which are tough are easy to bend. The mulberry and the wild fig seem to be specially so; wherefore they make of these theatre-seats, ${ }^{6}$ the hoops of garlands, and, in general, things for ornament.

7 Woods which have a fair amount of moisture in them are easier to saw or split than those which
${ }^{5}$ cf. 5. 7. 7.

- Rendering doubtful. Trpıa has probably here some unknown meaning, on which the sense of кó $\sigma \mu \nu$ depends.
${ }^{7}$ Plin. 16. 227.
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 їбтаעта८ тà $\delta є ̀ ~ \chi \lambda \omega \rho a ̀ ~ \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma ч \mu \mu v ́ є \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon-~$





 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ठè тà $\lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \xi \eta \rho a ̀ ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ т \eta \tau а ~$
 $\pi \rho i \epsilon \iota \nu, \delta \iota$ ' ò каì трит $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ є́ $\pi \iota \beta \rho \in ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$.





 $\sigma \tau \rho о ф \epsilon ́ a s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ є̉ $\lambda \in ́ \chi \theta \eta$, таîs $\theta$ úpaıs $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ v o u s$



 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta$ үàp aüтך ì хрєía каi є̀v $\mu є \gamma i \sigma т о \iota s$,
 хри́бıцоу.
'Е入áтך $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ồv каi $\pi \epsilon \cup ́ \kappa \eta ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ \delta \rho о \varsigma ~ \dot{\omega} \varsigma ~ \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma$

[^259]
## ENQUIRY INTO PLANTS, V. vi. $3^{- \text {Vir. }}$ I

are altogether dry: for the latter give, ${ }^{1}$ while the former resist. Wood which is too green closes up again when sawn, and the sawdust catches in the saw's teeth and clogs ${ }^{2}$ them; wherefore the teeth of the saw are set alternate ways, to get rid of the sawdust. Wood which is too green is also harder to bore holes in ; for the auger's dust is only brought up slowly, because it is heavy; while, if the wood is dry, the air gets warmed by the boring and brings it up readily and at once. On the other hand, wood which is over dry ${ }^{3}$ is hard to saw because of its hardness: for it is like sawing through earthenware; wherefore they wet the auger when using it.

However green wood is easier to work with the axe the chisel or the plane; for the chisel gets a better hold and does not slip off. Again softer woods are easier for the axe and for smoothing, ${ }^{4}$ and also a better polished surface is obtained. The cornelian cherry is also a very strong wood, and among the rest elm-wood is the strongest; wherefore, as was said, ${ }^{5}$ they make the 'hinges' for doors of elm-wood. Manna-ash and beech have very moist wood, for of these they make elastic bedsteads.

## Of the woods used in ship-building.

VII. Next we must endeavour to say in a general way, distinguishing the several uses, for which purposes each kind of timber is serviceable, which is of use for ship-building, which for house-building : for these uses extend far and are important.

Now silver-fir, fir and Syrian cedar ${ }^{6}$ are, generally
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 $\xi u ́ \lambda o \nu$.
 मívou $\mu \in \lambda$ ías $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \in ́ a s ~ \pi \lambda a \tau$ ávov. $\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma \chi$ о́т $\eta \tau a$
 $\pi \lambda a \tau a ́ v o v \cdot ~ \tau a \chi u ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \sigma \eta ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha u . ~ \tau а i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau \rho \iota \eta ́ p \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~$
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speaking, useful for ship-building; for triremes and long ships are made of silver-fir, because of its lightness, and merchant ships of fir, because it does not decay; while some make triremes of it also because they are ill provided with silver-fir. The people of Syria and Phoenicia use Syrian cedar, since they cannot obtain much fir either; while the people of Cyprus use Aleppo pine, since their island provides this and it seems to be superior to their fir. Most parts are made of these woods; but the keel for a trireme ${ }^{1}$ is made of oak, that it may stand the hauling ; and for merchantmen it is made of fir. However they put an oaken keel under this when they are hauling, or for smaller vessels a keel of beech; ;3 and the sheathing ${ }^{3}$ is made entirely of this wood.
${ }^{4}$ (However oak-wood does not join well with glue on to fir or silver-fir; for the one is of close, the other of open grain, the one is uniform, the other not so; whereas things which are to be made into one piece should be of similar character, and not of opposite character, like wood and stone.)

The work of bentwood ${ }^{5}$ for vessels is made of mulberry manna-ash elm or plane; for it must be tough and strong. That made of plane-wood is the worst, since it soon decays. For triremes some make such parts of Aleppo pine because of its lightness. The cutwater, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to which the sheathing is attached, ${ }^{7}$ and the catheads are made of manna-ash mulberry
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 $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \grave{\nu}$ айт $\eta$.




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ i ̌ \delta \iota a ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, oiov $\sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ o ̋ \rho \gamma а \nu а ~$









 $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ oủ $\chi$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega$ s.
$5 \Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठє̀ каі̀ ка日' є̈кабтоу $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ єis тоîa
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and elm; for these parts must be strong. Such then is the timber used in ship-building.

## Of the woods used in house-building.

For house-building a much greater variety is used, silver-fir fir and prickly cedar; also cypress oak and Phoenician cedar. ${ }^{1}$ In fact, to speak generally, ${ }^{2}$ any wood is here of service, unless it is altogether weak: for there are various purposes for which different woods are serviceable, just as there are in ship-building. While other woods are serviceable for special articles belonging to various crafts, such as furniture tools and the like, the wood of silver-fir is of use for almost more purposes than any other wood; for it is even used for painters' tablets. For carpentry the oldest wood is the best, provided that it has not decayed; for it is convenient for almost anyone to use. But for ship-building, where bending is necessary, one must use wood which contains more moisture (though, where glue is to be used, drier wood is convenient). For timber-work for ships is set to stand when it is newly ${ }^{3}$ made: then, when it has become firmly united, ${ }^{4}$ it is dragged down to the water, and then it closes up and becomes watertight,-unless ${ }^{5}$ all the moisture has been dried out of it, in which case it will not take the glue, or will not take it so well.

## Of the uses of the wood of particular trees.

But we must consider for what purposes ${ }^{6}$ each several wood is serviceable. Silver-fir and fir, as has been said, are suitable both for ship-building house-
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 $\pi \rho o े s ~ \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ै \tau \iota ~ \tau є \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ s ~ к а \tau о р и т-~$





 каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \zeta u \gamma a ̀ ~ \tau \omega े \nu ~ \lambda o ф o u ́ p \omega \nu . ~ \mu i ́ \lambda o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~$









 єis тà каториттó $\mu \in \nu a$ катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} S$ ठià тò à áaтés.
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building and also for other linds of work, but silverfir is of use for more purposes than fir. Aleppo pine is used for both kinds of building, but especially for ship-building, yet it soon rots. Oak is used for house-building, for ship-building, and also for underground work; lime for the deck-planks of long ships, for boxes, and for the manufacture of measures; its bark is also useful for ropes and writing-cases, ${ }^{1}$ for these are sometimes made of it.

Maple and sygia are used for making beds and the yokes of beasts of burden: yew for the ornamental work attached ${ }^{2}$ to chests and footstools and the like: kermes-oak ${ }^{3}$ for the axles of wheelbarrows ${ }^{4}$ and the cross-bars of lyres and psalteries: beech for making waggons and cheap carts: elm for making doors and weasel-traps, and to some extent it is also used for waggon work; pedos ${ }^{5}$ for waggon-axles and the stocks of ploughs: andrachne is used for women for parts of the loom: Phoenician cedar for carpenters' work ${ }^{6}$ and for work which is either to be exposed to the air or buried underground, because it does not decay. Similarly the sweet chestnut is used, and it is even less likely to decay if it is used for underground work. Box is used for some purposes; however that which grows on Mount Olympus i' is useless, because only short pieces can be obtained and the wood ${ }^{8}$ is full of knots. Terebinth is not used, ${ }^{9}$ except the fruit and the resin.
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 סѐ àфа́ркп єis Хи́рака́s тє каì тò каíєıд. кך-




 - Dipp


 סè $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á入as $\sigma \phi u ́ p a s ~ \pi \iota \tau v i ̀ v a s ~ \pi o \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu . ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega s ~$
 $\tau a \hat{\tau} \alpha \mu$ èv aî Хрєîaı סıaıpô̂бıv.
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${ }^{1}$ Alaternus is only useful for feeding sheep; for it is always leafy. Hybrid arbutus is used for making stakes and for burning: holly and Judas-tree ${ }^{2}$ for walking-sticks: some also use bay for these; for of this ${ }^{3}$ they make light sticks and sticks for old men. Willow is used for shields hampers baskets and the like. We might in like manner add the several uses of the other woods.
${ }^{4}$ Distinction is also made between woods according as they are serviceable for one or other of the carpenter's tools : thus hammers and gimlets are best made of wild olive, but box elm and manna-ash are also used, while large mallets are made of Aleppo pine. In like mamer there is a regular practice about each of the other tools. Such are the differences as to the uses of various woods.

## Of the localities in which the best timber grows.

VIII. Each kind of timber, as was said before, differs according to the place ${ }^{5}$ where it grows; in one place nettle-tree, in another the cedar is remarkably fine, for instance in Syria; for in Syria and on its mountains the cedars grow to a surpassing height and thickness: they are sometimes so large that three men cannot embrace the tree. And in the parks they are even larger and finer. It appears that any tree, if it is left alone in its natural position and not cut down, grows to a remarkable height and thickness. For instance in Cyprus the kings used not to cut the trees, both because they took great care of them and hus-

```
3 q\alphaú\tau\etas conj. H.; \tau\alphaú\tau\alphas UMVAld.
* Plin. 16. 230.
` qó\pious conj. Scal. from G; \pió\deltaas Ald.
```
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 'Pouaíovs ßovдонє́vous катабкєváбабӨaь то́ $\lambda \iota \nu$

 єis кó̀tтous тıvàs каì 入ı $\mu \in ́ \nu a s ~ \delta ı a \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ т о i ̂ s ~$







 äє́pa то入ù $\delta \iota a \phi \in ́ p \in \iota \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ वै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.





${ }^{1}$ Demetrius Poliorcetes. of. Plut. Demetr. 43 ; Plin. 16. 203.
 тиклоу.

3 i.c. against the orerhanging trees. ? iotiors, to which $\delta \iota a \sigma \chi$. is more appropriate.
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banded them, and also because the transport of the timber was difficult. The timbers cut for Demetrius' ${ }^{1}$ ship of eleven banks of oars were thirteen fathoms long, and the timbers themselves were without knots and smooth, as well as of marvellous length. But largest of all, they say, we the trees of Corsica; for whereas silver-fir and fir grow in Latium to a very great size, and are taller and finer than the silver-firs and firs of South Italy, these are said to be nothing to the trees of Corsica. For it is told how the Romans once made an expedition to that island with twenty-five ships, wishing to found a city there; and so great was the size of the trees that, as they sailed into certain bays and creeks, they got into difficulties ${ }^{2}$ through breaking their masts. ${ }^{3}$ And in general it is said that the whole island is thickly wooded and, as it were, one wild forest; wherefore the Romans gave up the idea of founding their city: however some of them made an excursion ${ }^{4}$ into the island and cleared away a large quantity of trees from a small area, enough to make a raft with fifty sails; ${ }^{5}$ but this broke up in the open sea. Corsica then, whether because of its uncultivated condition or because of its soil and climate, is very superior in trees to other countries.

The country of the Latins is all well watered; the lowland part contains bay, myrtle, and wonderful beech: they cut timbers of it of such a size that they will run the whole length ${ }^{\circ}$ of the keel of a Tyrrhenian vessel. The hill country produces fir and silver-fir. The district called by Circe's name is, it
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 'Е入тท́vopos тáфоע, $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ oủ фúovtaı $\mu \nu \rho \rho i ́ \nu a \iota ~ \kappa a \theta a ́-~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ai $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi а \nu \omega ́ т \iota \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ óv $\nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ $\mu \nu \rho \rho i ́ v \omega \nu$. tò̀ סè tótov єìval кaì toûtov עéal




 тод入а́кıя.
IX. Tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi \dot{u} \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ éкć $\sigma \tau \eta$


 татоь үáp, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu o \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$


 $\chi \epsilon i ́ p o u s ~ \delta \grave{~ \kappa \alpha a i ~ o i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, каi

 cival.
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is said, a lofty promontory, but very thickly wooded, producing oak, bay in abundance, and myrtle. There, according to the natives, dwelt Circe, and they shew Elpenor's tomb, ${ }^{1}$ on which grow myrtles like those used for garlands, though other kinds of myrtle are large trees. Further it is said that the district is a recent addition ${ }^{2}$ to the land, and that once this piece of land was an island, but now the sea has been silted up by certain streams and it has become united to the coast, and the size of the 'island' ${ }^{3}$ is about eighty furlongs in circumference. There is *then much difference in trees, as has been said repeatedly, which is due to the individual character of particular districts.

Of the uses of rarious uoods in makiny fire: charcoorl, fuel, fire-sticks.
IX. Next we must state in like manner and endeavour to determine the properties of each kind of timber in relation to making fire. The best charcoal is made from the closest wood, such as aria (holm-oak) oak arbutus; for these are the most solid, so that they last longest and are the strongest; wherefore these are used in silver-mines for the first smelting of the ore. Worst of the woods mentioned is oak, since it contains most mineral matter, ${ }^{5}$ and the wood of older trees is inferior to that of the younger, and for the same reason that of really old trees ${ }^{6}$ is specially bad. For it is very dry, wherefore it sputters as it burns; whereas wood for charcoal should contain sap.

The best charcoal comes from trees in their prime,
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 aitíav．Xpeía $\delta$ è ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ．трòs cैvla $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ そŋтoûб兀 toùs $\mu a \lambda a \kappa o u ́ s, ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ e ̀ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma i \delta \eta p e i o u s ~$



 каíтоь à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \phi и ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \mu \epsilon i-~$

 ì тоúт $\omega \nu$ каì $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi u ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa о и ́ \phi \omega \nu ~$
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and especially from trees which have been topped ${ }^{1}$ : for these contain in the right proportion the qualities of closeness admixture of mineral matter and moisture. Again better charcoal comes from trees ${ }^{2}$ in a sunny dry position with a north aspect than from those grown in a shady damp position facing south. Or, if the wood ${ }^{3}$ used contains a good deal of moisture, ${ }^{4}$ it should be of close texture ; for such wood contains more sap. ${ }^{5}$ And, for the same reason, that which is of closer texture either from its own natural character or because it was grown in a drier spot, ${ }^{5}$ is, whatever the kind of tree, better. ${ }^{7}$ But different kinds of charcoal are used for different purposes: for some uses men require it to be soft; thus in iron-mines they use that which is made of sweet chestnut when the iron has been already smelted, and in silver-mines they use charcoal of pine-wood: and these kinds are also used by the crafts. Smiths ${ }^{8}$ require charcoal of fir rather than of oak: it is indeed not so strong, but it blows up better into a flame, as it is less apt to smoulder : and the flame from these woods is fiercer. In general the flame is fiercer not only from these but from any wood which is of open texture and light, or which is dry: while that from wood which is of close texture or green is more sluggish and dull. The fiercest flame of all is given by brushwood; but charcoal cannot be made from it at all, since it has not the necessary substance.

They cut and require for the charcoal-heap straight ${ }^{5}$ cf. § 1 ad fin.
 Ald. $X$ have bracketed $\tau \partial \nu$.
${ }^{7} \beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega$ conj. Sch.; $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ UM ; $\beta \in ́ \lambda \tau t o \nu$ Ald.H.
${ }^{8}$ cf. Plin. 16. 23.

## THEOPHRASTUS

 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ к а т a ́ \pi \tau \nu \xi \imath \nu . ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon р \imath-~$

 $\kappa \iota a ̀ \nu \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau a ~ \zeta \eta \tau o v ̂ \sigma \iota$.


















 тикио̀̀ каі $\lambda \iota \pi а \rho о ́ \nu$.
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smooth ${ }^{1}$ billets : for they must be laid as close as possible for the smouldering process. When they have covered ${ }^{2}$ the kiln, they kindle the heap by degrees, stirring it with pole's. Such is the wood required for the charcoal-heap.

In general damp wood makes an evil smoke, and for this reason green wood does so: I mean the damp woods which grow in marshy ground, such as plane willow abele black poplar: for even vine-wood, when it is damp, gives an evil smoke. So does palm-wood of its own nature, and some have supposed it to give the most evil smoke of all : whence Chaeremon ${ }^{3}$ speaks of "Veins issuing underground from roots of palm with its malodorous smoke." Most pungent is the smoke of fig-wood, whether wild or cultivated, and of any tree which has a curdling juice; the reason lies in the sap; when such wood has been barked and soaked in running water and then dried, it gives as little smoke as any other, and sends up a very soft ${ }^{4}$ flame, since its natural moisture also has been removed. The cinders and ashes of such wood are also pungent, and especially, they say, those of almond-wood.

For the crafts requiring a furnace and for other crafts various woods are serviceable according to circumstances. ${ }^{5}$ For kindling fig and olive are best : fig, because it is tough and of open texture, so that it easily catches fire and does not let it through, ${ }^{6}$ olive, because it is of close texture and oily.
 $\phi \lambda \epsilon ́ B \alpha s$ ( $\AA \iota \zeta$ ऽфıт́v́тous conj. Schneidewin).
${ }^{4}$ i.e. not sputtering.

 xp $\quad \sigma / \mu \eta$ Ald. $\quad{ }^{\text {B }}$ i.e. burn out quickly.
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 єîval тò ठè то九ทтוкóv. оủ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì éк той
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Fire-sticks are made ${ }^{1}$ from many kinds of wood, but best, according to Menestor, ${ }^{2}$ from ivy ${ }^{3}$ : for that flares up most quickly and freely. They say also that a very good fire-stick ${ }^{4}$ is made of the wood which some call traveller's joy; this is a tree like the vine or the 'wild vine,' which, like these, climbs up trees. The stationary piece ${ }^{3}$ should be made of one of these, the drill of bay; for the active and passive parts of the apparatus should not be of the same wood, but different in their natural properties to start with, one being of active, the other of passive character. Nevertheless they are sometimes made of the same wood, and some suppose that it makes no difference. They are made in fact of buckthorn kermesoak lime and almost any wood except olive; which seems surprising, as olive-wood is rather hard and oily; however it is plainly its moisture which makes it less suitable for kindling. The wood of the buckthorn is also good, and it makes a satisfactory stationary piece; for, besides being dry and free from sap it is necessary that this should also be of rather open texture, that the friction may be effectual; while the drill should be one which gets little wom by use. And that is why one made of bay is best; for, as it is not worn by use, it is effective through its biting quality. All fire-sticks take fire quicker and better in a north than in a south wind, and belter in an exposed spot than in one which is shut in.

Some woods, such as prickly cedar, exude ${ }^{6}$ moisture, and, generally speaking, so do those

5 i.e. the piece of wood to be bored. cf. de igne, l.c.
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whose sap is of an oily character; and this is why statues are sometimes said to 'sweat'; for they are made of such woods. That which seers call the menses of Eileithuia,' ${ }^{1}$ and for the appearance of which they make atonement, ${ }^{2}$ forms on the wood of the silver-fir when some moisture gathers on it: the formation is round ${ }^{5}$ in shape, and in size about as large as a pear, or a little larger or smaller. Olive-wood is more apt than other woods to produce shoots even when lying idle or made into manufactured articles; this it often does, if it obtains moisture and lies in a damp place; thus the socket of a door-‘ hinge' ${ }^{4}$ has been known to shoot, and also an oar which was standing in damp earth in an earthenware vessel. ${ }^{5}$
"i.e. as a portent. cf. Char. 16. 2.
${ }^{3}$ a $\sigma \rho \dot{\partial} \gamma \gamma v \lambda$ à conj. Sch.; $\sigma \tau \rho \rho \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda \eta s$ UMVP ${ }_{2}$ Ald.

+ cf. 5. 6. 4 ; Plin. 16. 230.
 $\kappa \omega ́ \pi \eta \pi \eta \lambda$ ós $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ald} . \mathrm{H}$.
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Theophrastus.
...Enquiry into plants and minor works...
n $\triangle T E$
ISSUED TO
20862


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Sandys, op. cit. p. 313 etc.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sandys, j. p. 99.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bernays, Theophrastus, 1866.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. the male inflorescence of some trees; the term is of course wider than 'catkin.'
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. Hower, catkin, leaf, fruit, shoot.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ є $\dot{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ conj. Sch., $\epsilon \dot{u} \theta \in \tau \in \mathfrak{i}$ UMTAld. ie. Te do not argue from the fact that animals are at their handsomest in the breeding season that the young is therefore 'part' of the animal.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit. 'which are in holes,' in allusion to the well-known belief that animals (especially birds) which are out of sight in the winter are hiding in holes; the text is supported by [Arist.] de plantis 1. 3, the author of which had evidently read this passage; but possibly some such words as tads $\tau \epsilon$ $\phi 0 \lambda i \delta a s$ cal have dropped out after $\phi \omega \lambda \in u ́ o \nu \tau \alpha$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ A very obscure sentence; so W. renders the MSS. text. ${ }^{2}$ ie. 'inequality ' might include 'unlikeness.'

[^5]:    ${ }^{1} c f . C . P .5 .1 .9$.
    ${ }^{2} c f .1 .6$. 11. T. extends the tern картós so as to include any succulent edible part of a plant.
    ${ }^{3}$ T. does not consider that картós was necessarily anteceded by a flower.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ i．e．before it begins to divide．${ }^{2}$ Or＇knots．＇
    ${ }^{3}$ द́ $\phi^{\prime}$ conj．W．；$\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ Ald．
    \＃хроуı́́tєpa conj．Sch．；хpoviátepov Ald．H．
    ${ }^{5}$ à $\nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma i a$ conj．Sch．；à $\nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma_{i} a$ UAld．H．

[^7]:    1 There 1 s no exact English equivalent for $\delta \mu o t o \mu \in \rho \in{ }^{\prime} s$, which denotes a whole composed of parts, each of which is, as it were, a miniature of the whole. cf. Arist. H.A. l. l.
    ${ }^{2}$ ie, any part taken of flesh or bone may be called ' flesh' or 'bone.'
    ${ }^{3}$ egg. bark; cf. 1.2.1. ${ }^{4}$ egg. fruit.

[^8]:    5 i.e. the 'compound' parts.
     $\xi \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \nu, \not ̋ \sigma \alpha$ conj. W. ; $\xi \dot{\prime} \lambda \alpha, ~ \grave{\eta}$ ठ̈ $\sigma \alpha$ Ald.H.

[^9]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{cf} .7 .1 .2$ and 3 . ${ }^{6}$ A Pythagorean philosopher of Sybaris.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit. 'muscles and veins.'
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. the analogy with animals is probably imperfect, but is useful so far as it goes.
    ${ }^{3}$ 1. 1. 10 . e.g. the root, as such.
    ${ }_{5}$ e.g. the different forms which roots assume.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \lambda$ elotots conj. Mold.; $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau o t s$ Ald. $\mathrm{H} . \quad{ }^{2}$ 1. 1.3.
    ${ }^{3}$ à $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu$ conj. R.Const.; àmapáß入خтov UIIVAld.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. can be split in one direction.
    7 e.g. an unripe walnut.

[^12]:    s i.e. rot by analogy with animals, like 'muscle,' 'veins,' 'flesh.' ${ }_{9}$ Reckoning inclusively.

[^13]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. root, stem, branch, twig : cf. 1.1. 9.
    ${ }^{3}$ бафє $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ p a \nu$ conj. W. ; бафé $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ Ald.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ єरठ $\eta$ here $=\gamma \epsilon \in \eta ; c f .6 .1$ 2. n.
    ${ }^{5} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{2}$ 光 conj. Sch. after G; $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta$ UMVAId.

[^14]:     alteration，the definitions of $\theta$ áuvas and $\phi \rho \dot{\prime}$ भavov as given
     oiov Báros madioupos，Ald．So also M，but with a lacuna marked before $\phi$ póravoy and a note that the definition of
    
     $\pi$ т $\lambda$ úr $\lambda a \delta o \nu$ oĩov Bátos $\pi \alpha \lambda$ íoupos U ．So also very nearly $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ． （ a gives to dáuvos（frutex）the definition assigned in $U$ to poígavov（suffizutex）and the other definition is wanting． 24

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. so that the tree comes to look like a shrul from the growth of fresh shoots after cutting. of. 2 6. 12; 2. 7.2.
    ${ }^{2}$ páф ${ }^{2}$ avos conj. Bod. from ( 4 ; paфavis Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 3. 2. 2. The Ioniun philosopher. See Zeller, PreSocratic Philosophy (Eng. trans.), 1. 281 f.
    ${ }^{4}$ kal and. W.; so G.
    ${ }^{5}$ गै conj. Șch:; ral UAld.Cam.Bas. H.

[^16]:     $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald. ${ }^{2}$ of. 1. 9. 5 ; Pin. 16. 81.
    ${ }^{3}{ }_{3}$ roıầta conj. W.; ठialpetéov conj. Sch.; toîs aủtoîs aipetéov Ald. The sense seems to be: Though these 'secondary' distinctions are not entirely satisfactory, yet (if we look to the causes of different characters), they are indispensable, since they are due to causes which affect all the four classes of our 'primary' distinction.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. we must take the extreme cases.
    ${ }^{5}$ ie. plants which entirely refuse cultivation.

[^17]:     raútas tàs MVAld.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . . . \tau o ́ \pi o u s, ~ t e x t ~ p e r h a p s ~ d e f e c t i v e . ~$
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. as to locality. ${ }^{4}$ cf. 4. 7. 1.

[^18]:    5 i.e. though not actually living in water.
    

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ 日é $\lambda 0 \iota$ conj．Sch．；$\theta \in ́ \lambda \in \iota$ Ald．H．
    ${ }^{2}$ єúpo九 conj．Sch．；єứp Ald．；єu゙p H H．
    ${ }^{3}$ Presumably as being sometimes found on the shore below high－water mark．
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ．．S $\dot{\omega} \alpha$ ．This passage seems not to belong here（W．）．
    ${ }^{5}$ тро́тои соиј．Sch．；то́тоь UMVAld．

[^20]:    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. those which divide plants into large classes (e.g. evergreen and deciduous).

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ ie．taking account of differences in qualities，etc．See §4，but the order in which the three kinds of＇differences＇ are discussed is not that which is here given；the second is taken first and resumed at 6 ． 1 ，the third begins at 5.4 ，the first at 14． 4.
    
     cf．Pin．16． 126.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ ईá $\mu \nu 0 v$ conj. W.; $\theta \alpha ́ \mu \nu o v ~ \mathrm{P}_{2}$; Ba入ávov Alत.H.
    ${ }^{2} \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon$ iou conj. Sch.; к $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \nu$ iou Ald. U (corrected to $\left.\kappa \omega \nu \in i ́ o u\right)$. cf. 7. 6. 4.
     סè . . . va M.
    ${ }^{4}$ úлйиata conj. Sch. (a general term including slirubs, under-shrubs, etc. 夭f. 1.6.7; 1. 10. 6) ; клй $\mu a \tau \alpha$, Alत.

[^23]:     UPAld.; fragilis G. cf. 5. 5, Plin. 16. 186.
    ${ }^{2}$ áo 0 a conj. Palm. from $G ;$ noģá UPAld.
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. across the grain. ${ }^{4}$ cf. 5. 6. 2. ${ }^{5}$ cf. 5. 1. 4.
    ${ }^{6}$ T. appears not to agree as to eleler : see below.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ pavót $\epsilon$ paı . . . oṽ: text can hardly be sound, but sense is clear. ${ }^{2}$ i.c. homogeneous. ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 127.
    ${ }^{4}$ 3. 6. 4 seems to give a different account.
    

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The same term heing applied to 'herbaceous' plants in general.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. 19. 98.

[^26]:    
    
     conj. Scal. ${ }^{3}$ cf. 4. 10. 5.
    
    
    

[^27]:     Plin. 21. 88 (oetum).
    ${ }^{2} \mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ : text doubtful (W.).
    ${ }^{3} \delta \iota a \phi \in ́ \rho \in t:$ text doubtful (Sch.).
     UMVAld. ${ }^{5} \epsilon \in \nu$ ins. Sch.

[^28]:    ${ }^{8}$ toîs фutoîs Ald.; taîs pļ̧aıs conj. W. from ${ }^{\frac{1}{x}}$ : text pro-

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. 4. 4. 12.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. 16. 122.
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. primary and secondary branches.
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. 5. 2. 2. $\quad{ }^{5}$ Plin. 16. 124.
    ${ }_{7} \mathrm{cf}$. Arist. de iuv. et sen. 3; Plin. 16. 125.
    
    
    
     widely,

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ oûy marked as doubtful in U. ${ }^{2}$ 1. 3. 2.
     which these words belong is apparently lost (TV.).
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. the fir and other trees mentioned in the lost words.
    5 Plin. 16. 80.
    ${ }^{6} \mu i \lambda o s$ conj. Sch.; $\sigma \mu i \lambda \alpha \xi \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.; cf. 3. 3. 3.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．16． 80.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some words probably missing（IV．）which would explain the next two clauses．${ }_{3}$ Plin．16．82．1．1．3．5．
    ${ }^{5}$ Plin．12． 11 ；Varro，1． 7.

[^32]:     mBas.

    7 थíd conj. Hemsterhuis ; $̇ \in \mathfrak{l}$ Ald. ${ }^{8}$ Plin. 16. 81.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．16． 82 and 83.

[^34]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 84.
    ${ }^{4}$ тaủrà conj. Sclı.; $\tau a \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$ Ald.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．16． 85.
    ${ }^{2}$ каl тô̂ кıттoû кal тoû MSS．cf．Plin．l．c．；Diosc．4． 164. ка̀ то仑 кıкlov тô̂ кal conj．W．；Galen，Lex．Hipp．，gives riкiov as a name for the root of кро́т $\omega \nu$ ．cf．C．P．2．16． 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ i．e．not＇entire．＇＇Young leares＇$=$ leaves of the young tree．
    4 This seems to contradict what has just been said．
    ${ }^{5}$ тà $\nprec \rho \theta \rho \alpha$ add．Sch．from Plin．16．SS，incisuras．cf．Arist． H．A．1．15，where Plin．（11．274）renders ăp日pa incisuras．

[^36]:     MSS. A makeshift correction of an obscure passage.
    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{cf}$. Plin. l.c. $\quad{ }^{8}$ i.e. is the under one.
    ${ }^{9}$ Whereby the under surface is exposed to it: see aboro.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf．1．8． 3 ；1．10． 8 ；Plin．16． 92.
     stop at ivต̂v Ald．${ }^{3} \delta l^{\prime}$ o乞̃ I conj．；$\delta i i^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{U}$ ．
     $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ；cf．3．9．5，whence Sch．conj．тpıхó申u入入 ：Plin．l．c．has capillata pino cedro．
    ${ }^{5} \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha$ probably corrupt；omitted by Plin．l．c．

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．l．c．and 13．30．${ }^{2}$ of ${ }^{\text {è } \nu}$ conj．W．；of $\theta \in \nu$ Ald．H．
    
    ${ }^{1} \tau$ à $\delta$ è $\sigma \chi$ I $\sigma \tau$ à add．W．

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 91.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ėvíw . . єikós. So Sch. explains: text probably defective.

[^40]:    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 16. 92.
    ${ }^{5} \pi \lambda a \tau u ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda \alpha$ UVP; $\pi 0 \lambda u ́ \phi v \lambda \lambda \alpha$ conj. W.; but $\pi \lambda a \tau u ́ \tau \eta s$ is one of the 'differences' given in the summary below.

[^41]:     events，cannot be right．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Plin．19． 100.
     MSS．${ }^{4}$ i．e．petiolate $\quad$ i．e．sessile．
    ${ }^{6}$ i．e．compound：єi $\delta \grave{\eta}$ conj．W．；єरठ U UMVAld．
    7 The passage from here to the end of the chapter is a digression．

[^42]:    ${ }^{*} \mu i \sigma \chi o s . . .{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda o$ has no construction ; probably a (correct) gloss, taken from 1, 2, 1.

    9 i.e. while these are young, W.

[^43]:    $7 \ddot{\eta} \nu \tau i \nu \in s$ conj. St. from C ; ${ }^{\eta} \nu \tau \tau \nu a$ Ald. H .
    ${ }^{8}$ Clearly not the repris (aspen) described 3. 14. 2.
    ${ }^{9}$ колоเтía MSS.; ко入outéa conj. St., ¢f. 3. 17. 2 n.

[^44]:     UMVAld.
    
    
     Plin. 15. 112, cmesta tegentur glandes. ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 15. 113.

[^45]:     $\pi \nu р \eta \nu \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota$ Ald. ( P has $\pi \nu \rho \eta \nu \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ ).
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. no seed can really be without moisture ; cf. 1. 11. 1.
    7 cf. C.P. 5. 18. 4.
    ${ }^{8}$ छ$\eta \rho \delta \nu$ I conj., as reruired lıy the next clause ; égopoov PAld.; Egoppoy W. from Sch. conj. The germ in the date-stone is so small as to be undiscurerable, whence the stone seems to be homogeneous thronghout, with no carity for the germ.
    ${ }^{9} 1.10 .9$.

[^46]:     кal $\tau \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ póas ó $\pi \nu \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu$ conj. Bod.

    7 ชัть conj. Sch.; $8 \pi \iota$ U; ช̈ $\pi$ о PMAld.
    ${ }^{8} c f .8 .5 .2 . \quad{ }^{2}$ i.e. pulp.

[^47]:    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. of the pulp. ${ }^{2}$ qoú $\psi$ conj. Sch.; $\tau$ oûto Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ tòv om. St.: i.e. the seeds are arranged in compartments of the pulp.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. C.P. 6. 6. 4.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ T. is said to have written a treatise $\left.\pi \in p l \chi u \mu \omega\right\rangle$.
    ${ }^{3}$ ojaćsins. ónós is used specially of the juice of the fig itself.
    ${ }^{4} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$ os probably corrupt: it should be a tree.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. petaloid.
    
    3 i.e. corolla and stamens, etc.
    4 i.e. are gamopetalons (or gamosepalous).

[^50]:    ${ }^{5}$ cf. O.P. 2. 18. 2 and 3 ; Plin. 21. 65.
    ${ }^{6}$ 入etpíou conj. Sch., i.e. narcissus, of. 6. 6. 9 ; $\chi є$ tiplou MSS.
    7 i.e. something resembling separate 'leaves' (petals or sepals).

[^51]:    ${ }^{1} c f .3 .16 .4$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lacuna in text ; $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ I conj.
    ${ }^{3}$ тáxa Ald.; tiva W. after Sch. conj.
    ${ }^{4}$ ă äıos conj. Bod.; ä $\gamma \nu \cos$ Ald. H.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. composites.
    ${ }^{6} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ conj. Dalec. from G; $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Ald.
    7 «̌ккиоs conj. W.; đ̌кароs UV.
    

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ ie. the pistil.
    2 ie. as seen from above: val ${ }^{2} \lambda \omega \nu$. . . $\hat{\rho} \delta \delta \omega \nu$ describes the corolla, kat $\omega \theta \theta \epsilon \nu . . \mu \nu \chi \omega \bar{\sigma} \eta$ the undeveloped ovary, including the adherent calyx.
    
    
    
     also P, but $\epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \in \neq s)$. The sentence explains incidentally why the pomegranate flower was called rútivas (cf. 2. 6. 12; C.I'. 1. 14. 4 ; 2. 9.3 ; 2. 9.9 ; Disc. 1. 110 ; Plain. 23. 110 94

[^53]:    
     somewhat drastically.
     4. 2. 4.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 112.
    ${ }^{2}$ тồтo conj. Sch.; тoú $\frac{1}{}$ UAld. ; тoûтoข M.
    ${ }^{3}$ rà add. W.; cf. 1. 13. 1.

[^55]:    1 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ add. W.
    

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ є̌vıa фи́єтal conj. Sch.; ảvaфи́єтaı Ald.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \in \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀$ ada $\nu \theta^{\prime}$ conj. Sch.; ti) before $\pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ins. St.; $\tau a ́$ te $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ 私 $\nu \theta^{\prime}$ Ald.
    ${ }^{2}$ ev̉ av
    ${ }^{3}$ ойк I conj.; ๐й ${ }^{\prime}$ ' MSS.

[^58]:    ${ }^{4} \pi \rho \omega ́ p a s$, of. Col. 3. 10. 1, caput vitis vocat $\pi \rho \omega ́ p a v$. Sch. restores the word, C.P.3.14.7.
    ${ }_{7}^{5}$ cf. C.P. 1. 3. $2 . \quad{ }^{6}$ i.e. a 'heel ' (Lat. perna).
    7 cf. C.P. 2. 11. 6 ; Athen. 3. 23. ${ }^{8}$ cf. Geop. 10. 3. 9.
    9 kal ànò тố $\xi$ úvou om. Julius Pontedeva on Varro 1. 39. 3 :
    

[^59]:    ${ }^{1} \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \dot{́} \in \iota \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\mu \omega \lambda \dot{e} \in เ \nu$ MSS.; $\mu \sigma \sigma \chi \in \cup ́ \epsilon เ \nu$ conj. R. Const. (cf. C.P. 1. 2 1). But cf. Hesych. s.i. $\mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon t$. ${ }_{2}$ Plin. 16. 141. ${ }^{3} \epsilon \pi$ l conj. W.; $\tau \delta$ UMVAld.

[^60]:    4 фuтevtи́pıov: a general term including mapaфvás and $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \pi a ́ s$.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. C.P. 1. 9.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ фи́oviaı conj. W.; фutєúoveaı Ald. H.; фúधтat Vo.cod. Cas.
    ${ }^{2} \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ conj. St. ; $\gamma \lambda \alpha u \kappa i \omega \nu$ UMV Ald.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{cf}$. Athen. 3. 20 and 23. ${ }^{4}$ cf. C.P. 1.9.1.
    ${ }^{5}$ In Lesbos; cf. 3.9.5. ${ }^{6}$ cf. C:P. 1.9.2.

[^62]:    1 i.e. that they should improve from seed.
    ${ }^{2}$ Whereas wild trees are produced only from seed.
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. improve a degenerate seedling.
    ${ }^{4} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s:$ ? om. Sch. $\quad{ }^{\text {cff.C.P. 1.9.2. }}$

[^63]:    ${ }^{6}$ Or' 'wine-like.' Cited by Apollon. Hist. Mir. 43.
    7 oûtas conj. W.; aủtòs Ald.
    ${ }^{8} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta}$ conj. W.; $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ UMVAld.

[^64]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ i．e．cultivation has nothing to do with it．
    ${ }^{3}$ 2．2． $7 . \quad{ }^{4}$ cf．3．3． $4 . \quad 5$ Plin．17． 242.
    ${ }^{6}$ i．e．improve．cf．2．2． 6 ad fin．

[^65]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ фau入las conj．Salm．；фaúdous U；Өá入os Ald．cf．Plin． 16．244．These olives produced little oil，but were valued for perfumery ：see C．P．6．8． 3 and 5 ；de odor．， 15.
    ${ }^{3}$ oủ add．Salm．；om．MSS．（？）Ald．H．
    II8

[^66]:    *i.e. whether nature or man is said to cause the admitterl change. ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 17. 242.
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. all the fruit are now acid instead of sweet, or the reverse. Sch. brackets $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{o} \xi \xi \in\{a s . . . o ̉ \xi \in i \alpha, \nu$.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ दो $\pi \ell$ conj. Sch.; ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi$ Ald.H.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 5. 3. 1 and 2, Arist. de gen. an. 4.4; Hesych. s.v. катvias; Schol. ad Ar. Vesp. $151 . \quad 3$ 2. 2. 7.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Eikds has perhaps dropped out. Sch.
     $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald. cf. also Athen. 3. 11.

[^68]:     conj. W. ${ }^{2} \in l$ ins. Sch. ${ }^{3}$ 2.3.1.
    +cf. 6. 7. 2; Plin. 19. 176.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. to prevent the change which cultivated soil induces.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1} \nu$ ข́кта I conj.; ขиктl MSS.
     Ald. cf. C.P. 5. 6. 11 ; Col. 2. 10. 15 ; Plin. 18. 198.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. C.P. 5. 6. 11 ; Geop. 2. 3. 6.
    

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. in the instance given the development of an insect exhibits, not one, but a series of changes from one creature to another.

    2 Whereas the metamorphoses mentioned above are inclpendent of climatic conditions.
    ${ }^{3}$ סè conj. W.; $\tau \in$ Ald.
     $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi$ io $\sigma \tau a \mathrm{U}$.

[^71]:    5 i.e. the shift should be into better soil, if possible. $c f$. C.P. 3. 5. 2.
    ${ }^{6}$ jupoùs $\pi \rho o o \rho u ́ t \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ conj. R. Const.; $\pi u p o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma o \rho u ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ UMVAld. $c f . C . P .3 .4 .1$.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1} \dot{\eta}$ before $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{om}$ ．W．${ }^{2} \tau \epsilon \tau \delta$ conj．W．；$\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \in$ MVP．
    ${ }^{3}$ кal rท̂s énalas U ；ç̀áas MVP；so W．
    ${ }_{6}^{4}$ Plin．17， $123 .{ }^{5}$ cf．C．P．3．12． 1.
    ${ }^{6}$ of．7．13． $4 ; C . P^{\prime} .5 .6 .10$（where another bulb，$\sigma$ xivos，is mentioned as being put to the same use）；Athen．3． 13 ； Plin．17． 87.

[^73]:    1 €̇ $\lambda \alpha \alpha_{\alpha} \nu$ conj．Bod．（cf．Plin．17．8S）；poıà UAld．H．
    2 モ̇入áттoขı conj．Sch．；є̈̀ $\alpha \tau \tau 0 \nu$ Ald．
    3 i．e．apples pears plums，etc．

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. 'cabbage.'
    
     cf. Plin. 13. 36. Td vypor, viz. the cut end.
    ${ }^{3}$ á $\lambda \mu \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ conj. W. ; ă $\mu \mu \dot{\delta} \eta \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.H.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf．7．5． 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin．13． 37.
     UAld．${ }^{4}$ ef．Plin．13． 38.

[^76]:    
    
    7 cf. Diod. 3. 41.
    8 i.e. the Arabian Gulf.
    

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 13. 39.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\pi \rho \omega ิ$ ros UMVAld.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \hat{\eta} \chi u y$ conj. R. Const. from Plin. 13. 4\%. and G, cf. Diod. 2. 53 ; $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu$ UMVAld.
     138

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ : $\mu$ uotos conj. Bod.; $\delta \mu o l w s$ UMVAld. ${ }^{2}$ cf. $\S 5$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 13. 38 ; cf. 4. 2. 7, where the name (кочкьóфорои) of this tree is given.

[^79]:     roûv Ald. MU (marked doubtful).

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 13. 47.
    ${ }^{2}$ кö̈ras conj. Salm. cf. 1. 10. 5, and the probable reading in Plin. l.c.
    

[^81]:     Geop. 10. 45 ; Plin. 17. 84. ${ }^{2}$ oủv ins. H.
    ${ }^{3}$ סaбúveのөat: see LS. reff. s.v. $\delta a \sigma \dot{v} s$.
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. С. P. 2. 9. 3.
    ${ }^{5}$ ejेßatwt ${ }^{\prime} p a \nu$ (i.e. 'more manageable'). The reference is to a method of keeping the tree dwarf (Bod.). Plin. l.c. has

[^82]:    
    cf. Geop. 5. 35.
    ${ }^{8}$ cf.2.2. 11; C.P. 1. 17. 10; 2. 14. 1; Plin. 7. 253.

[^83]:     which is the word used in the parallel pass. C.P. 2. 9.6, the object of the process being to cause the figs to dry.
    ${ }_{8}$ Plin. 15. 81. 'Italy' means South Italy. (f. 4.5 .5 and $6 ; 5.8$. 1 .
    

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. 8. 2. 11.
     Ald. ; $\tau \in \chi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha\} \pi \lambda \in\{\omega \mathrm{U}$.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \rho \omega^{*} \alpha$ conj. Sch. from $G$; $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau \alpha$ Ald.H.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 17. 255 and 256.

[^85]:    5 i.e. and so should produce more gall-insects: in C.P. 2. 9.6 it is implied that the insect is produced by putrefaction of the seeds of the wild fig.

[^86]:    
     ঠ̇то́тау.
    ${ }^{2}$ кшри́кous I conj. In 3. l4. 1. the elm is said to bear кшpukiঠes which contain gnat-like creatures; these growths are called кшрикєбŋ т тьa коìa 3.15. 4 ; and in 3.7.3. the

[^87]:    

[^88]:    
     aū $\theta$ ıs $\pi \tau \in \lambda$ éas Ald.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. by growing from seed, as conifers normally do.
    ${ }^{5}$ Homer, Od. 10. 510 ; cf. Plin. 16. 110.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. C.P. 1. 5. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sc. of Apollonia, the 'Ionian ' philosopher.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. C.P. 1. 10. 3; 3. 23. 1; Arist. Metcor. 2. 9.

[^90]:    ${ }^{4} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota . . \gamma^{\prime} \in \nu \in \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega s$ apparently a gloss (W.).
    5 тà conj. W.; тウ̀ MAld.

[^91]:     Tà $\delta \mu \circ \leftarrow \frac{\gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta} \text { UMVAld.H. }}{\text { U }}$
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 3. 1. 4.
    ${ }^{3} c f .1 .3 .5 \mathrm{n}$.
    ti.c. the terms 'cultivated' and 'wild' do not denote distinct 'kinds.'

[^92]:    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. and so become 'cultivated.'
    ${ }^{6}$ ò $\delta \grave{\eta}$ MSS. ; $\delta \iota \partial$ conj. Sch. from G.

[^93]:    

[^94]:     C.P. 6. 11. 8.
    

[^95]:    
    

[^96]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ i.c. are not always of the poorest quality. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ aủ $\tau \iota v a$ conj. WV.; $\tau \alpha \bar{v} \tau \alpha a \dot{v} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ Ald.H. 1.9. 3̀.

[^97]:    ${ }^{5}$ фє $\lambda$ 人ó $\delta \rho u s$ conj. Bod., cf. 1. 9. 3 ; $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \partial s$ spûs UMV(?)Ald. ${ }^{6}$ 1. 9. 5.

[^98]:    ${ }^{4}$ Mpaiaial conj. Meurs. Creta ; tipaoian UMVAld.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. 4. 2. 5. $\pi \in \rho \sigma$ éal conj. R. Const.; $\pi \in \rho \sigma \in$ 'ías U ; $\pi \in \rho \sigma i ́ a s$ Ald.
    ${ }^{6}{ }^{\text {'Pó }} \delta \dot{\omega}$ conj. R. Const. from (x, so too Plin. 16. 111 ; póa Ald. cf. 1. 13. 5. for a similar corruption.
    

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Х́́pa кal Ald. ; „̀ кal conj. St.
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. the 'males' are sterile whether they flower or not.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ ? i.e. the flowers of the 'female' tree.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. ( $\alpha$ ) in those trees whose 'male' form is sterile. whether it bears flowers or not; ( $l$ ) in those whose ' male 176

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ ie. the male flower, cf. Schol. on Ar. Vesp. 1111.
     but no explanation of such a use of the word suggests itself. cf. 3. 3. 8; 4. 8. 7.
     Ald.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ See below，n． 4.
    
    
    ${ }^{3} \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ apologises for the unusual sense given to $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu / \sigma \tau$ ． I80

[^102]:     cf.4.15. 3; 5. 1. 1.

    7 ěapos conj. R. Const.; ả́́pos VAld. cf. Plin. l.c.
    8 About May.
    9 What follows evidently applies only to the oak.
     Ald.
    ${ }^{11}$ cf. 3. 6. 2. 12 т ${ }^{2}$ add. Sch,

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ About June．
    ${ }^{2} c f .3 .7 .4$ ；3．8． 6 ；Plin．16． 27.
    ${ }^{3}$ є̌ $\gamma \chi \lambda \omega \rho o s$ conj．Coraës ；єข้ $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o s$ Ald．
    ${ }^{4} \delta \iota a \lambda \in l \pi o \nu \tau \alpha$ conj．St．；$\delta \iota a \lambda \in\{\pi o v \sigma a l$ Ald．H．

[^104]:    ${ }^{1} \delta \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ conj. R. Const.; к $\kappa \rho \pi \omega \bar{\nu}$ Ald.H.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf.C.P. 1. 10. 6; 1. 12. 4; 1. 13. 3; 1. 13. 5; 1. 13. 10; Plin. 16. $98 . \quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{cf.C.P} .1 .14 .11$.
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. 5. 1.4; Plin. 16. 30.
    r88

[^105]:    
    
    ${ }^{6} \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{3}$ ö $\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{l}$ conj. W. (cf. the description of ö $\eta, 3.12 .8$ ); ศฺ̣ $\delta$ ' iठเóт $\eta \tau \iota$ Ald.

[^106]:    
    
    ${ }^{4} \pi \lambda \in i \omega \delta \grave{\eta}$ conj. Sch.; $\pi เ \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta$ UMT Ald.; $\pi \lambda \in$ lova U \%.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. 3. 10. 4.
     text; ? $\sigma \mu \mu \pi є ф$ кко́та $W$.

[^107]:    
    ${ }^{8}$ Lacma in text (Sch.W.). The following list of trees also appears to be in confusion, and includes some of both classes.

[^108]:     to rórous) kai $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o u ́ s ~ U ; ~ M I V P ~ i n s e r t ~ t o u ̀ s ~ b e f o r e ~ B \lambda a \sigma \tau o u ́ s . ~ . ~$
     ral UAld.
    

[^109]:     $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu . \quad c f .3 .5 .1$.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. grows without dividing. cf. Plin. 16. 100. (of different trees).
    ${ }^{6}$ фú $\lambda \lambda o \nu$ perhaps conceals some other word.

[^110]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ ои̉ $\delta^{1}$ : ? ойк W. ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 127.
    ${ }^{4} \not{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta เ \omega ́ \sigma \eta$ : cf. 3. 6. 5; C.P.1.2. 1.

[^111]:    
    ${ }^{6}$ Bádous conj. Sich.; Bátos Ald.
    7 Ba日oppıऽótєpò conj. W.; Bäuppı̧óтaтò UMVAld.
    ${ }^{8}$ Proverbial for its hold on the ground ; cf. Verg. Aen. 4. 441 foll.

[^112]:    ${ }^{1} \sigma \phi$. кal $\partial \lambda \lambda\left\{\gamma \mu s\right.$ conj．W．；$\sigma \phi . \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \lambda\{$ yov UMVAld．
    ${ }^{2}$ i．e．not very fibrous．
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{cf}$ ．Hdt．6．37，and the proverb $\pi i \tau v o s ~ \tau \rho о ́ \pi o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \tau \rho i \beta \in \sigma \theta \alpha u . ~$ ${ }^{4}$ б́ua入ov conj．Scal．；ö $\mu$ otov Ald．
     UH，but with $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$ ．
    196

[^113]:    ${ }^{6}$ Two words meaning 'growth about,' i.e. callus.
    
    8 Plin. 16. 123.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. and so does not, like other trees under like treatment, put its strength into these. cf. C.P. 5. 17. 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ є́aut $\omega$ v conj. Sch. from $G$; av̀vì Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ The leaf-gall, cf. 2. s. 3: 3. 14. 1. For toûto cf. 3. 18. 11 : 4. 7.1 . + Lat. trossi. cf. C.P. ©. l. s.
    ${ }^{5}$ тıvà ка.pтঠ̀s conj. Sch.; тıvà áкартоs UAld.

[^115]:    ${ }^{5} \mu \dot{\eta}$ conj. St. ; $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \in$ Ald.H.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．16． 16 and 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Index，$\delta p \hat{s} s$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho i s$ ，ìmepis，lit．＇enltivatell oak．＇
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin．16． 20.

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ i．e．at the＇top＇end ；$\pi \rho \delta s: ? ~ ' \nu, \pi \rho \partial s$ being repeated by mistake．
    ${ }^{2}$ S $\omega \omega \downarrow$ MSS．；$\dot{\omega} \omega \hat{\nu}$ conj．Pulm．${ }^{3}$ Plin．16． 22.

[^118]:    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. of bushy hahit.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 15. 23 and 24.
    ${ }^{5}$ aüт $\begin{gathered}\text { conj. Sch.; aủ } \tau \grave{\eta} \text { UAld. }\end{gathered}$
    ${ }^{7} \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \eta$ conj. St.; $\mu \delta \dot{\sigma} \eta \nu \mathrm{Ald.H}$.

[^119]:     $\mu \in \lambda i ́ a \nu$ тoûto фúध MV Ald.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Index.
    7 Plin. 16. 24.
    S $\pi \in \rho \iota \kappa \notin \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ a: ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~ t h e ~ n a m e ~ o f ~ a ~ d i s e a s e . ~$

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin．16． 23.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \grave{\partial}$ ̀ ．．．$\tau o a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ：this sentence seems out of place，as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$（ $\phi \lambda$ oros was not one of the＇Macedonian＇oaks mentioned above（Sch．）．

[^121]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$. describes $\pi \rho \hat{\imath} \nu 0 s \sigma_{\mu} \lambda \lambda a \xi$, and $\phi \in \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \rho u s$ in 3.16 , $\phi \in \lambda \lambda o ́ s$ in 3.17. 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 16. 43.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 48.
    ${ }^{5}$ Stone pine. See Index.
    ${ }^{7}$ фúл入ov W. conj.; छú入oд UMVP.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ conj．R．Const．；à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ UAld．；द̌ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon i \in \omega \nu$ MV mBis．
     conj．W．
    
    
     212

[^123]:    ${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{u} \kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha \nu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha: \epsilon \dot{u} \kappa \tau \eta \delta o \nu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ conj. R. Const. cf. 5. 1. 9: but text is supported by Hesych. s.r. ¿Өиктє́avov.
    ${ }^{2}$ I omit кal before $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda \varphi$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 44.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \pi \iota \rho a ̀ \nu$ conj. R. Const. from (テ ; $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu V$ VAld.
     UMV; $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \dot{d} \lambda \eta \nu \pi \rho \partial s$ Ald.

[^125]:     MSS.
    ${ }^{*}$ On the W. of Leshos, modern Caloni. cf. 2, 2, 6 ; Plin. l.c.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. 1. 6. 1 ; Plin. 16. 44.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 48. $\quad{ }^{7}$ cf. 1.8.2,

[^126]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \alpha \chi \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ conj. W.; $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \frac{1}{}$ Ald.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. 16. 48 and $49 .{ }^{3}$ For the tense see Intr. p. xx.
    ${ }^{4} \phi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda o v$, i.e. the leafy shoot. Sch. considers $\phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda o v$ to be corrupt, and refers the following description to the cone ; W. marks a lacuna after $\phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$. Pliny, l.c., seems to have
     The words кal $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ thatrov can hardly be sound as they stand. For the description of the foliage of. 1. 10.5.

[^127]:     ut concameratum imitetur G ; ? $\theta$ о $\downarrow \iota є \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$; in Theocr. 15. 39. Oodía seems to be a sun-hat.
    ${ }^{6}$ кขעє́ais : cf. Hesych. s.v. кvעท̂ Botwtía, apparently a hat worn in the fields.
    > ${ }^{7} c f .5$. 1. 7. ${ }^{8} c f .5 .1 .5$. . ${ }^{9}$ cf. 5. 1. 6.
    > ${ }^{10}$ cf. 5. 1. 6, кєрat $\omega$ бєis. ${ }^{11}$ oủ ins. Sch.
    > ${ }^{13} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\delta$ है conj. W. ; $\mu a ̃ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ औै Ald. ${ }^{13}$ cf. 3. 9. 3.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ cj. Eur. I. A. 99 ; Hipp. 1254.
    ${ }^{2}$ тà $\delta^{\prime}$ conj. Scal.; каl Ald.
    
    

[^129]:     ${ }^{6} c f .3$. 7. 1.
    7 i.e. mucronate. cf.3.11.3.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ Éxivos being otherwise used of a prickly case，such as
    
    

[^131]:    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. 5. 6. 4; 5. 7. 2 and 6.
    ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 16. 62. (description taken from this passage, but applied to fraximus, apparently from confusion between $\mu$ iरos and $\mu \in \lambda(a)$.
    ${ }^{\circ} c f .2$.7. 4 m .

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. 1. S. 2 (ò ơ $\rho$ vís), 3. 3. 1; C.P. 5. 12. 9 (ò $\left.\sigma \tau \rho u ́ \eta\right)$; Plin. 13. 117.
    
     3. 17.3.

[^133]:     Sch., but $\delta u \sigma \theta a \nu a \tau \alpha ̃ \nu$ has a desiderative sense.
    ${ }_{4}$ Plin. 16. 65.
    ${ }^{5}$ モ̌тı $\delta^{\prime}$ єủ $\omega$. inserted here hy Sch.; cf. Plin., l.c. In Ald. the words, with the addition $\tau \delta$ т $\hat{s} s$ $\theta \eta \lambda \in i \alpha s$, occur after тоเoิิбเข.
    
    ${ }^{7}$ cf. 3. 13. 1 ; Ar. Vesp. 529.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. 3. 5. 5. and 6.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 1. 12. 4 ; C.P. 6. 12. $7 . \quad+3.3 .1$.
    
    226

[^135]:     from Plin. 16. 66 and 67, cursicenium or crassivenium. Sch. thinks that the word conceals $\gamma \lambda$ ivos ; cf.3. 3. 1; 3.11. 2.

    7 фúл $\lambda o \nu$ conj. R. Const. ; ̧ú $\lambda o \nu$ UMVAld.H.G.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ тєтаעঠे : cf. 3. 12. 5; 3. 15. 6.
    ${ }^{2} \sigma \chi i \sigma \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ conj. R. Const. from Cr ; $\sigma \chi^{i} \mu a \theta^{\prime}$ Ald.Cam.; $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \mu \theta^{\prime}$ Bas., which W. reads.
    ${ }^{3}$ ถึ $\lambda \alpha$ : ? ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \omega$.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. do not run back so far.
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[^137]:    ${ }^{5} \pi v \kappa \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{c}$ conj. Scal. from G ; $\pi \nu \rho \omega ́ \tau \in \rho \circ \nu$ UAld.
    
    ${ }^{8}$ cf. 3. 9. 6 n.; Tntr. p. xx. ${ }^{9}$ cf. 3. 18. 3.
    ${ }^{10}$ cf. 3. 3. 1 ; Plin. 16. 67.
    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~W}$. marks a lacuna: the description of the 'female' tree seems to be missing. ${ }^{12}$ Plin. 16.62-64.

[^138]:     does not occur elsewhere, and T. nses $\mu$ avós as the opposite of oủ̉os.
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. instead of consilering the leaflet as the unit. For the description cf. 3. 12. $5 ; 3.15 .4$.

[^139]:    ${ }^{3}$ B $\rho \alpha \chi$ éa conj. Scal. from $G$; т $\rho a \chi \in ́ a$ UAld. H.
    ${ }^{4}$ Bod. inserts où before $\mu \in \tau \in ́ \omega p o \nu$; cf. 3. 6. 5. (Idaean account.)
    ${ }^{3}$ бтıфро́тє $\rho$ á conj. Dalec.; $\sigma \tau \rho \cup ф \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ MSS.
    $6 \pi \lambda \in \tilde{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha$ conj. R. Const. ; $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \propto$ UMVAld.
    7 cf. Pliu., l.c.

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Plin., l.c., and Index.
    
    

[^141]:    

    * $\lambda$ fia conj. Mold.; $\lambda \in v \kappa a ̀ ~ A l d . G . ~$
    ${ }^{5} \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha s$ conj. Scal., cf. 3. 3. 2 ; $\mu \in \lambda$ ías UMAlı.H.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Idaeans are evidently responsible for this statement. T. himself (3. 4. 3) says the fruit is inedible.
    ${ }_{2}$ But (1. 11.4) only certain varieties of the olive are said to have this character : the next statement seems also anconsistent with 3. 4. 3. Perhaps T. is still reproducing his Irlaean authority.

[^143]:    ${ }^{3}$ uóvol ins．R．Const．from G．
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin．13．52．See Index кє́dооs and ăркєч⿴囗⿱亠乂，
    ${ }^{5}$ Фoเขレкฑ̂ข：Фоเขเкเкخ̀ข conj．W．cf．9．2． 3 ；Plin．l．c．

[^144]:    тикขาํ้ ; but the words каl öта⿱ . . . $\sigma \eta \pi о \mu \epsilon ่ \nu \eta \nu$ (which I . does not rencler) seem inconsistent. ?ins. oủ before raxù Sch.
    ${ }^{3}$ ? An enquirer sent out by the Lycemm: see lntr. p. xxi.
    

    - àuфótepar conj. W. ; áuфотє́pas U; áuфотє́pous Ald. IT.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 15. 84.
    т cf. С.P. 2. 8. 2 ; 6. 14. 4 ; 6. 16. 1.

[^145]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ W. suggests that some words are missing here, as it does not appear to which kind of $\mu \in \sigma \pi / \lambda \eta$ the following descriptimon belongs; hence various difficulties. See sch.
    ${ }^{3}$ Probably a lacuna in the text. W. thus supplies the sense : he suggests $\sigma \iota \kappa v o \in \iota \delta \epsilon{ }^{\prime} s$ for $\sigma \in \lambda t \nu 0 \in \iota \delta$ es.
    238

[^146]:    
    
     W., who however renders inter se.

[^147]:    ${ }^{4}$ For construction of. 3. 11. 3. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 17. 221. © cf. 3. 5. 5.

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ ®̈ $\sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \grave{\eta}$ conj. Bod.; $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Ald.; $\underset{\omega}{ } \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ M.
    ${ }^{2} \kappa \delta \mu \eta \nu$ Ald.H.; корифŋ̀ $\nu$ conj. Sch.; vertice G.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 125 ; cf. 16. 74 ; 17. 234.
    

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Which is an ellipse, the segment of a cylinder: so Sch. explains.
    ereivos: inf. lower down the trunk, where the spiral is less open. ${ }^{3}$ er $\pi$ ltoноs: cf. 5. 1. 12.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \kappa$ ралаías, some part of a ship's prow : so Pollux.
    ${ }^{2}$ катuрós conj. Sch.: каl $\pi v \rho \sigma$ ós U (?) ; каl $\pi$ ирро́s V : каl лоupós M. ${ }^{3}$ Sc. pith.

[^151]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Index.
    ${ }^{5}$ катирштє́pas conj. Sch.; каl тирштє́pas U; каl тupotépas MVAld. cf. 3. 13. 4.
    ${ }^{6}$ Sc. itéa generally. $\quad 7$ 3,1.2. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Plin. 16. 72.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tart of the description of the flower, and perhaps of the fruit, seems to be missing. Sch.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 4. 8. 1 ; but in 1.4 .3 the alder is classed with' amphibious' trees, and in 3.3.1 with 'trees of the plain.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Betulam, G from Olin. 16. 74.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega:$ sc. $\phi u ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha$, in loose apposition to фúd $\lambda o r$. Apparently the leaf is said to resemble that of oi in its composite structure, but, that of the bay in shape: cf. 3. 12. 7 .
    
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 2. 8. 3; 3. 7. 3; 3. 14. l. кшрика́ón conj. R. Const.;
    

[^154]:     (erased) U.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. Cytore buxifer, Catull. 4. 13; Plin. 16. 70.
    ${ }^{6}$ cf. 5. 7. 7.
     Ald.

[^155]:    ${ }^{5}$ кóтเvos Athen. l.c.; ко́భıиos UMVAld.
    ${ }^{6} \mu \in \sigma \pi i \lambda \eta$ added from Athen. l.c.
    $7 \mathrm{cf.3.7.3}. \quad{ }^{8} \mathrm{cf.3.16.6}. \quad{ }^{9} \mathrm{cf.3.4.1,4} 4$ and 6.
    ${ }^{10}$ Plin. 16. 32 ; Simon. ap. Plut. Theseres 17.
    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{cf.C.P.2.17.1}$.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 19. See Index.
    ${ }^{2}$ Batúтєpa MSS. ; єủbút
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin, l.c. See Index.

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 15. 98 and 99 ; Diosc. 1. 122.
     UAld. ${ }^{4}$ cf. 1.13. 3.
    ${ }^{5}$ kápvetos, an unknown word, probably corrupt; кíovos $\Delta \omega \rho \not \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ conj. Sch., 'drum of a Doric column.' cf. Athen. 5. 30 ,

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 34.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tuppquía conj. R. Const.; $\pi$ uppquíaı UMV; $\pi \nu \rho \rho \eta \nu l a ~ A l d . ~$
    ${ }^{3}$ aíl must be corrupt: probably repeated from $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ́ \phi \dot{\phi} u \lambda \lambda o v$.
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[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit. grape-stone.
    ${ }^{2}$ I omit $\dot{\eta}$ before $\delta$ เaфopá with Sch.

[^160]:     oltou MVP; каl oľoov kal ultou U. Only oil̃os is mentioned in the following descriptions.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 13. 55 ; 24. 91.
    ${ }^{2}$ otoıХєîv: cf. 3. 5. 3; Plin. 13. 55.
     * $\delta$ joûs masc. cf. Diosc. 1. 108.

[^162]:    5 i.e. nearly uprooted by wind.
    ${ }^{6}$ котто́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} о \nu:$ : cf. 8. 11. 2, 3 and 5.
    7 Plin. 16. 144-147.

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Theocr. 11. $46 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Olin. 16. 145 foll.
    ${ }^{3}$ ie. is the most 'distinct' of the ivies.
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. 1. 10. 1 ; Disc. 2. 179.
    5 ie. as an explanation of the barrenness of helix:
    ${ }^{6}$ ie. and so becomes fertile.
    ${ }^{7}$ סıaтє lvov $\alpha \iota$ : cf. C.P. 4. 6. 1. $\delta \iota a \tau$. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$. . . apparently $=$ "insist on the view that,"... but the dative is strange. The sentence, which is highly elliptical, is freely emender by most editors.

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ таछॄфи入入отє́ $\rho a$ conj. W. from Plin. 16. 149, folia in
    
     $\mu$ évous conj. Sch.
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[^165]:    ${ }^{1} \sigma \mu i \lambda \lambda \xi \xi: ~ ? ~ \mu i ̂ \lambda \alpha \xi$ WT．cf．1．10． 5 ；Plin．16．153－155．
    
    ${ }^{3} \kappa \alpha \nu \lambda \dot{\text { o }}$ conj．R．Const．；карлд̀s UMVAld．
    ${ }^{4}$ тu入прóv conj．W．；ข дтпрóv Ald．U（corrected）．
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[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ Presumably $\sigma$. ò $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \delta \\ \omega \\ \delta \\ \iota\end{array}\right)$ s. See Index.
    
    
    

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ I omit ai before кє́paıat with P.
    ${ }^{2}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ I conj. ; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ Ald.; om. W. after Sch.; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha}_{\mu} \mu \alpha$ conj. St.

[^168]:    3 3.2. 4.
    ${ }^{4}$ Something seems to have dropped out before. ${ }^{\omega \prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon$.
     MV; ка入入it Ald.

[^169]:    ${ }^{1} \kappa \omega \pi \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \epsilon s: c f .5 .1 .7$.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 5. 1. 8 .
    ${ }^{2} \tau \alpha ̀{ }^{\prime} \delta_{k} \mu \alpha \nu \alpha ̀ ~ a d d . W$.
    ${ }^{5}$ ). ${ }^{4}$ 2.2. 10.
     $\mu \in \nu a$ MVPAld.

[^170]:    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13. 56 and 57.
    
    s i.e. mulberry. See Index.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ of $\sigma \alpha, \pi \epsilon \rho$ conj. R. Const., etc., cf. Athen. l.c.; $\partial \not \sigma a \dot{u} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$
    
    ${ }_{2}$ Plin. 13. 59. ${ }^{3}$ 1. 14. 2.
    294

[^172]:    ${ }^{4}$ ки́єтaı conj. W. from G ; кúєı MSS.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. the cluster, now in the fruit stage.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13. 60 and 61 .

[^173]:    1 Plin. l.c., velares annulos; cf. Athen. 12. 71, ad fin.
    ${ }^{2}$ रav̂ขov conj. Sch.; $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o \nu$ Ald.
    3 i.e. during their occupation of Egypt.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 13. 63 ; Athen. 15. 25.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. Hdt. 2. 96. ${ }^{6}$ cf. Athen. l.c.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Hdt. l.c.
    ${ }^{2} \sigma \chi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ conj. R. Const.; $\sigma \chi\{\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ Ald.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \lambda \epsilon \mathfrak{l} \sigma \tau \eta$ conj. R. Const.; $\pi \lambda \in \kappa \tau \eta$ UMVAld.
    ${ }^{4} c f . C . P .6 . S .7$, where this olive is said to produce $n o$ oil.
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{cf}$. . Strabo, 17. 1. 35.

[^175]:    ${ }^{6}$ ataviots ．．．$\phi u ́ \sigma \in i ~ c o n j . ~ W . ; ~ \sigma \pi a v i \omega s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \sigma l ~ \chi p . ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ $\phi \dot{u} \sigma \in t$ Ald．；so U，bat omitting $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ．

    7 i．e．black．cf．4．3．1．${ }^{8}$ Plin．13． 64 and 65.
    ${ }^{9}$ тои̂ карлой add．Scal．from G and Plin．l．c．${ }^{10}$ October．
    ${ }^{11}$ àєí $\phi \nu \lambda \lambda o \nu$ conj．Scal．from G and Plin．l．c．；фú入入ov UMV Ald．
    12 Mimosa asperata ；see Index，App．（2）．U゙ $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ conj．Scal． from G（materia）；oเ $\ddagger \eta \mu a$ MAld．U（corrected）．

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ mádos: cf. 1. I. 1 n.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. Schol. ad Nic. Ther. 683 of a sensitive plant called
     $\mu \in \nu a \mathrm{UMVP}_{2}$ Ald.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Hilt. 4. 177; Athen. 14. 651 ; Scyl. Peripl. Lotophagi.
    ${ }^{2}$ A ruler of Cyrene, who invaded Carthaginian territory in conjunction with Agathocles, B.c. 308.
    
    ${ }^{4} \mu$ '́ $p o s: \mu \in\{\omega \nu$ conj. Sch. (non minor G).

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sch．after Scal．places this section before § 3，making the account of this tree consecutive．${ }^{2}$ Plin．13．17．104－100．
    ${ }^{3}$ єv̈rax es conj．R．Const．；єù
    ${ }^{4}$ cf．Hdt．2． 96.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lepus Aegyptiacus. cf. Arist. H.A. S. 28.
    

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ é入áav conj. Spr.; ė $\lambda a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ MSS. cf. Hdt. 1. 193; Xen. Anab. 4. 4. 13; Arr. Ind. 40.
    ${ }^{2}$ кıттдे conj. W., cf. Arr. Anab. 5. 1. 6; каı̀ т $̀ \nu \mathrm{UMV}$; $\kappa \alpha l \tau \hat{\omega}$ Ald.H. ${ }^{3} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ add. W.
    
    

[^181]:    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. and so Greek plants may be expected to grow there. But the text is probably defective; cf. the citation of this passage, Plut. Quaest. Conv. 3. 2. 1.
    ${ }_{7}$ rairor $\gamma \epsilon$. This sentence does not connect properly with the preceding. ${ }^{8}$ ov̉ add. Sch.
    ${ }^{9}$ Plin. 12. 15 and 16 ; cited also Athen. 3. 26.
    ${ }^{10}$ cf. Verg. G. 2. 131-135.
    11 ămos : ? here=à $\chi$ pás R. Const. cf. C.P. 1. 15. 2.

[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ тts add. W. from Athen. l.c.; Өaváбıцоv . . . фа́риакоv add. Sch. from Athen. l.c. ${ }^{2}$ Plin. 11. 278 ; 12. 16.
     for $\delta$ ءафитєúŋтаı Ald.H. à $\delta$ рóv тı UMVAld.

[^183]:    ${ }^{4} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \eta$ : a small round shield.
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. in Alexander's expedition. ${ }^{5}$ cf. C.P. 2. 10. 2.
    i.e. in Alexanders expedition, Chenab.

    8 Jack-fruit. See Index App. (3). Plin. 12. 24.
    ${ }^{9}$ Banana. See Index App. (4).
    ${ }^{10}$ Mango. See Index App. (5). Plin. 12. 24.
    ${ }^{11}$ Jujube. See Index App. (6).

[^184]:    4 ठı̀े... $\mu$ '́ $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ : Sch. omits these words, and $W$. considers them corrupt; but $G$ seems to have had them in his text. The translation is tentative.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cotton-plant. cf. 4.7.7 and 8. Plin. 12. 25.

[^185]:    ${ }^{1} c f .8$. 4. 2. whence it appears that the original text here contained a fuller account. Pin. 18. 71.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sorghum halepense. ${ }^{3}$ Sc. of Alexander.
    ${ }^{4}$. The verb seems to have dropped out (W.).

[^186]:    
     also U , omitting the first cal.
    ${ }^{2}$ Balsamodendron MIukul; see Index App. (9). Olin. 12. 33.

[^187]:    

[^188]:    2 I have moved $\mu \bar{\imath} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$, which in the MSS. comes luefore $\tau \omega \bar{\alpha}$
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. which have medicinal uses.

[^189]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Whose rhizome was used for perfumes; cf. 1. 7. 2; de odor. 22. 23. 28. 32; Dykes, The Genus Iris, p. 237, gives an interesting account of the modern uses of 'orris-root.'

[^190]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Index．
    ${ }^{2}$ каl $\delta \sigma \alpha$ ：text probably defectire，but sense clear．？каи
    

[^191]:    ${ }^{1} \phi ı \lambda o \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma a l$ conj. St. ; $\phi i \lambda о \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ MSS.; Plin. 12. 7.
    ${ }^{2} \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \eta_{s}$ conj. Scal. from G ; ${ }^{\text {Ė } \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta s ~ A l d . H . ~}$

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Index: $\sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta}, \delta \rho \hat{s}$, etc.
     € $\ell$ ঠ̀́s MV. ${ }^{3}$ cf. Diosc. 4. 99 ; Plin. 13. 136.

[^193]:    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. grows above low water mark.
    5 See Index: фи̃коs (2).
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. the 'leaf': the comparison is doubtless with $\tau \delta$ $\pi \lambda a \tau \dot{v}, \S 2$; $\dot{\omega}$ UMVAld.; \# W. after Sch.'s conj.

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 13. 136, cf. 32. 22; Diosc. 4. 99.
    ${ }^{2}$ litmus ; see Index, $\phi$ йкоs (5).
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. l.c. ; grass-wrack, see Index, $\phi \hat{v} \kappa o s(6)$.

[^195]:     $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ Ald.; $\beta \cup \sigma t \omega \delta \in ́ \sigma \tau \in \rho \circ \nu \mathrm{mBas}$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. l.c. ${ }^{7} \lambda \in \pi a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ Ald.; $\lambda о \pi \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s$ W. with UMV.
    
    

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ aủtoîs Ald.H. ; aútề conj. W.
    ${ }^{2}$ I have inserted $\mu$ ог $\delta \kappa \alpha \nu \lambda$.

[^197]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Strabo 16. 1. 147. ${ }^{2}$ See Index.
    3 The name of a tree seems to have dropped out: I have
     for ảpíq.

[^198]:    ${ }^{4}$ cf. Diosc. 1. 105 and 106.
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{cf}$. . Athen. 4. 83 ; Plin. 12. 77.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 13. 139. ${ }^{7}$ cf. 9. 4. 4. ${ }^{8}$ Plin. 13. 140.

[^199]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 13. 141.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mangroves. See Index App. (12).
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. Arr. Anab. 6. 22. 6.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. l.c. Index App. (13). ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 12. 37.
    ${ }^{6}$ White mangroves. Index App. (14).

[^200]:     U has $\phi \in \rho \frac{\rho}{\mu \in \nu a}$ (?).
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 5. 4. 6 ; Plin. 12.38 and 39 ; modern name Bahrein.
    ${ }^{4}$ i.e. Persian Gulf.

[^201]:    
    2 4．5． 8.
    ：Tramarind．See Index App．（15）．Plin．12． 40.
    
    
    ${ }^{15}$ Tamarind also．See Index App．（16）．${ }^{7}$ i．e，leaflets．
    \＆Ficus laceiferer．See Index App．（17）．où фu入入oppooúoas conj．W．，cf．（í and Plin．l．c．；â̂ фu入入oppoov̂ซı̀ Ald．H．

[^202]:     $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \in ́ p \in \iota \nu$.
    ${ }^{10}$ cf. C.P. 2. 5. 5, where Androsthenes, one of Alexander's ardmirals, is given as the authority for this statement.
    ${ }^{11}$ The term $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 t \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ seems to be given here a narrower connotation than usual, in order that tà $\lambda о \chi \mu \omega \delta \eta$ may be distinguished.

[^203]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. Diosc. 2. 107.
    ${ }^{3}$ каl катаß. conj. W.; катаß. Ald.; катаß. $\delta^{\prime}$ UMIV. 4 Plin. 13. 107 and 108.

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. l.c. anthalium, whence Salm. conj. à $\nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota o v$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Saccharum biflorum. See Index App. (19).
    

[^205]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ тav̀т $\alpha$ conj. Sch.; тaû̃a Ald.
     $\pi \rho о \sigma є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ тро́тєроע Ald. H ,

[^206]:     UM ; тоиิто $\pi \lambda \in$ ิoข тठ $\kappa \alpha \theta^{9}$ บ์ס. Ald.
    
    6 à, $\nu$ os here $=$ catkin,

[^207]:    ${ }^{1}$ kovias : ? кoviá $\sigma \in L s$ (plastering), a conjecture mentioned by Sch.

[^208]:    1 i.e. we have gone beyond the list of typical plants of Orchomenus given 4.10.1, because we have found others of which much the same may be said.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & { }^{2} c f .4 .10 .2 . \\
    & \text { aurض! } c f .4 .10 .3
    \end{aligned}
    $$

[^209]:    ${ }^{4} \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta \nu$ UMVAld.; for the case cf. Xen. Hell. 7. 2. 8 and 11 ; кєфa入ท̂s conj. W.
    ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 16. 168 and 169.
    ${ }^{6}$ каl $\pi \alpha \chi u ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota$ add. Dalec. from G .

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ Buцßuriay. In one kind of pipe the performer blew, not directly on to the 'reed,' but into a cap in which it was enclosed; this cap, from the resemblance in shape to a cocoon, was called $\beta o ́ \mu \beta \nu \xi \xi^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ viva add. W.
    ${ }_{5}^{3}$ Plin. 16. 169-172. ${ }^{4}$ September.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. between the free end of the vibrating 'tongue' and

[^211]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Plut. Sulla, 20.
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e. the so-called 'Lake' Copaïs.
    ${ }_{3} \mathrm{kal}$ add. W.

[^212]:    oupıřial conj. Sch. from Plin. l.c., syringiam; cf. Diosc. l.c., Geop.2.6.23. $\sigma \nu \rho \imath \gamma i ́ \mathrm{U}$; $\sigma \dot{v} \rho \iota \gamma \gamma \iota$ IIV; $\sigma \dot{\sim} \rho \iota \gamma \gamma a$ Ald.H.

[^213]:    ${ }^{1}$ Opúov, a kind of grass (see Index ; of. Hom, 11. 21. 351), conj. Sch.; Bpúov MES. ; however Plut. Nut. Quaest. 2 gives Bpúav along with $\tau \dot{\prime} \phi \eta$ and $\phi \lambda \epsilon \operatorname{cu}^{\prime}$ in a list of marsh plants.
    

[^214]:    3 Sch. marks a lacuna; there is nothing to correspond to $\delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ă $\rho \rho \eta \nu . \quad 4$ Chenab.
    ${ }^{5}$ cf. 1. 5. 3; 1. 8. 1 ; Plin. 21. 112-115; Diosc. 4. 52.
    6 See Index.

[^215]:     $i \delta \epsilon i ้$ MVP; $\theta$. ह̇ข $\downarrow \delta \epsilon i \nu$ Ald.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ oi кd́рт $\iota \mu$ conj. R. Const. ; of кapтoí Ald.H.
    ${ }_{4}^{3}$ rà $\rho$ seems meaningless; $G$ has autem.
    ${ }^{4}$ короуấ; cf. 3. 5. 1.
    ${ }^{5} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \iota$ conj. R. Const.; $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \omega \dot{\delta \epsilon \iota s}$ Ald.H.

[^216]:    " $\pi \epsilon \rho 1 \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \nu \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota$ seems an impossible word ; ? $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aút тoùs $\sigma \tau a \chi u \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s$.
    
    ${ }^{8}$ i.e. the part above ground ; cf. Plin. l.c. Sch. has disposed of the idea that $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \eta$ is here a 'bulbous' root.

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ :3. 15. 3 and 4 ; 4. S. 1.

[^218]:    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 4. 10. 2, to which § this note perhaps belongs.
     Ald.H.

[^219]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ тєрtкартiots : cf. C.P. 1. 17. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ On the Acropolis : cf. Helt. 8. 55 ; Soph. O.C. 694 foll.

[^220]:    ${ }^{4}$ Under which Leto gave birth to Artemis and Apollo: cf. Paus. 8. 48. 3; Cic. de Leg. 1. 1.; Plin. 16. 238.
    ${ }^{5}$ Its planting is ascribed to Menelaus by Paus. 8. 23. 3.

[^221]:    
    2 i.e. such an argument practically assumes the permanence of the trunk, which in the case of the vine can hardly be considered apart from the root. Soкoín тoıaúrך oíyкрьoıs I
    
     his earlier edition : in his later editions he emends wildly.

[^222]:    3 I have inserted $\mu \dot{\eta}$, which ${ }^{\prime} \dot{x}$ seems to have rearl.
    
    
    
    
     $c f$. end of $\S 4 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 241.

[^223]:    ${ }^{1} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta}: \alpha \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda_{n} \gamma \eta$ conj．Sch．
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin．17．216．${ }^{3}$ cf．C．P．.,$~ 8.3 . ~$
    

[^224]:    ${ }^{5}$ коıvà $\delta \grave{\eta}$ тó te conj. W.; кotvà кal tóte UMV; kotvá ỗv $\tau$ т́tє Ald.H. ${ }^{6}$ cf.8.10. 1.

[^225]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. C.P. 5. 9. 10 ; Col. 5. 9. 15.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 5. 4. 5; C.P. 5. 10. 5; Plin. 17. 221.
    
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. C.P. 5. 9. 12; Plin. 17. 225.
    5 i.t. shedding of the 'bark' of the roots. $\lambda o \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ conj. Sch., cf. C.P. 5. 9. 9 ; $\lambda$ ло $\alpha^{\delta} \delta a$ All..H., cf. t. 14. 3 ; but the word here points to a different disease.
     such a way that the growth of the new wood is encouraged 394

[^226]:    ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 17. 228 and 229.
    ${ }^{6}$ \% $\lambda \omega \nu$ conj. W.; $\tau เ \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.H. cf. C.P. 5. 17. 3 and 6.
    ${ }^{7}$ cf. C.P. 5. 10. 5.
    ${ }^{8}$ ס̀े add. Sch. ${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P.l.c.
    

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. C.P. 5. 10. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tarentum : cf. C.P. l.c.
    ${ }^{3}$ ณ่ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ conj. W.; ă $\nu \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ Ald.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 17. 229-231.
     MVP ; ảp $\chi^{i v}$ tov Ald. of. C.P. 5. 10. 2.
    398

[^228]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 17. 232.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тoús $\tau$ ótous conj. Sch. from Plin. l.c.; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \theta$ ' aưtà Ald.
     $\pi \hat{\eta} \xi$ เs.
    ${ }^{4}$ cf. С. P. 5. 12. 4.

[^229]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 17. 233.
    
    

[^230]:    ${ }^{\dagger} \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ conj. Sch., cf. C.P. 5. 12. 4 ; $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ UMVAlel.
    ${ }^{5} \lambda \in \pi i \delta \epsilon s$ conj. Scal. from $G$ (squammulue) ; $\hat{\rho} \pi i \delta \in s$ Ald. cf. Hdt. 4. 31.

[^231]:    ${ }^{3}$ Plin．17．234；cf．C．P．5．15． 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf．1．5． 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ ß入абтঠे conj．Sch．from（i）；картд̀ UAld．H．
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin．17．234－236．

[^232]:    ${ }^{5}$ cf. C.P. 3. 18. 3. ф入оıорри $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ є้vıa conj. Mold.; $\phi \lambda o \iota o \rho-$ pa $i$ ía $\mu i \alpha$ UMV; фил入oporla $\mu l \alpha$ Ald.
    

[^233]:    ${ }^{1}$ кai add. W. (text defective in MSS, except U), 406

[^234]:    2 àva申ขิvaı conj. Scal. from G; фûvat Ald. H.

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 17. 236 ; cf. 3. 7. -2 ; C.P. 5. 17. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 3. 9. 5.
    
    ${ }^{+}$cf. 1. 3. 3 ; 1. 14.2.

[^236]:    ${ }_{7}^{5} \mathrm{cf.C.P}$. 5. 16. 4; Plin. 17. 238.
    7 фopá $\delta \epsilon s$ conj. Sch.; фopíठєs Ald.
    ${ }^{6}$ cf. C.P. 5. 16. 2.
    8 Plin. 16. 133.

[^237]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. Plin. 17. 234 ; C.P. 5. 15. 6.
     ovaty $\mathrm{UMP}_{2}$ Ald.

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 188. $\quad{ }^{2}$ cf. 3. 5. 1.
    

[^239]:    ${ }^{1} c f .3 .5 .1 . \quad{ }^{2}{ }^{\hat{\eta}}$ add．Sch．
     $\tau \in$ MAld．
    ${ }^{4}$ катори́ттєтаı conj．Sch．from $\frac{1}{4}$ ；ópútтєтaı Ald．cf．5．4．3； 5．7．5．${ }^{5}$ Plin．16． 189.

[^240]:    
    ${ }^{7}$ cf. 3. 8. 5.
    ${ }^{8}$ B入a ${ }^{2} \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \ell \mathrm{M}$; $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \in \iota$ W. with Ald.

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ ai add. Sch.
     $\dot{v} \pi \in \lambda เ \nu \omega ิ \sigma เ \nu$ Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ สav́т $\eta \nu$ conj. St.; каl т $\eta \nu$ Ald.H.

[^242]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 3. 9. 7.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. 3. 9. 7, uóyov ou $\delta$ a的aveis, whence it appears that the epithet refers to colour.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. 16. 195. $\quad 5$ i.e. the anmual rings. of.1. 5. 2; 5. 5. 3. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{cf}$. Hom. Of. 12. 172.
    
    ${ }^{8} c f$. Plin. l.c. $\quad{ }^{9}$ cf. 1. 2. 1.
    
    ${ }^{11}$ dè conj. Sch.; кal UAld. H.

[^243]:    ${ }^{3}$ of. 3. 9. $3 . \quad{ }^{4} \mu^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ conj. W.; $\mu i \alpha \nu \nu \epsilon \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ald.
    ${ }^{5}$ цадо́тата conj. W.; $\mu \alpha \nu \delta$ т $\eta \tau \alpha$ Ald.
     Ald.H. $\quad 7$ Plin, 16. 195 and 196.

[^244]:    ${ }^{1}$ тєфикдs：cf．Xen．Cyr．4．3．5．
     тара́ßoppa conj．Sch．

[^245]:    $3 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ conj. Dalec.; $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \in \iota \mathrm{U}$; $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\gamma} \notin \iota$ Ald.; $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda v \gamma i \zeta \in \iota$ conj. H. Steph.
    ${ }^{4} \gamma \in$ conj. Sch.; סè Ald.
    ${ }^{5}$ Plin. 16. 197.

[^246]:    ${ }^{4}$ кататívєбӨaı: ? катада $\beta$ ßá $\nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. cf. below, § 3.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. outward in regard to the core. ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 198.
    

[^247]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. катaтi $\nu \in \sigma \theta a l$, above, § 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plin. 16. 198 and 199.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Text defective.
    ${ }^{6}$ i.e. the bark had grown over these. cf. Plin. l.c.

[^248]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf．Arist．Meteor．4． 7 ad fin．
    ${ }^{2}$ cf．1．6．1．${ }^{3}$ cf．3．15． 3.
    ${ }^{4}$ Probably so called from their resemblance in shape and

[^249]:    ${ }^{1}$ ímoßpéXuvaı conj．Harduin from Plin．16．207；àmoßpi日ovaı Ald．H．；à àoßṕ́ $\chi$ ovaı mBas．
    ${ }^{2} c f .5 .5 .1$ ，which，referring to this passage，hardly agrees with it as now read．

[^250]:    ${ }^{1} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \epsilon t$ : Sch. adds $\theta$ át $\epsilon$ pov from (').
    ${ }^{2}$ е̌кєเто conj. W. ; є̇кềvo Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ i.e. the 'upper' wood in the upper position.
    ${ }^{4} \pi \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ MSS. (?) ; $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ conj. W.
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. there would he no resistance. 解 after à add. Sch. 436

[^251]:    ${ }^{6}$ cf. Plin. 16. 215.
    ${ }^{7}$. Of which the door itself is made.
    $8^{*}$ Plin. 16. 211. $\quad 9$ Plin. 13. 100-102.
    

[^252]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 211.
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. C.P. 3. 11. 1.

[^253]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 16. 220 and 221.
     MVAld. ${ }^{3}$ of 4. 14. 5.
     omits. The word $\mu$ vodóxos does not occur elsewhere as a subst.

[^254]:    
    

[^255]:    7 Өupruцáт $\omega \nu$ conj. Sch.; $\gamma \nu \rho \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Ald. cf. 4. 1. 2; Plin.
    16. 225, abietem valcarum payinis aptisisimam.
    ${ }^{8} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta} \hat{\jmath}$ conj. Dalec.; $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$ UMVAld.
    9 i.e. to extract the core. 10 See below, § 5.
    $11 \pi \alpha p \alpha \kappa เ \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma t$, i.e. by splitting or sawing. $\pi \in \lambda \epsilon \kappa \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma t$ conj. W.

[^256]:     funcl socket."

    6 oütcos Ald.H.; aủtoùs conj. W. ${ }^{7} \mu$ ì add. W.

[^257]:     UMV: so Ald, omitting kal.
     Ald.; а̀тотєлє́коибь mBas.
    ${ }^{3}$ cf. C.P. 5. 17.2.

[^258]:     Plin. 16. 222. $\quad 5$ à $\nu a \xi \eta \rho a \nu \theta \hat{n}$ conj. Sch.; à $\alpha a \xi \eta p a i ́ m ~ A l d . H . ~$
    ${ }^{6}$ Plin. 16. 222-224.
    ${ }^{7}$ e.g. as a strut. rлáүıaı conj. Sch. from Plin. l.c.; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda a i$ Ald.H.

[^259]:    ${ }^{1}$ mavoural can hardly be right: Plin. l.c. seems to have had a fuller text.
    ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mu \pi \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ : cf. de Senls. 66.

[^260]:    
    *Sc. with the carpenter's axe.
    ${ }^{5}$ 5. 3. 5. ${ }^{6}$ See Index.

[^261]:    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ रé $\lambda v \sigma \mu \alpha$, a temporary corering for the hottom: so Poll. and Hesych. explain.

[^262]:    ${ }^{+}$This sentence is out of place; its right place is perhaps at the end of §4.

    5 тopveia; but the word is perhaps corrupt: one would expect the name of some part of the vessel.
    ${ }^{6} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \in \epsilon \mu \alpha$ : apparently the fore part of the keel $;=\sigma \tau \epsilon i p \alpha$.
    
    

[^263]:    
    
     каl before $\alpha$ акк.
    ${ }^{2} \dot{\omega} s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ conj. Sch.; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ ©s Ald.
    ${ }^{3}$ каเขม̀ conj. Sch.; каl עข̂̀ Ald.

    * $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \gamma$ ๆी conj. W., which he renders ' when it has been glucd together'; $\sigma u \mu \pi i n$ Ald. (is reading was evidently different.

[^264]:    
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. apart from ship-building and honse-bnilding, in which several woods are used.

[^265]:    ${ }^{1}$ rif $\quad$ as : cf. 3. 13. 1 ; perhaps 'hampers,' cf. 5. 7. 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ тараколлйната: lit. 'things glued on.'
    3 Plin. 16. 229.
     460

[^266]:    carts with solid wheels.' тais conj. Sch.; $\tau \in \kappa$ каl UMV; тє каl
    
    ${ }^{5} \pi \eta \delta o s$ (with varying accent) MSS.: probably $=\pi a ́ o ̂ o s, 4.1$. 3 ; $\pi \cup \mathfrak{\xi}$ os Ald., but see § 7.
    ${ }^{6}$ tєктоиías can hardly be right. ${ }^{7}$ cf. 3. 15.5.
    ${ }^{8}$ cf. 1. S. 2, of box in general; Plin. 16. 71.
    ${ }^{9}$ Inconsistent with 5. 3. 2.

[^267]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inconsistent with 5. 6. 2. $\phi i \lambda \nu \rho \in ́ \alpha$ conj. Sch.
     462

[^268]:    
    
    ${ }^{6}$ סıaveiĉos conj. Sich.; $\delta \iota \alpha$ vèेs Ald.

[^269]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. Hom. Od. 10. 552 foll., 11. 51-80, 12. 8-15; Plin. 15. 119.
    

[^270]:    
    ${ }^{5}$ i.e. and so makes much ash. ${ }^{6}$ cf.2.7.2.

[^271]:    ${ }^{1}$ колов $\omega \nu$ conj．Palm．；кол入 $\alpha \beta \omega \nu \mathrm{U}$ ；кола́ $\beta \omega \nu$ Ald．
     Ald．H．
     àкцךтє́pas MV；каl oi $\epsilon \nu$ à $\kappa \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ Ald．Bas．Cam．The sense
     íporépa．G scems to have had a fuller text．
    ${ }^{+}$i．e．from growing in a damper place．cf．5．9． 4. 468

[^272]:    ${ }^{1} \lambda \in i ̂ \alpha$ conj. Seal. from $G$; עє́ $\alpha$ Ald.
    ${ }^{2}$ With sods. cf. Plin., l.c., who seems to have had a fuller text.
    ${ }^{3}$ An Athenian tragic poet. Seal. restores the quotation 470

[^273]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ cf. 1. 2. 3 n .
    ${ }^{3}$ кเттồ conj. Bod. from de iynze 64, Plin. 16. 208; кapúou Ald.
    ${ }^{4} \pi v \rho \in i ̂ o v$ conj. Salm, ; $\pi v \rho o l$ UMVAld.

[^274]:    ${ }^{1}$ cf. U. P. 5. 4. 4. oi $\mu$ ávtets . . . è̉ativots conj. Lobeck.;
    
    
     toùs ékativous Ald.

